

BP-22 Rate Proceeding

Final Proposal

Power Loads and Resources Study

BP-22-FS-BPA-03

July 2021



POWER LOADS AND RESOURCES STUDY

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|--|------------|
| COMMONLY USED ACRONYMS AND SHORT FORMS | iii |
| 1. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction..... | 1 |
| 1.2 Overview of Methodology..... | 1 |
| 2. FEDERAL SYSTEM LOAD OBLIGATION FORECAST | 5 |
| 2.1 Overview..... | 5 |
| 2.2 Public Agencies' Total Retail Load and Firm Requirements Power Sales Contract (PSC) Obligation Forecasts..... | 5 |
| 2.2.1 Load Following PSC Obligation Forecasts..... | 7 |
| 2.2.2 Block PSC Obligation Forecasts..... | 8 |
| 2.2.3 Slice/Block PSC Obligation Forecasts..... | 9 |
| 2.2.4 Tier 2 Load Service PSC Obligation Forecasts..... | 10 |
| 2.2.5 Sum of Load Following, Slice/Block, Block and Tier 2 PSC Obligation Forecasts..... | 11 |
| 2.3 Investor-Owned Utilities Sales Forecast and Other Load Served at the NR Rate..... | 11 |
| 2.4 Direct Service Industry Sales Forecast..... | 12 |
| 2.5 Reclamation Irrigation District Obligations..... | 12 |
| 2.6 Other Federal System Contract Obligations..... | 13 |
| 3. RESOURCE FORECAST | 15 |
| 3.1 Federal System Resource Forecast..... | 15 |
| 3.1.1 Overview..... | 15 |
| 3.1.2 Hydro Generation..... | 15 |
| 3.1.2.1 Regulated Hydro Generation Forecast..... | 16 |
| 3.1.2.2 Independent Hydro Generation Forecast..... | 27 |
| 3.1.2.3 Small Hydro Generation Forecast..... | 28 |
| 3.1.3 Non-Hydro Renewable Generation Forecasts..... | 28 |
| 3.1.4 Thermal Generation Forecasts..... | 28 |
| 3.1.5 Contract Purchases..... | 29 |
| 3.1.6 Uncommitted Purchases..... | 30 |
| 3.1.7 Federal System Transmission Losses..... | 30 |
| 3.2 Regional Hydro Resources..... | 32 |
| 3.2.1 Overview..... | 32 |
| 3.2.2 PNW Regional 80-Water-Year Hydro Generation..... | 32 |
| 3.3 4(h)(10)(C) Credits..... | 32 |
| 3.3.1 Overview..... | 32 |
| 3.3.2 Forecast of Power Purchases Eligible for 4(h)(10)(C) Credits..... | 33 |
| 3.4 Use of Tier 1 System Firm Critical Output Calculation..... | 35 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| 4. | FEDERAL SYSTEM LOAD-RESOURCE BALANCE..... | 37 |
| 4.1 | Overview..... | 37 |
| 4.2 | Firm Load-Resource Balance..... | 37 |
| 4.3 | Firm Federal System Energy Load-Resource Balance..... | 38 |
| 4.4 | Federal System 80-Water-Year Load-Resource Balance..... | 38 |
| | SUMMARY TABLES..... | 41 |
| | Table 1. Regional Dialogue Preference Load Obligations Forecast By Product Annual Energy in aMW..... | 43 |
| | Table 2. Loads and Resources – Federal System Summary Annual Energy in aMW..... | 43 |
| | Table 3. Loads and Resources – Federal System Components Annual Energy in aMW..... | 44 |

COMMONLY USED ACRONYMS AND SHORT FORMS

| | |
|------------|--|
| AAC | Anticipated Accumulation of Cash |
| ACNR | Accumulated Calibrated Net Revenue |
| ACS | Ancillary and Control Area Services |
| AF | Advance Funding |
| AFUDC | Allowance for Funds Used During Construction |
| AGC | automatic generation control |
| aMW | average megawatt(s) |
| ANR | Accumulated Net Revenues |
| ASC | Average System Cost |
| BAA | Balancing Authority Area |
| BiOp | Biological Opinion |
| BPA | Bonneville Power Administration |
| BPAP | Bonneville Power Administration Power |
| BPAT | Bonneville Power Administration Transmission |
| Bps | basis points |
| Btu | British thermal unit |
| CAISO | California Independent System Operator |
| CIP | Capital Improvement Plan |
| CIR | Capital Investment Review |
| CDQ | Contract Demand Quantity |
| CGS | Columbia Generating Station |
| CHWM | Contract High Water Mark |
| CNR | Calibrated Net Revenue |
| COB | California-Oregon border |
| COE | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |
| COI | California-Oregon Intertie |
| Commission | Federal Energy Regulatory Commission |
| Corps | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |
| COSA | Cost of Service Analysis |
| COU | consumer-owned utility |
| Council | Northwest Power and Conservation Council (see also "NPCC") |
| COVID-19 | coronavirus disease 2019 |
| CP | Coincidental Peak |
| CRAC | Cost Recovery Adjustment Clause |
| CRFM | Columbia River Fish Mitigation |
| CSP | Customer System Peak |
| CT | combustion turbine |
| CWIP | Construction Work in Progress |
| CY | calendar year (January through December) |
| DD | Dividend Distribution |
| DDC | Dividend Distribution Clause |
| dec | decrease, decrement, or decremental |

| | |
|---------|---|
| DERBS | Dispatchable Energy Resource Balancing Service |
| DFS | Diurnal Flattening Service |
| DNR | Designated Network Resource |
| DOE | Department of Energy |
| DOI | Department of Interior |
| DSI | direct-service industrial customer or direct-service industry |
| DSO | Dispatcher Standing Order |
| EE | Energy Efficiency |
| EESC | EIM Entity Scheduling Coordinator |
| EIM | Energy imbalance market |
| EIS | environmental impact statement |
| EN | Energy Northwest, Inc. |
| ESA | Endangered Species Act |
| ESS | Energy Shaping Service |
| e-Tag | electronic interchange transaction information |
| FBS | Federal base system |
| FCRPS | Federal Columbia River Power System |
| FCRTS | Federal Columbia River Transmission System |
| FELCC | firm energy load carrying capability |
| FERC | Federal Energy Regulatory Commission |
| FMM-IIE | Fifteen Minute Market – Instructed Imbalance Energy |
| FOIA | Freedom of Information Act |
| FORS | Forced Outage Reserve Service |
| FPS | Firm Power and Surplus Products and Services |
| FPT | Formula Power Transmission |
| FRP | Financial Reserves Policy |
| F&W | Fish & Wildlife |
| FY | fiscal year (October through September) |
| G&A | general and administrative (costs) |
| GARD | Generation and Reserves Dispatch (computer model) |
| GDP | Gross Domestic Product |
| GI | generation imbalance |
| GMS | Grandfathered Generation Management Service |
| GSP | Generation System Peak |
| GSR | Generation Supplied Reactive |
| GRSPs | General Rate Schedule Provisions |
| GTA | General Transfer Agreement |
| GWh | gigawatthour |
| HLH | Heavy Load Hour(s) |
| HOSS | Hourly Operating and Scheduling Simulator (computer model) |
| HYDSIM | Hydrosystem Simulator (computer model) |
| IE | Eastern Intertie |
| IIE | Instructed Imbalance Energy |
| IM | Montana Intertie |

| | |
|----------------|---|
| inc | increase, increment, or incremental |
| IOU | investor-owned utility |
| IP | Industrial Firm Power |
| IPR | Integrated Program Review |
| IR | Integration of Resources |
| IRD | Irrigation Rate Discount |
| IRM | Irrigation Rate Mitigation |
| IRPL | Incremental Rate Pressure Limiter |
| IS | Southern Intertie |
| kcfs | thousand cubic feet per second |
| kW | kilowatt |
| kWh | kilowatthour |
| LAP | Load Aggregation Point |
| LDD | Low Density Discount |
| LGIA | Large Generator Interconnection Agreement |
| LLH | Light Load Hour(s) |
| LMP | Locational Marginal Price |
| LPP | Large Project Program |
| LT | long term |
| LTF | Long-term Firm |
| Maf | million acre-feet |
| Mid-C | Mid-Columbia |
| MMBtu | million British thermal units |
| MNR | Modified Net Revenue |
| MRNR | Minimum Required Net Revenue |
| MW | megawatt |
| MWh | megawatthour |
| NCP | Non-Coincidental Peak |
| NEPA | National Environmental Policy Act |
| NERC | North American Electric Reliability Corporation |
| NFB | National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS) Biological Opinion (BiOp) |
| NLSL | New Large Single Load |
| NMFS | National Marine Fisheries Service |
| NOAA Fisheries | National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries |
| NOB | Nevada-Oregon border |
| NORM | Non-Operating Risk Model (computer model) |
| NWPA | Northwest Power Act/Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act |
| NP-15 | North of Path 15 |
| NPCC | Northwest Power and Conservation Council |
| NPV | net present value |
| NR | New Resource Firm Power |

| | |
|-------------|--|
| NRFS | NR Resource Flattening Service |
| NRU | Northwest Requirements Utilities |
| NT | Network Integration |
| NTSA | Non-Treaty Storage Agreement |
| NUG | non-utility generation |
| NWPP | Northwest Power Pool |
| OATT | Open Access Transmission Tariff |
| O&M | operations and maintenance |
| OATI | Open Access Technology International, Inc. |
| ODE | Over Delivery Event |
| OS | oversupply |
| OY | operating year (August through July) |
| PDCI | Pacific DC Intertie |
| PF | Priority Firm Power |
| PFp | Priority Firm Public |
| PFx | Priority Firm Exchange |
| PNCA | Pacific Northwest Coordination Agreement |
| PNRR | Planned Net Revenues for Risk |
| PNW | Pacific Northwest |
| POD | Point of Delivery |
| POI | Point of Integration or Point of Interconnection |
| POR | point of receipt |
| PPC | Public Power Council |
| PRSC | Participating Resource Scheduling Coordinator |
| PS | Power Services |
| PSC | power sales contract |
| PSW | Pacific Southwest |
| PTP | Point-to-Point |
| PUD | public or people's utility district |
| RAM | Rate Analysis Model (computer model) |
| RAS | Remedial Action Scheme |
| RCD | Regional Cooperation Debt |
| RD | Regional Dialogue |
| RDC | Reserves Distribution Clause |
| REC | Renewable Energy Certificate |
| Reclamation | U.S. Bureau of Reclamation |
| REP | Residential Exchange Program |
| REPSIA | REP Settlement Implementation Agreement |
| RevSim | Revenue Simulation Model |
| RFA | Revenue Forecast Application (database) |
| RHWM | Rate Period High Water Mark |
| ROD | Record of Decision |
| RPSA | Residential Purchase and Sale Agreement |
| RR | Resource Replacement |

