

From: [Russell, James](#)
To: [Tech Forum](#)
Cc: [Hill, Mike \(Power Management\)](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] EIM charge code presentation (Dec 12)
Date: Wednesday, January 8, 2020 10:15:46 AM

Materials and information provided thus far in the EIM workshops have been helpful. Tacoma has a couple comments that we'd like BPA to take into consideration as it refines and develops its proposal further:

- 1) Are there impacts or differences between Preference customers in BPA's balancing authority and those outside its balancing authority?
- 2) How are deliveries of BPA preference products impacted by interchange between balancing authorities, either within or outside the EIM?
- 3) Charge code allocation have been categorized for either Load or Wheel-through & Interchange, as well as Non-participating Resources. Should there be a distinct category for "Outside BA" customers when considering charge code allocations?

Also, we proposed at the workshop a minor edit to the third bullet on slide 53 for consideration which was indicated as acceptable to BPA:

- Mitigate seams and potential for charge code allocation misalignments with other EIM Entities and BAAs.

Thank you for your consideration of these questions/comments.

Jim Russell
Sr. Power Analyst – Power and Transmission Contracts
Tacoma Power
253-502-8395