

Evaluation Criteria (EC)										
Ranking			Alternative Code	Description			Comments			
Like	Okay	Dislike	Source Maturity (EC-SM)							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-SM-ALT-1	For transition, only accept GIs that are late stage or bypass	For transition, prefer options which would focus transmission study on requests that are in late stage process of interconnection queue; concern that projects in the generator interconnection transition cluster would not be able to be operating before 2030.					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-SM-ALT-2	LGIA executed						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-SM-ALT-3	Issuance of the GI Facilities Study Report						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-SM-ALT-4	Completion of GI Phase Two Cluster Study						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-SM-ALT-5	Completion of GI Phase One Cluster Study AND Execution of GI Phase Two Cluster Study Agreement	Support source maturity requirements consistent with the point when withdrawal penalties attach to GI requests.					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-SM-ALT-6	Completion of Phase One of the GI study plus any needed restudy						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-SM-ALT-7	Completion of Phase One GI study report						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-SM-ALT-8	Completion of Phase One of the GI study						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-SM-ALT-9	Consultant GI Study						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-SM-ALT-10	Minimal GI Criteria						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-SM-ALT-11	Incent LSE Engagement by Providing POR Flexibility						
Like	Okay	Dislike	Load Maturity (EC-LM)							
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-LM-ALT-1	Must be in execution phase (agreements signed/funded)	Potential option for transition study only.					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-LM-ALT-2	Facilities Study required to be completed	Intended to be consistent with Source Maturity.					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-LM-ALT-3	System Impact Study required to be started or completed						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-LM-ALT-4	Feasibility Study required to be completed						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-LM-ALT-5	LLIR must be submitted, but no study required						

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-LM-ALT-6	No requirement for LLIR submittal	
Like	Okay	Dislike	RAS Resource (EC-RAS)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-RAS-ALT-1	Require upon TSR/FTSR submittal	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-RAS-ALT-2	Require prior to preliminary engineering	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-RAS-ALT-3	Require prior to environmental study	Selection based on BPA representation that this is the latest stage in the process where BPA would be comfortable identifying the RAS resource.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-RAS-ALT-4	Require prior to decision to build the relevant project(s)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-RAS-ALT-5	Provide timing flexibility for resource specification, but customer contractually obligated to pay for the service upon project completion regardless of ability to utilize the service	
Like	Okay	Dislike	Requirements for Gen/Load Outside of the BPA Balancing Authority Area (EC-OB)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	N/A	Support continued application of status quo.
Like	Okay	Dislike	PTP requests to NT PODs (EC-PTP)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-PTP-ALT-1	Require demonstration of interest from NITS customer upon submittal	Agree that NITS customers should not be constrained in their resource selection because a PTP request encumbers available capacity to serve increased NITS load.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-PTP-ALT-2	Require demonstration prior to execution of contract	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-PTP-ALT-3	Only NITS Customers Allow to Submit PTP TSRs to serve their load	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-PTP-ALT-4	Status Quo	
Like	Okay	Dislike	Battery-to-Battery (EC-B2B)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-B2B-ALT-1	Disallow battery-to-battery LTF F/TSRs	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-B2B-ALT-2	Allow battery-to-battery F/TSRs if Customer can provide reasonable scenarios	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-B2B-ALT-3	Allow LTF battery-to-battery F/TSRs	