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| RRS | Resource Remarketing Service |
| RSC | Resource Shaping Charge |
| RSS | Resource Support Services |
| RT1SC | RHWM Tier 1 System Capability |
| RTD-IIE | Real-Time Dispatch – Instructed Imbalance Energy |
| RTIEO | Real-Time Imbalance Energy Offset |
| SCD | Scheduling, System Control, and Dispatch Service |
| SCADA | Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition |
| SCS | Secondary Crediting Service |
| SDD | Short Distance Discount |
| SILS | Southeast Idaho Load Service |
| Slice | Slice of the System (product) |
| SMCR | Settlements, Metering, and Client Relations |
| SP-15 | South of Path 15 |
| T1SFCO | Tier 1 System Firm Critical Output |
| TC | Tariff Terms and Conditions |
| TCMS | Transmission Curtailment Management Service |
| TDG | Total Dissolved Gas |
| TGT | Townsend-Garrison Transmission |
| TOCA | Tier 1 Cost Allocator |
| TPP | Treasury Payment Probability |
| TRAM | Transmission Risk Analysis Model |
| Transmission System Act | Federal Columbia River Transmission System Act |
| Treaty | Columbia River Treaty |
| TRL | Total Retail Load |
| TRM | Tiered Rate Methodology |
| TS | Transmission Services |
| TSS | Transmission Scheduling Service |
| UAI | Unauthorized Increase |
| UDE | Under Delivery Event |
| UFE | unaccounted for energy |
| UFT | Use of Facilities Transmission |
| UIC | Unauthorized Increase Charge |
| UIE | Uninstructed Imbalance Energy |
| ULS | Unanticipated Load Service |
| USACE | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |
| USFWS | U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service |
| VER | Variable Energy Resource |
| VERBS | Variable Energy Resource Balancing Service |
| VOR | Value of Reserves |
| VR1-2014 | First Vintage Rate of the BP-14 rate period (PF Tier 2 rate) |
| VR1-2016 | First Vintage Rate of the BP-16 rate period (PF Tier 2 rate) |
| WECC | Western Electricity Coordinating Council |
| WSPP | Western Systems Power Pool |

This page intentionally left blank.

1. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

1.1 Introduction

The Power Loads and Resources Study (Study) contains the load and resource data used to develop Bonneville Power Administration’s (BPA’s) wholesale power rates. This Study illustrates how each component of the loads and resources analysis is completed, how the components relate to each other, and how they fit into the rate development process. The Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation (Documentation), BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, contains details and results supporting this Study.

This Study focuses on fiscal years (FYs) 2022-2023 and has two primary purposes: (1) to determine BPA’s monthly and annual energy load and resource balance (load-resource balance); and (2) to provide specific results that are used as inputs in other rate case study processes and calculations. To ensure that BPA has sufficient firm generation to meet its firm load obligations, BPA bases its resource planning on hydro generation estimates under historical critical water conditions (1937). *See* § 3.1.2.1.3 below.

This Study provides inputs for various other studies, processes, and calculations in the ratemaking process. The results of this Study provide data to (1) the Power Rates Study, (2) the Power Revenue Requirement Study, (3) the Power and Transmission Risk Study, (4) the Generation Inputs Study, and (5) the Power Market Price Study and Documentation.

1.2 Overview of Methodology

This Study includes three main components: (1) load data, including a forecast of the Federal system loads and contract obligations; (2) resource data, including Federal system generating resource and contract purchase estimates, total Pacific Northwest (PNW) regional hydro resource estimates, and the estimated power purchases that are eligible for

1 Section 4(h)(10)(C) credits under the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and
2 Conservation Act (Northwest Power Act), 16 U.S.C. § 839–839h; and (3) the Federal system
3 load-resource balance, which compares Federal system loads, contract obligations, and
4 sales to the Federal system generating resources and contract purchases.

5
6 The first component of the Power Loads and Resources Study is the Federal system load
7 obligation forecast—the firm energy that BPA expects to serve during FY 2022-2023 under
8 firm requirements contract obligations and other BPA contract obligations. The load
9 estimates are discussed in Section 2 of this Study and are detailed in the Power Loads and
10 Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A.

11
12 The second component of this study is resource data, which includes the forecast of
13 (1) Federal system resources, (2) PNW regional hydro resources, and (3) power purchases
14 eligible for 4(h)(10)(C) credits. The Federal system resource forecast includes hydro and
15 non-hydro generation estimates plus power deliveries from BPA contract purchases. The
16 Federal system resource estimates are discussed in Section 3.1 below and are detailed
17 in the Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A. The PNW
18 regional hydro resources include all hydro resources in the PNW, whether Federally or
19 non-Federally owned. The regional hydro estimates are discussed in Section 3.2 below and
20 are detailed in the Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A.

21 The resource estimates used to calculate the 4(h)(10)(C) credits are discussed in
22 Section 3.3 below, and the estimated power purchases eligible for 4(h)(10)(C) credits are
23 detailed in the Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A.

24
25 The third component of this Study is BPA’s load-resource balance, which is calculated on an
26 annual average energy basis for each year of the rate period, FY 2022 and FY 2023. BPA’s

1 firm energy load-resource balance is calculated by subtracting BPA's load and contract
2 obligations from the Federal system resources. The load-resource balance is discussed in
3 Section 4 below and is detailed in the Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation,
4 BP-22-FS-BPA-03A.

5
6 Throughout the Study and Documentation, the load and resource forecasts are shown using
7 three different measurements. The first, energy in average megawatts (aMW), is the
8 average amount of energy produced or consumed over a given time period, in most cases a
9 month. The second measurement, heavy load hour energy in megawatthours (MWh), is the
10 total MWh generated or consumed over the heavy load hours of a given time period. Heavy
11 load hours (referred to as either Heavy or HLH) can vary by contract but generally are
12 clock hours 06:00 to 22:00 Monday through Saturday, excluding North American Electric
13 Reliability Corporation (NERC) holidays. The third measurement, light load hour energy in
14 MWh, is the total MWh generated or consumed over the light load hours of a given
15 timeframe. Light load hours (referred to as either Light or LLH) can also vary by contract
16 but generally are clock hours 23:00 to 05:00 Monday through Saturday, all day Sunday, and
17 all day on NERC holidays. Resource forecasts are shown using an additional measurement,
18 one-hour capacity. One-hour capacity (in MW) is the single highest one hour of forecast
19 generation per month and represents the peak forecast capacity that a resource can be
20 expected to generate in that month. These measurements are used to ensure that BPA will
21 have adequate resources to meet the variability of loads.

This page intentionally left blank.

2. FEDERAL SYSTEM LOAD OBLIGATION FORECAST

2.1 Overview

The Federal System Load Obligation forecasts include (1) BPA's projected firm requirements power sales contract (PSC) obligations to consumer-owned utilities (COUs) and Federal agencies (together, for purposes of this Study, called Public Agencies or Public Agency Customers); (2) PSC obligations to investor-owned utilities (IOUs); (3) PSC obligations to direct-service industries (DSIs); (4) reserve power delivery obligations to the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation); and (5) other BPA contract obligations, including contract obligations outside the PNW region (Exports) and contract obligations within the PNW region (Intra-Regional Transfers (Out)). This section summarizes BPA's forecasts of these obligations.

2.2 Public Agencies' Total Retail Load and Firm Requirements Power Sales Contract (PSC) Obligation Forecasts

In December 2008, BPA executed PSCs with Public Agencies under which BPA is obligated to provide power deliveries from October 1, 2011, through September 30, 2028. These contracts are referred to as Contract High Water Mark (CHWM) contracts. Three types of CHWM contracts were offered to customers: Load Following, Slice/Block, and Block (with or without Shaping Capacity). Of the 135 BPA Public Agency CHWM customers, 119 have Load Following contracts, 13 have Slice/Block contracts, and three have Block contracts.

BPA's obligation to serve Public Agency Customers under their CHWM contracts incorporates the following: Tier 1 System Capability; updated forecasts of each customer's total load obligation; individual customers' dedicated resource amounts; and individual customers' elections for Above-Rate Period High Water Mark (Above-RHWM) load service. The Tier 1 System Capability is determined for each rate period in the RHWM Process.

1 Above-RHWM load is determined for each rate period in the RHWM Process; any Above-
2 RHWM load service placed on BPA is seen as Tier 2 Load Service. *See* Power Rates Study,
3 BP-22-FS-BPA-01, § 1.4.2.

4
5 Under the CHWM contracts, BPA's load obligation to each customer can consist of RHWM
6 load and Above-RHWM load. The RHWM Process sets the maximum amount of power that
7 a customer may purchase each year of the rate period at the Tier 1 rate, subject to that
8 customer's calculated Net Requirement net of its New Large Single Loads (NLSLs). *See*
9 Tiered Rate Methodology (TRM), BP-12-A-03, § 4.2. Above-RHWM load for each year of the
10 rate period is calculated by subtracting the customer's RHWM from the difference between
11 its forecast Total Retail Load (TRL) (less NLSLs) and its existing resources.

12
13 Each customer elects how to serve Above-RHWM load by (1) adding new non-Federal
14 dedicated resources; (2) buying power from sources other than BPA; and/or (3) requesting
15 BPA to supply all or a part of this power. *See* TRM, BP-12-A-03, § 4.3. Under the terms of
16 the CHWM contract and the TRM, the first two options are identified as self-supply and
17 result in a change in the dedicated resource amounts for that customer. If a customer
18 elects for BPA to serve all or part of its Above-RHWM load, BPA will first serve this load
19 from federal surplus generation, then, if needed, purchase power or acquire the output
20 from non-federal generating resources in order meet customer's elected Above-RHWM
21 load at a Tier 2 rate. Non-federal power purchased or acquired to serve Tier 2 load is
22 separate and distinct from BPA's Tier 1 System Capability (*see* Power Rates Study, BP-22-
23 FS-BPA-01, § 1.4.2). Above-RHWM load served by BPA is identified as Tier 2 Load Service,
24 and non-federal power purchases and acquisitions above firm Federal surplus generation
25 to serve Tier 2 load are identified as Tier 2 Augmentation.

1 **2.2.1 Load Following PSC Obligation Forecasts**

2 The Load Following product provides firm power to meet the customer’s total retail load,
3 less the dedicated power from the customer’s non-Federal resource generation and
4 purchases from other suppliers. The total monthly firm obligation forecast for Public
5 Agency Customers that purchase the Load Following product is based on the sum of the
6 utility-specific firm requirements PSC load obligation forecasts, which are customarily
7 produced by BPA analysts. The method used for preparing the load obligation forecasts is
8 as follows.

9
10 First, using BPA’s Agency Load Forecast (ALF) model, BPA analysts produce utility-specific
11 forecasts of total retail load by applying least-squares regression on historical monthly
12 energy loads, and for a growing number of customers, a statistically adjusted end-use (SAE)
13 model. The least-squares regression-based models may include several independent
14 variables, such as a time trend, heating degree days, cooling degree days, and monthly
15 indicator variables. The SAE models replace typical independent variables used in load
16 forecasting with calculated indexes for structural measures associated with heating
17 equipment, cooling equipment, and other energy-consuming technologies. Heating and
18 cooling degree days are measures of temperature effects to account for changes in
19 electricity usage related to temperature changes. Heating degree days are calculated when
20 the temperature is below a base temperature, such as 65 degrees F; similarly, cooling
21 degree days are calculated when the temperature is above a base temperature. The results
22 from these computations are utility-specific monthly forecasts of total retail energy load.
23 The energy value for total retail load is split into HLH and LLH time periods using recent
24 historical relationships.

1 Second, estimates of customer-owned and consumer-owned dedicated resource generation
2 and contract purchases dedicated to serve retail loads (including those to serve Above
3 RHWM load) are subtracted from the utility-specific total retail load forecasts to produce
4 BPA's total firm load obligation forecast for each utility. These load obligation forecasts
5 provide the basis for the Load Following product sales projections incorporated in BPA
6 ratemaking.

7
8 A list of the 119 Public Agency Customers that have purchased the Load Following product
9 appears in Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A,
10 Table 1.1.1. BPA's total PSC load obligation forecast including Federal agencies is
11 summarized in *id.*, Tables 1.2.1 for energy, 1.2.2 for HLH, and 1.2.3 for LLH, on Line 3 (Load
12 Following). The components of this forecast are also included in the calculation of the load-
13 resource balance, *id.*, Tables 9.1.1 for energy, 9.1.2 for HLH, and 9.1.3 for LLH, on Line 1
14 (Load Following).

15 16 **2.2.2 Block PSC Obligation Forecasts**

17 The Block product provides a planned amount of firm requirements power to serve the
18 customer's retail load up to its planned net requirement. The Block product provides a
19 planned amount of firm requirements power in a fixed monthly shape. The customer is
20 responsible for using its own non-Federal resources or unspecified resources to meet any
21 load in excess of its planned monthly BPA purchase.

22
23 The three Public Agency Customers that have selected the Block product are identified in
24 *id.*, Table 1.1.2. BPA's forecast of the total Block Obligation is summarized in *id.*,
25 Tables 1.2.1 for energy, 1.2.2 for HLH, and 1.2.3 for LLH, on Line 14 (Tier 1 Block). This

1 forecast is also included in the calculation of the load-resource balance, *id.*, Tables 9.1.1 for
2 energy, 9.1.2 for HLH, and 9.1.3 for LLH, on Line 6 (Tier 1 Block).

4 **2.2.3 Slice/Block PSC Obligation Forecasts**

5 The Slice/Block product provides firm requirements power to serve the customer's retail
6 load up to its planned net requirement. For each fiscal year, the planned annual
7 Slice/Block amounts are adjusted based on BPA's calculation of the customer's planned net
8 requirement under the contract. The Block portion of the Slice/Block product provides a
9 planned amount of firm requirements power in a fixed monthly shape, while the Slice
10 Output from the Tier 1 System portion provides planned amounts of firm requirements
11 power in the shape of BPA's generation from the Tier 1 System.

12
13 The annual Slice/Block forecast and the monthly shape of the Slice/Block product for
14 FY 2022-2023 are calculated by multiplying (1) the Tier 1 Block Monthly Shaping Factors
15 in the customer's CHWM contract by (2) the customer's planned annual net requirement
16 in aMW less its annual forecast Critical Slice Amounts, as defined in the CHWM contract.
17 Critical Slice Amounts are forecast to equal the customer's Slice Percentage, adjusted as
18 described in the TRM, BP-12-A-03, § 3.6, multiplied by the applicable annual RHWM Tier 1
19 System Capability.

20
21 BPA's Slice Output obligation for the Slice/Block customers is forecast by multiplying the
22 monthly forecast of Tier 1 System output by the sum of the individual customers' Slice
23 Percentages as listed in the Slice/Block CHWM contracts. The Tier 1 System output is
24 comprised of specific Federal system resources and contracts identified in the TRM. *See*
25 Section 3.4 below.

1 A list of the 13 Slice/Block customers appears in Power Loads and Resources Study
2 Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Table 1.1.3. BPA's forecast of the total Slice/Block PSC
3 Obligation is summarized in *id.*, Tables 1.2.1 for energy, 1.2.2 for HLH, and 1.2.3 for LLH, on
4 Line 8 (Slice Block) and Line 11 (Slice Output from Tier 1 System). This forecast is also
5 included in the calculation of the load-resource balance, *id.*, Tables 9.1.1 for energy, 9.1.2
6 for HLH, and 9.1.3 for LLH, on Line 8 (Slice).

7 8 **2.2.4 Tier 2 Load Service PSC Obligation Forecasts**

9 The Tier 2 product provides the portion of Above-RHWM load for which customers have
10 elected BPA to serve. Under the CHWM contracts, each customer's load is separated into
11 load that is eligible to be purchased at Tier 1 rates, and Above-RHWM load, which can be
12 purchased from BPA at Tier 2 rates or self-supplied by the customer. The RHWM Process
13 sets the maximum amount of power that a customer may purchase each year of the rate
14 period under Tier 1 rates, subject to that customer's calculated Net Requirement exclusive
15 of its New Large Single Loads (NLSLs). *See* TRM, BP-12-A-03, § 4.2. Above-RHWM load for
16 each year of the rate period is calculated by subtracting the customer's RHWM from the
17 difference between its forecast Total Retail Load (TRL) (less NLSLs) and its existing
18 resources, if positive. Each customer elects how to serve Above-RHWM load. If the
19 customer elects to purchase all or part of its Above RHWM load from BPA, it is called Tier 2
20 load.

21
22 BPA's forecast of the total Tier 2 Load Service Obligation is summarized in Power Loads
23 and Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Tables 1.2.1 for energy, 1.2.2 for
24 HLH, and 1.2.3 for LLH, on Line 17 (Tier 2 - Load Growth) and Line 22 (Tier 2 - Short
25 Term). This forecast is also included in the calculation of the load-resource balance, *id.*,
26 Tables 9.1.1 for energy, 9.1.2 for HLH, and 9.1.3 for LLH, on Line 16 (Tier 2 - Load Service).

1 **2.2.5 Sum of Load Following, Slice/Block, Block and Tier 2 PSC Obligation**

2 **Forecasts**

3 The sum of the projected firm requirements PSC obligations, for customers with CHWM
4 contracts, comprises the Public Agencies Preference Customers’ portion of the Priority
5 Firm Public (PFp) load obligation forecast. Each customer’s load obligation forecast
6 accounts for the reported amount of conservation the customer plans to achieve during the
7 FY 2022-2023 rate period. These forecasts do not include additional BPA-funded
8 conservation beyond what the customers have reported they plan to achieve. As individual
9 customers achieve conservation measures in addition to what they already committed to,
10 the customers will receive credits on their power bills reflecting lower loads due to the
11 additional conservation measures. The annual average energy Priority Firm Power (PF)
12 load obligations for FY 2022-2023 are presented, by product, in Table 1 of this Study.

13
14 **2.3 Investor-Owned Utilities Sales Forecast and Other Load Served at NR Rate**

15 The six IOUs in the PNW region are Avista Corporation, Idaho Power Company,
16 NorthWestern Energy Division of NorthWestern Corporation, PacifiCorp, Portland General
17 Electric Company, and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Most of the IOUs have signed BPA power
18 sales contracts for net requirement service for FY 2011 through 2028; however, no IOUs
19 have chosen to take service under these contracts. If requested, and eligible by contract,
20 BPA would serve any net requirements of an IOU at the New Resource Firm Power (NR)
21 rate. No net requirements power sales to regional IOUs are forecast for FY 2022-2023
22 based on BPA’s current contracts with the regional IOUs.

23
24 In addition, BPA makes power available at the NR rate to any public body, cooperative, or
25 Federal agency to the extent such power is used to serve any NLSL as defined by the
26 Northwest Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 839–839h. BPA also offers products at the NR rate for

1 public agency customers electing to serve their NLSLs with their own dedicated resources.
2 No sales at the NR rate are forecast in the FY 2022-2023 rate period.

3 4 **2.4 Direct Service Industry Sales Forecast**

5 BPA will make power sales deliveries to one direct service industry customer, Port
6 Townsend Paper Corporation (Port Townsend), during the FY 2022-2023 rate period.

7
8 Port Townsend's current contract with BPA runs through September 30, 2022. BPA
9 deliveries under this contract will provide Port Townsend with a maximum contract
10 demand of 15.75 MW through September 30, 2022. Jefferson County PUD serves Port
11 Townsend's wheel-turning load (load not integral to the industrial process) and Port
12 Townsend's Old Corrugated Containers (OCC) recycling plant load, totaling 8.5 aMW.
13 Jefferson County PUD's load forecast reflects this service arrangement. In this study, BPA
14 assumes that it will continue to serve the remainder of Port Townsend's load during the
15 entire FY 2022-2023 rate period, approximately 12 aMW.

16
17 BPA's DSI contract obligation is included in the Federal system load-resource balance in the
18 Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Tables 9.1.1 for
19 energy, 9.1.2 for HLH, and 9.1.3 for LLH, on Line 11 (DSI Obligation).

20 21 **2.5 Reclamation Irrigation District Obligations**

22 BPA provides power from the Federal system for Reclamation project loads and to serve
23 several irrigation districts associated with Reclamation projects. These irrigation districts
24 have been authorized by Congress to receive reserved power from specified Federal
25 Columbia River Power System (FCRPS) projects as part of the Reclamation project
26 authorization. Reclamation also may purchase power from the FCRPS if reserved power is

1 not sufficient to serve irrigation loads. BPA does not contract directly with these irrigation
2 districts; instead, there are several agreements between BPA and Reclamation that provide
3 details on the power deliveries.

4
5 A list of Reclamation obligations appears in the Power Loads and Resources Study
6 Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Table 1.1.4. BPA’s forecast of the total Reclamation
7 load is summarized in *id.*, Tables 1.2.1 for energy, 1.2.2 for HLH, and 1.2.3 for LLH, on
8 Line 27 (Reclamation Obligation). This forecast is also included in the calculation of the
9 load-resource balance, *id.*, Tables 9.1.1 for energy, 9.1.2 for HLH, and 9.1.3 for LLH, on
10 Line 4 (Reclamation Obligation).

11 12 **2.6 Other Federal System Contract Obligations**

13 BPA provides Federal power to customers under a variety of contract arrangements not
14 included in the Public Agencies, IOU, DSI, or Reclamation forecasts. These contract
15 obligations are categorized as (1) power sales, (2) power or energy exchanges, (3) capacity
16 sales or capacity-for-energy exchanges, (4) power payments for services, and (5) power
17 commitments under the Columbia River Treaty. These arrangements, collectively called
18 “Other Contract Obligations,” are specified by individual contract provisions and can have
19 various delivery arrangements and rate structures. BPA’s Other Contract obligations are
20 considered to be firm and are assumed to be served by the Federal system resources
21 regardless of weather, water, or economic conditions. These contracts include obligations
22 delivered to entities outside the PNW region (Exports) and obligations delivered to entities
23 within the PNW region (Intra-Regional Transfers (Out)). These contract obligations are
24 modeled individually and are specified for monthly energy in aMW, HLH, and LLH.

1 BPA's Export contract obligations are detailed in the Power Loads and Resources Study
2 Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Tables 2.1.1 for energy, 2.1.2 for HLH, and 2.1.3 for
3 LLH. BPA's Intra-Regional Transfers (Out) contract obligations are detailed in *id.*,
4 Tables 2.3.1 for energy, 2.3.2 for HLH, and 2.3.3 for LLH. These forecasts are also included
5 in the calculation of the load-resource balance, *id.*, Tables 9.1.1 for energy, 9.1.2 for HLH,
6 and 9.1.3 for LLH, on Line 14 (Exports) and Line 15 (Intra-Regional Transfers (Out)).

7
8 BPA's load-resource balance in this Study is used to help set the Priority Firm Tier 1 rates.
9 Trading floor sales are included in BPA's load-resource balance. Revenue impacts of
10 trading floor contracts are reflected as presales of secondary energy and are included as
11 secondary revenues credited to non-Slice customer rates.

12

3. RESOURCE FORECAST

3.1 Federal System Resource Forecast

3.1.1 Overview

BPA markets power and provides transmission services to serve the firm electric load needs of its customers. BPA does not own generating resources; rather, BPA markets power from Federal and specific non-Federal generating resources to meet BPA's Federal load obligations. In addition, BPA purchases power to serve firm requirements load through contracts that add to the Federal system resource capability. These resources and contract purchases are collectively called "Federal system resources." Federal system resources are classified as hydro resources (regulated, independent, and small hydro projects); other resources (large thermal and renewable resources); and contract purchases. Federal system resource forecasts are adjusted to take into account reserves and transmission loss estimates, which reduce the Federal system resource capability.

3.1.2 Hydro Generation

The Federal system hydro resources are comprised of the generation from regulated, independent, and small hydro projects. Regulated hydro projects and the process used for estimating the generation of regulated hydro projects are detailed in Section 3.1.2.1 below. Independent hydro projects and the methodology used for forecasting the generation of independent hydro projects are described in Section 3.1.2.2 below. BPA also purchases the output from two small hydro projects. The generation estimates for these small hydro projects were provided by the individual project owners and are assumed not to vary by water year; they are included in Section 3.1.2.3 below.

1 **3.1.2.1 Regulated Hydro Generation Forecast**

2 BPA markets the generation from the Federal system hydro projects. These projects are
3 primarily owned and operated by either the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) or
4 Reclamation.

5
6 This Study uses BPA’s hydrosystem simulator model (HYDSIM) to estimate the energy
7 production that can be expected from specific hydroelectric power projects in the Columbia
8 River Basin when operating in a coordinated fashion and meeting power and non-power
9 requirements for 80 historical water years (October 1928 through September 2008). The
10 hydro projects modeled in HYDSIM are called regulated hydro projects.

11
12 The hydro regulation study uses individual project operating characteristics and conditions
13 to determine the energy production expected from each individual project. Physical
14 characteristics of each project come from annual Pacific Northwest Coordination
15 Agreement (PNCA) data submittals from regional utilities and government agencies
16 involved in the coordination and operation of regional hydro projects. The HYDSIM model
17 provides project-by-project monthly energy generation estimates for the regulated hydro
18 projects for each water year modeled. HYDSIM incorporates and produces data for
19 14 periods per year: 10 calendar months and two periods each for April and August. April
20 and August are modeled differently because the hydro system generation can differ
21 significantly between the beginning and end of these months due to changes in
22 streamflows and operating constraints. This 14-period data set is referred to as monthly
23 data for simplicity.

24
25 There are three main steps of the hydro regulation studies that estimate regulated hydro
26 generation. First, the Canadian operation is determined based on the best available

1 information from the Columbia River Treaty (Treaty) planning and coordination process.
2 The Treaty calls for an Assured Operating Plan (AOP) to be completed six years prior to
3 each operating year and a Detailed Operating Plan (DOP) to be completed, if necessary, the
4 year prior to the operating year. The DOP reflects modifications to the AOP if agreed to by
5 the U.S. and Canada and is usually completed a few months prior to the beginning of the
6 operating year. These official DOP studies from the Treaty process are not available in time
7 for use in BPA's ratemaking process. Therefore, "surrogate DOP" studies are used to
8 represent the best available estimate for Canadian Treaty operations. The "surrogate DOP"
9 studies include the official AOP study assumptions plus the most recent plant data and
10 constraints available from project owners through the PNCA planning and coordination
11 process.

12
13 Second, an Actual Energy Regulation study (AER step) is run in HYDSIM to determine the
14 operation of the hydro system under each of the 80 years of historical water conditions
15 while meeting the Firm Energy Load Carrying Capability (FELCC) produced in the PNCA
16 final hydro regulation. In this step, the Canadian operation is first determined by the
17 "surrogate DOP" study, and then the U.S. Federal, U.S. non-Federal, and Canadian reservoirs
18 draft water to meet the Coordinated System FELCC while meeting individual reservoir non-
19 power operating requirements.

20
21 Third, an 80-year operational study (OPER step) is run in HYDSIM with the estimated
22 regional firm loads developed for each year of the study and with any deviations from the
23 PNCA data submittals necessary to reflect expected operations during the rate period. In
24 the OPER step the non-Federal projects are fixed to their operations from the AER step, and
25 the Federal projects operate differently based on the deviations from PNCA data and the
26 estimated regional firm load.

1 In summary, a “surrogate DOP” is used to determine the Canadian operations; an AER step
2 is run based on PNCA data to determine the operation of the non-Federal projects; and an
3 OPER step is run to determine the operation of the Federal projects based on PNCA data
4 plus additional assumptions needed to reflect expected operations. The end result of these
5 three steps is generally referred to as the hydro regulation study. *See* Power Loads and
6 Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, § 8.

7
8 For the Power Loads and Resources Study, separate hydro regulation studies are
9 performed for each year of the rate period. Completing hydro regulation studies for each
10 year allows the hydro generation estimates to capture changes in the variables that
11 characterize yearly variations in hydro operations due to firm loads, firm resources,
12 markets for hydro energy products in better-than-critical water conditions, and project
13 operating limitations and requirements. These variables affect the amount and timing of
14 energy available from the hydro system and are updated annually to reflect current
15 expectations. Sections 3.1.2.1.1-4 below contain additional details on the process of
16 producing the regulated hydro generation estimates used in this Study.

17
18 Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Tables 3.1.1 for
19 energy, 3.1.2 for HLH, 3.1.3 for LLH, and 3.1.4 for one-hour capacity, Lines 1-14, list the
20 Federal hydro projects included in BPA’s Regulated Hydro Generation forecast. The
21 regulated hydro HLH/LLH split and one-hour capacity is based on the Federal system
22 regulated hydro generation estimates produced by BPA’s Hourly Operating and Scheduling
23 Simulator (HOSS) analyses, which utilize the HYDSIM hydro regulation studies as their base
24 input. *See* Section 3.1.2.1.4 below.

1 The net regulated hydro energy generation provide inputs for the Power and Transmission
2 Risk Study, BP-22-FS-BPA-05, and the Power Market Price Study and Documentation,
3 BP-22-FS-BPA-04. The HLH and LLH Federal system regulated hydro generation estimates
4 are later combined with the Federal system independent hydro HLH and LLH estimates, in
5 the Power and Transmission Risk Study.

7 **3.1.2.1.1 Assumptions in the HYDSIM Hydro Regulation Study**

8 The HYDSIM studies encompass the power and non-power operating requirements
9 expected to be in effect during the rate period, including those described in the *Biological*
10 *Assessment of Effects of the Operations and Maintenance of the Federal Columbia River*
11 *System on ESA-Listed Species* (2020 BA) and any modifications that arose during the
12 development of the associated biological opinions issued by the National Oceanic and
13 Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Fisheries and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
14 (USFWS). The HYDSIM studies also include operations described in the Northwest Power
15 and Conservation Council's (NPCC) Fish and Wildlife Program published October 2014 and
16 amended in 2020. More specifically, the HYDSIM studies incorporate spring spill up to
17 applicable water quality standards for Total Dissolved Gas (TDG) and summer spill
18 informed by the results of biological performances standard testing conducted over the last
19 decade to measure dam passage survival for out-migrating juvenile fish (performances
20 standard spill). In total, the HYDSIM studies include the operational measures contained in
21 the Columbia River System Operations (CRSO) Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
22 Record of Decision (ROD) released in September 2020. Certain measures that are physical
23 structural modifications (*e.g.*, upgrading spill weirs), for example, were typically excluded
24 from the rate period based on estimated project implementation and completion timelines.
25 Each of these hydro regulation studies specifies particular hydroelectric project operations
26 for fish, such as seasonal flow objectives, minimum flow levels for fish, spill for juvenile fish

1 passage, reservoir target elevations and drawdown limitations, and turbine operation
2 requirements. Specific assumptions for the HYDSIM hydro regulation studies are detailed
3 in the Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Section 8.

4
5 HYDSIM uses hydro plant operating characteristics in combination with power and non-
6 power requirements to simulate the coordinated operation of the hydro system. These
7 operating requirements include but are not limited to: storage content limits determined
8 by rule curves; maximum project draft rates determined by each project owner as provided
9 by the annual PNCA data submittals; and flow and spill objectives described in applicable
10 NOAA Fisheries and USFWS biological opinions. Some limited deviations from the 2020
11 PNCA data submittals were necessary to accurately model anticipated operations for the
12 rate period, such as fine-tuning the study to reflect typical in-season management decisions
13 that are not reflected in the 2020 PNCA data submittals.

14
15 The following is an overview of the HYDSIM modeling changes have been made since the
16 BP-20 Power Loads and Resources Final Study (see Power Loads and Resources Study
17 Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, § 8 for more detail).

- 18 • The AER is based on PNCA data submittal updates for Operating
19 Year 2021. The notable AER updates are:
 - 20 ○ Canadian project operations have been updated based on the
21 “surrogate 2022 DOP” using the Corps’ water supply forecast.
22 Because the 2022 and 2023 AOP studies include identical Canadian
23 operations, the surrogate DOP studies are the same within the
24 FY 2022 and FY 2023 HYDSIM studies.
 - 25 ○ CRSO EIS Preferred Alternative flood controls were updated from the
26 Corps, including Storage Reservation Diagram changes at Libby and

1 Grand Coulee dams, decrease in the Grand Coulee draft rate used in
2 planning to 0.8 feet/day, and a new Grand Coulee upstream storage
3 adjustment methodology.

4 ○ Water supply forecast updated to correspond with updated flood
5 controls.

6 ○ Juvenile Passage spill operations were updated to reflect the 2019-
7 2021 Spill Operation Agreement.

8 ● In the OPER study, the regional residual hydro loads (RRHL) used in
9 HYDSIM were updated to include current forecasts of loads, contract
10 sales and purchases, and non-hydro generation. The RRHL are calculated
11 by subtracting the regional firm non-hydro resources from the total
12 regional firm load. The RRHL in the BP-22 HYDSIM study are about
13 631 aMW higher than in the BP-20 HYDSIM study when averaged over
14 the two-year rate period.

15 ● Updates to the OPER study were also made to better reflect expected
16 actual operations in the rate period from 2018 BA and the CRSO EIS
17 preferred alternative:

18 ○ Deeper draft of storage projects were allowed for hydropower generation at
19 Dworshak in January-March periods and Grand Coulee in September-October
20 periods.

21 ○ Sliding scale summer drafts at Libby and Hungry Horse were adjusted to
22 summer-ending elevations based on local forecasts for each project. This
23 operation is intended to provide flexibility in balancing objectives for
24 meeting both local resident fish and downstream flow augmentation.

- 1 ○ Dworshak operations to the variable draft limits (VDL) during January-March
- 2 were updated to potentially increase generation and reduce spill while
- 3 protecting the ability to refill.
- 4 ○ Grand Coulee operations for variable storage content in September (between
- 5 1277-1288 feet) and October (between 1283-1288 feet) were updated for
- 6 power operations depending on available water and market conditions.
- 7 ● The John Day reservoir was operated a foot higher in April-May to disrupt
- 8 salmonid predator reproduction.
- 9 ● Spill updates since the BP-20 Power Loads and Resources Final Study:
 - 10 ○ Juvenile bypass spill in this Study, for FY 2022 and FY 2023, was
 - 11 modeled to match the FY 2020 fish passage plan (125 percent TDG
 - 12 flex-spill operation) for the spring spill period in all water conditions
 - 13 for the eight lower Snake and lower Columbia projects. Spill during
 - 14 the summer period was set to the performance standard spill level.
 - 15 ○ This spill operation includes the late summer transition spill that was
 - 16 first implemented in 2020 under the Flexible Spill Agreement, where
 - 17 spill levels are reduced between August 15-31.
 - 18 ○ 10 thousand cubic feet per second (kcfs) of spill was modeled at
 - 19 McNary, Ice Harbor, Lower Monumental, and Lower Granite, and
 - 20 5 kcfs at Little Goose to provide safe and effective downstream
 - 21 passage for adult steelhead that overshoot and then migrate back
 - 22 downstream during the months when these fish are actively migrating
 - 23 but when there has not been any scheduled spill for juvenile fish
 - 24 bypass. As described in the 2020 BA, this spill for overshoots will be
 - 25 provided at least three days each week, and four hours each day, from
 - 26 October 1 to November 15 and from March 1 to March 31.

1 ○ TDG spill caps at eight Lower Snake and Lower Columbia projects were
2 updated based on the Corp’s February 1, 2021, PNCA data submittal,
3 reflecting observations and information gleaned during the 2020 spill
4 season.

5 • Spill priorities and TDG spill production estimates have been updated to
6 incorporate the most recent data for overgeneration spill. Federal
7 powerhouse availability factors have been updated using a combination
8 of planned outages, forced outages (based on historical data and current
9 forecasts of equipment condition), and updated balancing and operating
10 reserve requirement assumptions. These components are incorporated
11 into the availability factors in HYDSIM to reflect reductions in
12 powerhouse generating capability.

13 • The lack of market spill has been updated based on estimates from the
14 Aurora® model.

15
16 The separate effects of these HYDSIM modeling changes have not been analyzed, but
17 resulted in overall changes to the Federal generation profile. These changes generally
18 decrease firm annual average generation in 1937 critical water conditions (explained in
19 Section 3.1.2.1.3 below) over the two-year rate period relative to results of the final BP-20
20 Power Loads and Resources Study . The BP-22 rate period annual average Federal
21 generation in 1937 critical water conditions decreases about 183 aMW compared to the
22 BP-20 rate period. The BP-22 rate period 80-year annual average Federal generation
23 decreases about 172 aMW compared to the BP-20 rate period. The Federal generation
24 decrease is largely attributable to the loss of Federal generation that resulted from the
25 updates to the spill assumptions, as well as the Libby and Hungry Horse sliding scale drafts.
26

1 The assumptions used in the hydro regulation studies were the same for both years of the
2 rate period, FY 2022 and FY 2023, except for the following:

- 3 • The hydro availability factors used to model anticipated unit outages
4 were different in the FY 2022 and FY 2023 studies.
- 5 • The RRHL forecasts were calculated specifically for each study year. The
6 loads incorporated in the FY 2023 hydro regulation study are about
7 326 aMW higher than the loads projected for the FY 2022 hydro
8 regulation study on an annual average basis.
- 9 • The amounts of spill due to lack of market were different in the two hydro
10 regulation studies. These differences come from the Aurora® model
11 which simulates the different anticipated market conditions in FY 2022
12 and FY 2023.

14 **3.1.2.1.2 80-Year Modified Streamflows**

15 The HYDSIM model uses streamflows from historical years as the basis for estimating
16 power production of the hydroelectric system. The HYDSIM studies are developed using
17 the 2010 modified streamflow data set. Historical streamflows are modified to reflect the
18 changes over time due to the effects of irrigation and consumptive diversion demand,
19 return flow, and changes in contents of upstream reservoirs and lakes. The modified
20 streamflows are also adjusted in this Study to include updated estimates of Grand Coulee
21 irrigation pumping using data provided by Reclamation in its PNCA data submittal for
22 Operating Year 2022.

23
24 Eighty years of streamflow data are used because hydro is a resource with a high degree of
25 variability in generation from year to year. The Study uses an 80-year hydro regulation
26 study to forecast the expected operations of the regulated hydro projects for varying hydro

1 conditions. Approximately 80 percent of BPA’s Federal system resource stack is comprised
2 of hydro generation, which can vary annually by about 5,000 aMW depending on water
3 conditions. HYDSIM estimates regulated hydro project generation for varying water
4 conditions and takes into account specific flows, volumes of water, elevations at dams,
5 biological opinions, and many other aspects of the hydro system.

6 7 **3.1.2.1.3 Critical Water for Firm Planning**

8 To ensure that the agency has sufficient generation to meet load, BPA bases its resource
9 planning on critical water conditions. Critical water conditions are when the PNW hydro
10 system would produce the least amount of power while taking into account the historical
11 streamflow record, power and non-power operating constraints, the planned operation of
12 non-hydro resources, and system load requirements. For operational purposes, BPA
13 currently defines critical water conditions as those that occurred during the critical period
14 of September 1, 1936, through April 30, 1937, as determined in the PNCA planning process.
15 For planning purposes and to align with the fiscal years used in this study, however, the
16 study uses the historical streamflows from October 1936 through September 1937 water
17 conditions as the critical period. These streamflows are designated “1937 critical water
18 conditions.” The hydro generation estimates under 1937 critical water conditions
19 determine the critical period firm energy for the regulated and independent hydro projects.
20 This is called the firm energy load-carrying capability, or FELCC.

21 22 **3.1.2.1.4 Regulated Hydro HLH/LLH Split and One-Hour Capacity Calculations** 23 **using HOSS**

24 The monthly energy produced by HYDSIM for each regulated hydro project is split between
25 heavy and light load hours and provide inputs for RevSim in the Power and Transmission
26 Risk Study, BP-22-FS-BPA-05, Section 4.1.1.1.2. To calculate the HLH/LLH regulated hydro

1 splits, BPA completes an hourly simulation of the regulated hydro projects' operation using
2 the computer model Hourly Operating and Scheduling Simulator (HOSS). The hourly
3 outputs of HOSS are not directly used for ratemaking purposes. Rather, the hourly HOSS
4 outputs are used to derive monthly Federal system regulated hydro energy relationships.
5 These monthly energy relationships provide the monthly HLH energy and LLH energy
6 shapes used in ratemaking.

7
8 To simulate hourly Federal regulated hydro generation, the HOSS model uses HYDSIM
9 monthly project flows, monthly reservoir content, and other power and non-power
10 constraints discussed in Section 3.1.2.1 above. HOSS studies also incorporate current
11 forecasts of monthly Regulating Reserve, Operating Reserve, Load Following Reserve,
12 Dispatchable Energy Resource Balancing Service (DERBS) Reserve, and Variable Energy
13 Resource Balancing Service (VERBS) Reserve.

14
15 The resulting HOSS studies shape the monthly energy from HYDSIM into HLH and LLH
16 Federal hydro generation for each of the 80-water-year conditions of the study period.
17 These projections are the basis for the Federal system hydro energy relationships that
18 provide the monthly HLH and LLH energy splits that are shown in the Power Loads and
19 Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Tables 3.1.2 and 3.1.3 and are inputs
20 to the Power and Transmission Risk Study, BP 22-FS-BPA-05, Section 4.1.1.1.5.1. These
21 forecasts are also included in the calculation of the load-resource balance, which is
22 included in the Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A,
23 Tables 9.1.1 for energy, 9.1.2 for HLH, and 9.1.3 for LLH, on Line 25 (Regulated Hydro-Net).

24
25 The same HOSS studies provide the hourly peak Federal hydro generation values for each
26 month of the 80-water-year conditions. The hourly outputs from HOSS are entered into a

1 Microsoft Excel spreadsheet, and the curve-fitting function in Excel is used to generate a
2 peaking capacity curve and associated equation for each period that reflects the one-hour
3 peaking capacity. The equations are then applied to the HYDSIM monthly generation
4 estimates, which results in a one-hour peaking capacity (variable Y) for any input average
5 energy generation (variable X). The monthly one-hour capacity values are shown in the
6 Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Table 3.1.4.

7 8 **3.1.2.2 Independent Hydro Generation Forecast**

9 Federal independent hydro includes hydro projects whose generation output typically
10 varies by water condition; however, the generation forecasts for these projects are not
11 modeled or regulated in the HYDSIM study. BPA markets the power from independent
12 hydro projects that are owned and operated by Reclamation, the Corps, and other project
13 owners. Federal independent hydro generation and one-hour capacity estimates are
14 provided by Reclamation and the Corps for 80 water years (October 1928 through
15 September 2008). These estimates also include power purchased from the Cowlitz Falls
16 hydro project owned by Lewis County Public Utility District. Power Loads and Resources
17 Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Tables 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3, and 3.2.4, Lines 1-18,
18 list the hydro projects included in BPA's Independent Hydro Generation forecast.

19 The energy estimates for Federal independent hydro generation used in this Study are
20 summarized in *id.*, Tables 3.2.1 for energy, 3.2.2 for HLH, 3.2.3 for LLH, and 3.2.4 for
21 one-hour capacity, Line 20. This forecast is also included in the calculation of the load-
22 resource balance, *id.*, Tables 9.1.1 for energy, 9.1.2 for HLH, and 9.1.3 for LLH, on
23 Line 26 (Independent Hydro-Net).

24
25 The HLH/LLH splits and the one-hour capacity for the independent hydro generation
26 estimates are developed based on historical generation data. This Study provides the

1 monthly HLH and LLH generation for the Federal system independent hydro resources
2 used in the Power and Transmission Risk Study.

3 4 **3.1.2.3 Small Hydro Generation Forecast**

5 BPA's small hydro resource purchases are from the Dworshak/Clearwater Small Hydro
6 project and Rocky Brook hydro project. Generation estimates for these small hydro
7 projects are provided by each individual project owner and are assumed not to vary by
8 water year. Small hydro resources are detailed in the Power Loads and Resources Study
9 Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Tables 3.3.1 for energy, 3.3.2 for HLH, 3.3.3 for LLH,
10 and 3.3.4 for one-hour capacity. This forecast is also included in the calculation of the load-
11 resource balance, *id.*, Tables 9.1.1 for energy, 9.1.2 for HLH, and 9.1.3 for LLH, on Line 27
12 (Small Hydro Resources).

13 14 **3.1.3 Non-Hydro Renewable Generation Forecasts**

15 Non-hydro renewable generation includes the purchased output from non-Federally
16 owned wind and solar resources (Federal purchases of shares of the Condon Wind Project,
17 Klondike I Wind Project, Klondike III Wind Project, and Stateline Wind project). The
18 generation and capacity forecasts for these resources take into account historical
19 generation values. These projects are detailed in *id.*, Tables 4.2.1 for energy, 4.2.2 for HLH,
20 4.2.3 for LLH, and 4.2.4 for one-hour capacity. This forecast is also included in the
21 calculation of the load-resource balance, *id.*, Tables 9.1.1 for energy, 9.1.2 for HLH, and 9.1.3
22 for LLH, on Line 28 (Non-Hydro Renewable Resources).

23 24 **3.1.4 Thermal Generation Forecasts**

25 Thermal generation forecasts include the purchased output from non-Federally owned
26 projects and project generation that is directly assigned to BPA. The only thermal resource

1 is the Columbia Generation Station project. Forecasts for this project include a two-year
2 refueling cycle. The generation and capacity forecast incorporates facility and equipment
3 improvements made since the final BP-20 Power Loads and Resources Study.
4 The generation forecast for Columbia Generating Station is shown in the Power Loads and
5 Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Tables 4.1.1 for energy, 4.1.2 for HLH,
6 4.1.3 for LLH, and 4.1.4 for one-hour capacity. This forecast is also included in the
7 calculation of the load-resource balance, *id.*, Tables 9.1.1 for energy, 9.1.2 for HLH, and 9.1.3
8 for LLH, on Line 32 (Thermal).

9 10 **3.1.5 Contract Purchases**

11 BPA purchases or receives power under a variety of contractual arrangements to help meet
12 Federal load obligations. The contracts are categorized as (1) power purchases, (2) power
13 or energy exchange purchases, (3) capacity-for-energy exchange contracts, (4) power
14 purchased or assigned to BPA under the Columbia River Treaty, and (5) transmission loss
15 returns under Slice/Block contracts. These arrangements are collectively called “Contract
16 Purchases.” The transmission loss returns category captures the return of Slice
17 transmission losses to the Federal system by Slice customers under Slice/Block contracts.
18 BPA’s Contract Purchases are considered firm Federal system resources that are delivered
19 to the Federal system regardless of weather, water, or economic conditions.

20
21 BPA’s expected Contract Purchases are detailed in the documentation as follows. Power
22 purchases from delivery points outside the PNW region are termed Imports, which are
23 found in the Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A,
24 Tables 2.2.1 for energy, 2.2.2 for HLH, and 2.2.3 for LLH. Non-Federal Canadian
25 Entitlement Return (CER) deliveries are found in *id.*, Tables 2.4.1 for energy, 2.4.2 for HLH,
26 and 2.4.3 for LLH. Power purchases from delivery points within the PNW region are called

1 Intra-Regional Transfers (In) and are found in *id.*, Tables 2.3.1 for energy, 2.3.2 for HLH,
2 and 2.3.3 for LLH. Slice Transmission Loss Returns to BPA do not have their own detailed
3 table but are included in the Federal system load-resource balance in the forecasts of
4 “Contract Purchases.” *See id.*, Tables 9.1.1 for energy, 9.1.2 for HLH, and 9.1.3 for LLH, on
5 Line 40 (Imports), Line 41 (Intra-Regional Transfers (In)), Line 42 (Non-Fed CER), and
6 Line 43 (Slice Transmission Loss Returns).

8 **3.1.6 Uncommitted Purchases**

9 Uncommitted Purchases include estimates of any Tier 1 system augmentation purchases
10 required to meet any annual deficits of the Federal system to meet Tier 1 load service, and
11 Tier 2 augmentation to meet Tier 2 load service that is greater than the forecasted available
12 Federal System, in order for the Federal System to be in load-resource balance. Calculation
13 of augmentation purchases are discussed in Section 4.2 below. *See Power and*
14 *Transmission Risk Study, BP-22-FS-BPA-05, § 4.1.1.2.3.*

16 **3.1.7 Federal System Transmission Losses**

17 Federal system transmission loss estimates are treated as generation reductions in this
18 Study. These losses are calculated monthly and vary by water conditions. The loss factors
19 used have several components that combine to give the estimate of losses typically
20 associated with Federal system generation: (1) step-up transformers from generation to
21 the high-voltage transmission network, (2) high-voltage network transmission,
22 (3) transfers to Federal loads over non-Federal transmission systems, and (4) step-down
23 transformers from high-voltage transmission to low-voltage delivery. The Federal system
24 transmission loss factors used in this Study are:

- 25 • Energy is 3.02 percent, October thru May; 3.38 percent, June thru August;
26 and 3.02 percent in September.

- Capacity is 3.07 percent, October thru May; 3.43 percent, June thru August; and 3.07 percent in September.

The estimated magnitude of each loss factor component for energy and capacity is as follows:

(1) Step-up transformers between the Federal generation and the transmission network: average losses of 0.31 percent for energy and 0.36 percent for capacity.

(2) High-voltage network: uses a monthly factor set by season.

| October | November | December | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September |
|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| 1.95% | 1.95% | 1.95% | 1.95% | 1.95% | 1.95% | 1.95% | 1.95% | 2.31% | 2.31% | 2.31% | 1.95% |

(3) Transfer service to Federal system loads over non-Federal transmission systems: average losses of 0.49 percent for energy and 0.43 percent for capacity.

(4) Step-down transformers: average losses of 0.27 percent for energy and 0.33 percent for capacity.

These transmission loss factor components were developed in 1992 and reaffirmed by Transmission Services in 1994, 2000, and 2011. In 2014, BPA updated the transmission loss factor for the third component, transfer service to Federal loads over non-Federal transmission systems; this update was first included in studies for the BP-16 rate case. In addition, BPA has now updated the second component, High-voltage network losses, which are used in this Study. See BPA Open Access Transmission Tariff, TC-22-A-03-AP01, Schedule 11. The Power and Transmission Risk Study and the Power Rates Study also use these transmission loss factors.

1 **3.2 Regional Hydro Resources**

2 **3.2.1 Overview**

3 This Study produces total PNW regional hydro resource estimates for FY 2022 and
4 FY 2023. Additionally, it provides the hydro resource inputs for the Aurora® model, which
5 provides forecasts used in the Power Market Price Study and Documentation, BP-22-FS-
6 BPA-04.

7
8 **3.2.2 PNW Regional 80-Water-Year Hydro Generation**

9 PNW regional hydro resource estimates are one of the inputs to the Aurora® model and are
10 comprised of all PNW regulated, independent, and small hydro resources for FY 2022 and
11 FY 2023. Regulated hydro generation estimates for this study are developed for each of the
12 80 water years (October 1928 through September 2008) using the HYDSIM study
13 described in Section 3.1.2.1 above. Independent hydro generation estimates are provided
14 by the project owners for the same 80 water years. *See* Section 3.1.2.2, above. Small hydro
15 generation estimates are provided by the project owners and are assumed not to vary by
16 water year. Small hydro projects are described in Section 3.1.2.3, above.

17
18 The total regional regulated, independent, and small hydro energy is summarized for each
19 of the 80 water years for FY 2022-2023 in the Power Loads and Resources Study
20 Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Section 5.

21
22 **3.3 4(h)(10)(C) Credits**

23 **3.3.1 Overview**

24 The Northwest Power Act directs BPA to make expenditures to protect, mitigate, and
25 enhance fish and wildlife affected by the development and operation of Federal
26 hydroelectric projects in the Columbia River Basin and its tributaries. These expenditures

1 are to be made in a manner consistent with the Power Plan and Fish and Wildlife Program
2 developed by the NPCC and consistent with other purposes of the Northwest Power Act.
3 16 U.S.C. § 839–839h.

4
5 Section 4(h)(10)(C) of the Northwest Power Act requires that the costs of mitigating these
6 impacts be properly accounted for among the various purposes of the hydroelectric
7 projects by making sure that when BPA funds mitigation on behalf of both power and non-
8 power project purposes, ratepayers recoup the non-power share. The non-power purposes
9 include flood control, irrigation, recreation, and navigation. The percentage of costs
10 attributable to non-power purposes is 22.3 percent. This percentage is the systemwide
11 average of cost allocations for non-power purposes of the FCRPS provided by the
12 Reclamation and the Corps for their hydropower projects.

13
14 Following the Northwest Power Act’s requirement for appropriate cost allocation, BPA
15 annually recoups the non-power portion of costs associated with fish measures through
16 “4(h)(10)(C) credits” against BPA’s payments to the U.S. Treasury. This Study estimates
17 the replacement power purchases resulting from changes in hydro system operations to
18 benefit fish and wildlife. These power purchases are part of the calculation of 4(h)(10)(C)
19 credits in the Power and Transmission Risk Study, BP-22-FS-BPA-05, § 4.1.1.1.5.6. The
20 operations to benefit fish and wildlife are described in this Study in Section 3.1.2.1.1.

21 22 **3.3.2 Forecast of Power Purchases Eligible for 4(h)(10)(C) Credits**

23 The power purchases eligible for 4(h)(10)(C) credits are estimated by comparing power
24 purchase estimates between two HYDSIM hydro regulation studies. The first hydro
25 regulation study, termed the “with-fish” study, models hydro system operations using
26 current requirements for fish mitigation and wildlife enhancement under 80 historical

1 water year conditions (October 1928 through September 2008). The HYDSIM study
2 completed for this Study serves as the “with-fish” study for the power purchase estimates.
3 The second hydro regulation study, called the “no-fish” study, models the hydro system
4 operation assuming no operational changes were made to benefit fish and wildlife using
5 the same 80 historical water year conditions.

6
7 BPA estimates the power purchases required to meet a specific firm load (described below)
8 under the with-fish study and the power purchases required to meet the same firm load
9 under the no-fish study. The 4(h)(10)(C) credits do not pertain to the entire generation
10 difference between the with-fish study and the no-fish study; instead, the credits pertain to
11 only a portion of the additional power purchases in the with-fish study. BPA receives
12 4(h)(10)(C) credits for the non-power portion (22.3 percent) of the additional power
13 purchases it must make in the with-fish study relative to the no-fish study.

14
15 The specific firm load used in the calculation of 4(h)(10)(C) credits was a part of the
16 original negotiated arrangement between the Department of Energy and the U.S. Treasury
17 allowing BPA to claim the credits. A fundamental principle of this arrangement for
18 claiming 4(h)(10)(C) credits is that the calculation must not be affected by BPA’s marketing
19 decisions. To separate the credit calculation from BPA marketing decisions, 4(h)(10)(C)
20 credits are calculated using the load that could have been served with certainty while
21 drafting the system from full to empty without fish operations under the worst
22 energy-producing water conditions in the 80-year record (referred to as the critical period,
23 which is 1929-1932 in the no-fish study). This FELCC is the amount of firm energy that
24 BPA would have been entitled to sell without fish operations and is used as the firm load in
25 the 4(h)(10)(C) power purchases analysis.

1 The differences between the Federal FELCC and the Federal generation in the with-fish
2 study determine the power purchases under the with-fish study. Similarly, the differences
3 between the Federal FELCC and the Federal generation in the no-fish study determine the
4 power purchases under the no-fish study. The instances where power purchases are
5 greater in the with-fish study compared to the no-fish study result in power purchases
6 eligible for 4(h)(10)(C) credits. Alternatively, when power purchases are less in the
7 with-fish study than in the no-fish study, the difference constitutes a negative
8 4(h)(10)(C) credit.

9
10 The differences in energy purchase amounts between the with-fish and no-fish hydro
11 studies are calculated for each period and water condition of the 80 water year studies.
12 The differences are shown for the rate period in the Power Loads and Resources Study
13 Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Tables 6.1.1 and 6.1.2. These power purchases are
14 used as inputs to the Power and Transmission Risk Study, where, combined with Aurora
15 market price estimates, they are used to calculate the 4(h)(10)(C) credits for power
16 purchases. The non-power portion (22.3 percent) of the average expense for these
17 purchases is used as the forecast of 4(h)(10)(C) credits for Federal hydro system fish
18 operations.

19 20 **3.4 Use of Tier 1 System Firm Critical Output Calculation**

21 The forecast Tier 1 System Firm Critical Output (T1SFCO) used in the ratemaking process
22 was calculated for the FY 2022–2023 rate period in the BP-22 RHWM Process. Power
23 Rates Study, BP-22-FS-BPA-01, § 1.4.2. The T1SFCO adds forecasts of hydro generation,
24 thermal generation, and contract purchases together, and subtracts specified system
25 obligations as shown in Tables 3.1 through 3.4 in the TRM, BP-12-A-03. RHWM Tier 1
26 System Capability is the sum of the T1SFCO and RHWM Augmentation. TRM, BP-12-A-03,

1 § 3.1. The BP-22 RHWM Process rescaled the CHWMs to this RHWM Tier 1 System
2 Capability to arrive at individual customers' RHWM values for the FY 2022–2023 rate
3 period.

4
5 Supporting tables for the T1SFCO used in this Study for the calculation of the Tier 1 System
6 output are provided in the Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-
7 BPA-03A, Section 7. T1SFCO is 6,667 aMW when averaged over the two-year rate period,
8 FY 2022–2023. *Id.*, Table 7.1.1. RHWM Augmentation is 69 aMW, and RHWM Tier 1
9 System Capability is 6,736 aMW over the two-year rate period, FY 2022-2023. The BP-22
10 RHWM Process calculated an adjusted Slice Output of 22.36267 percent of the RHWM
11 Tier 1 System Capability.

1 **4. FEDERAL SYSTEM LOAD-RESOURCE BALANCE**

2
3 **4.1 Overview**

4 For BPA to plan operations and set power rates, the Federal system must be in load and
5 resource balance; that is, BPA must produce an annual forecast showing that it has enough
6 resources available to meet its forecast firm loads under critical water conditions. The
7 load-resource balance is composed of the monthly energy amounts of BPA’s resources,
8 which include hydro, non-hydro, and contract purchases, less BPA’s load obligations, which
9 are comprised of BPA’s power sales contract obligations and other contract obligations.

10
11 **4.2 Firm Load-Resource Balance**

12 To determine whether the Federal system is in load-resource balance, the forecast amount
13 of BPA’s annual firm energy resources under critical water conditions (1937) is estimated
14 and compared to BPA’s total annual firm energy loads. If BPA’s expected firm energy
15 resources are equal to BPA’s total expected load obligations, then BPA is considered to be
16 in load-resource balance. If the load-resource balance is not zero, BPA calculates
17 adjustments to its loads or resources to maintain BPA in load-resource balance.

18
19 If BPA’s annual firm energy resources are estimated to be greater than BPA’s forecasted
20 firm load obligations, BPA is considered to be annual firm energy surplus. If surplus, BPA
21 would calculate the amount of surplus sales needed to increase load obligations to keep the
22 Federal system in load-resource balance: first by serving Tier 2 loads and then by
23 identifying firm surplus sales if still surplus after serving all of BPA’s Tier 2 loads.

24 Conversely, if BPA’s annual firm energy resources are estimated to be lower than BPA’s
25 forecasted load obligations, BPA is considered to be in annual firm energy deficit. If deficit,
26 BPA would calculate the amount of system augmentation purchases needed to keep the
27 Federal system in load-resource balance. If deficit, BPA calculates the amount of system

1 augmentation needed to meet Tier 1 loads (Tier 1 System Augmentation) and any additional
2 augmentation needed to meet Tier 2 loads (Tier 2 System Augmentation) separately so that
3 it can allocate augmentation costs to the appropriate rates.
4

5 Annual firm surplus sales and system augmentation purchases may not fully balance
6 monthly Federal system HLH or LLH energy surpluses or deficits. Purchases made to meet
7 individual monthly HLH or LLH energy deficits are called balancing purchases and are
8 presented in the Power and Transmission Risk Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-05A.
9

10 **4.3 Firm Federal System Energy Load-Resource Balance**

11 Table 2 shows a summary of the Federal system annual energy load-resource balance for
12 FY 2022-2023. Under 1937 critical water conditions, the Federal system is expected to be
13 in firm energy load-resource balance for each year of the rate period. For FY 2022,
14 152 aMW of firm surplus sales are forecast to achieve load-resource balance; for FY 2023,
15 20 aMW of firm surplus sales are forecast to achieve load-resource balance. Table 2, Line 7.
16 The individual components that make up the Federal system annual energy load-resource
17 balance for FY 2022-2023 are shown in Table 3 and presented monthly in the Power Loads
18 and Resources Study Documentation, BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Tables 9.1.1 (energy), 9.1.2
19 (HLH), and 9.1.3 (LLH).
20

21 **4.4 Federal System 80-Water-Year Load-Resource Balance**

22 To determine the load-resource balance for the Federal system under each of the 80
23 historical water years, the forecast amount of resources for each year is estimated and
24 compared to loads. The 80 Water Year monthly Federal System surpluses/deficits for
25 FY 2022 and FY 2023 are found in the Power Loads and Resources Study Documentation,
26 BP-22-FS-BPA-03A, Tables 10.1.1 for energy, 10.1.2 for HLH, and 10.1.3 for LLH. These are

- 1 used by RevSim in the calculation of secondary energy revenues. *See* Power and
- 2 Transmission Risk Study, BP-22-FS-BPA-05, § 3.1.2.1.

This page intentionally left blank.

SUMMARY TABLES

This page intentionally left blank.

Table 1
Regional Dialogue Preference Load Obligations – Forecast By Product
Annual Energy in aMW

(Sums may not be exact due to rounding)

| | A | B |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| | FY 2022 | FY 2023 |
| Preference Customer Load Obligations | | |
| 1. Load-Following Customers <i>(Includes Federal Agencies and does not include AHWM loads not served by BPA)</i> | 3,275 | 3,288 |
| 2. Block | 474 | 473 |
| 3. Slice/Block | 2,814 | 2,836 |
| 4. Tier 2 Load <i>(AHWM loads placed on BPA)</i> | 152 | 169 |
| 5. Total Preference Load Obligations <i>(sum of Lines 1 through 4)</i> | 6,716 | 6,766 |

Table 2
Loads and Resources – Federal System Summary
Annual Energy in aMW

(Sums may not be exact due to rounding)

| | A | B |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| | FY 2022 | FY 2023 |
| Firm Obligations | | |
| 1. Load Following | 3,275 | 3,288 |
| 2. Tier 1 Block | 474 | 473 |
| 3. Slice | 2,814 | 2,836 |
| 4. Direct Service Industries | 12 | 12 |
| 5. Contract Deliveries <i>(not including Firm Surplus Sale)</i> | 527 | 475 |
| 6. Tier 2 Load Service <i>(AHWM loads served by BPA, includes Resource Remarketing)</i> | 152 | 169 |
| 7. Firm Surplus Sale | 152 | 20 |
| 8. Total Net Obligations <i>(sum of Lines 1 through 7)</i> | 7,407 | 7,273 |
| Net Resources | | |
| 9. Net Hydro Resources | 6,286 | 6,285 |
| 10. Non-Hydro Renewables | 48 | 33 |
| 11. Thermal | 1,116 | 994 |
| 12. Contract Purchases <i>(not incl Augmentation)</i> | 198 | 197 |
| 13. Tier 1 Augmentation Purchases | 0 | 0 |
| 14. Tier 2 Augmentation Purchases | 0 | 0 |
| 15. Federal System Transmission Losses | -240 | -236 |
| 16. Net Total Resources <i>(sum of lines 9 through 15)</i> | 7,407 | 7,273 |
| Surplus/Deficit | | |
| 17. Firm Surplus/Deficit <i>(Line 16 – Line 8)</i> | 0 | 0 |

Table 3
Loads and Resources – Federal System Components
Annual Energy in aMW

(Sums may not be exact due to rounding)

| | A | B |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| | FY 2022 | FY 2023 |
| Firm Obligations | | |
| 1. Load Following Total | 3,275 | 3,288 |
| 2. Preference Customers | 2,986 | 2,996 |
| 3. Federal Agencies | 100 | 104 |
| 4. Reclamation Obligation | 188 | 188 |
| 5. Federal Diversity | 0 | 0 |
| 6. Tier 1 Block Total | 474 | 473 |
| 7. Tier 1 Block Obligation | 474 | 473 |
| 8. Slice Total | 2,814 | 2,836 |
| 9. Slice Block | 1,293 | 1,344 |
| 10. Slice Output from Tier 1 System | 1,521 | 1,491 |
| 11. Direct Service Industries Total | 12 | 12 |
| 12. DSI Obligation | 12 | 12 |
| 13. Contract Deliveries Total | 527 | 475 |
| 14. Exports | 516 | 465 |
| 15. Intra-Regional Transfers (Out) | 11 | 11 |
| 16. Tier 2 Load Service Total | 152 | 169 |
| 17. Preference Customers | 146 | 162 |
| 18. Federal Agencies | 11 | 11 |
| 19. Resource Remarketing | -4 | -4 |
| 20. Uncommitted Sales Total | 152 | 20 |
| 21. Firm Surplus | 152 | 20 |
| 22. Total Firm Obligations <i>(sum of Lines 1+6+8+11+13+16+20)</i> | 7,407 | 7,273 |

Table 3 (continued)
Loads and Resources – Federal System Components
Annual Energy in aMW
(Sums may not be exact due to rounding)

| | A | B |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| | FY 2022 | FY 2023 |
| Net Resources | | |
| 23. Hydro Resources Total | 6,286 | 6,285 |
| 24. Regulated Hydro – Net | 5,935 | 5,933 |
| 25. Independent Hydro – Net | 349 | 349 |
| 26. Small Hydro – Net | 3 | 3 |
| 27. Non-Hydro Renewables Total | 48 | 33 |
| 28. Wind | 48 | 33 |
| 29. Solar | 0 | 0 |
| 30. Other | 0 | 0 |
| 31. Thermal Total | 1,116 | 994 |
| 32. Nuclear | 1,116 | 994 |
| 33. Coal | 0 | 0 |
| 34. Natural Gas | 0 | 0 |
| 35. Patroleum | 0 | 0 |
| 36. Biofuel | 0 | 0 |
| 37. Cogeneration | 0 | 0 |
| 38. Contract Purchases Total | 198 | 197 |
| 39. Imports | 1 | 1 |
| 40. Intra-Regional Transfers (In) | 34 | 34 |
| 41. Non-Federal CER | 134 | 134 |
| 42. Slice Transmission Loss Return | 29 | 28 |
| 43. Uncommitted Purchases Total | 0 | 0 |
| 44. Tier 1 Augmentation | 0 | 0 |
| 45. Tier 2 Augmentation | 0 | 0 |
| 46. Reserves & Losses Total | -240 | -236 |
| 47. Operating Reserves | 0 | 0 |
| 48. Balancing Reserves | 0 | 0 |
| 49. Transmission Losses | -240 | -236 |
| 50. Total Net Resources <i>(sum of Lines 23+27+31+38+43+46)</i> | 7,407 | 7,273 |
| 51. Total Firm Surplus/Deficit <i>(Line 50 - Line 22)</i> | 0 | 0 |