Like	Okay	Dislike	Additional Information (EC-ADD)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-ADD-ALT-1	Modify section 17.2(x) and 29.2(ix) to read “Attachment K and other BPA transmission planning processes”	Preferred option if tariff change is required to implement TC-27 reforms.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-ADD-ALT-2	Use existing language in 17.2(x) and 29.2 (ix) Any additional information required by the Transmission Provider’s planning processes established in Attachment K	
Like	Okay	Dislike	Virtual Hubs Mid-C and NW Market Hub (EC-VHUB)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-VHUB-ALT-1	Remove Mid-C Remote only - see Sub-Alternatives (SUB)	Selected based on BPA representation that MidCRemote was never intended to serve as a trading hub.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-VHUB-ALT-1-SUB-A	Remove Mid-C Remote Only	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-VHUB-ALT-1-SUB-B	Conform to NW Hub	Selected to allow customers with unstudied TSRs involving Mid-C Remote to conform their requests to NWHUB.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-VHUB-ALT-2	Offer Reassessment Only	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-VHUB-ALT-3	Mix of Firm and CF	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-VHUB-ALT-4	Remove both from the LFT market	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-VHUB-ALT-5	Require TSR pairing at NW Hub	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-VHUB-ALT-6	Actively support LTF use of NW Hub	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-VHUB-ALT-7	Status Quo	
Like	Okay	Dislike	Delivering/Receiving Party Validation (EC-PV)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-PV-ALT-1	Require confirmation of Delivering/Receiving Party; if not remove from queue	This option is consistent with NIPPC/RNW earlier comments that a valid request should have some level of validation by the source or sink customer. As discussed in GAT comments, customers should have a range of options to demonstrate this validation. Options could include an executed agreement, term sheet, or other comparable demonstrations. Importantly, customers must have the option to make a financial commitment in lieu of a bilateral demonstration (EC-PV-ALT-4).
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-PV-ALT-2	Utilize contingent validation; remove from queue if deal not executed	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-PV-ALT-3	If unable to provide required bilateral demonstration, provide only Reassessment CFS or Interim Service	

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-PV-ALT-4	Allow financial demonstration in lieu of required bilateral demonstration; if not provided remove from queue	Customers must have this option to make a financial commitment in lieu of a bilateral demonstration in EC-PV-ALT-1.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-PV-ALT-5	Require FERC marketer registration if no bilateral demonstration; if not remove from queue	Okay, but customer must still provide financial demonstration in ALT-4.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-PV-ALT-6	Short-term market only if bilateral demonstration unavailable; remove from (LTF) queue	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-PV-ALT-7	Only Offer Up to 4 years, 11 months	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-PV-ALT-8	Use points system for validation	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-PV-ALT-9	Contingent Validation with Financial Option to Retain TSR	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-PV-ALT-10	Allow a Dispute Mechanism – Only request verification when another party suggests that the information was incorrectly supplied	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-PV-ALT-11	Status Quo, take information at face value without any further validation or confirmation	
Like	Okay	Dislike	Minimum Cap Requirements (EC-MCAP)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-MCAP-ALT-1	Minimum capitalization requirement scaled based on level of transmission service request activity in study.	Support the concept of minimum capitalization requirements; requirements should be high enough to ensure that customers will have the ability to meet the security requirements associated with their transmission service requests; would consider (and may propose) capitalization requirements for levels of transmission service request activity that differ from those detailed in the presentation materials. Would not support a blanket exemption for load serving entities; load serving entities would likely be able to meet the asset requirements without a blanket exemption. Customers should be allowed to satisfy the requirement via the customer or through an affiliate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EC-MCAP-ALT-2	Flat minimum capitalization requirement regardless of level of transmission service request activity in study.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EC-MCAP-ALT-3	Status Quo – do not have a minimum capitalization requirement.	

Interim Service (IS)									
Ranking			Alternative Code	Description			Notes		
Like	Okay	Dislike	Product Options (IS-POPT)						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	IS-POPT-ALT-1	Seasonal Firm NITS					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	IS-POPT-ALT-2	Long Term 6-NN					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	IS-POPT-ALT-3	NITS LT 6-NN and PTP LT Priority 5 Non-Firm Service					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	IS-POPT-ALT-4	CFS - PTP vs NITS - see Sub-Alternatives (SUB)					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	IS-POPT-ALT-4-SUB-A	PTP CFS	Support this option for future state. Requests with ROFR offered CFS with bridge; requests with no ROFR offered reassessment. Should include option to terminate or convert to reassessment if bridge would trigger incremental rate; option to terminate or convert to reassessment if BPA does not bridge.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	IS-POPT-ALT-4-SUB-B	NITS CFS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	IS-POPT-ALT-5	CF on the BPA Network - see Sub-Alternatives (SUB)					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	IS-POPT-ALT-5-SUB-A	for Ready PTP TSRs	Support for transition or future state. Focuses on customers that are more "ready" to take service. Recognize that this option is most efficient when combined with the following options. Service commencement date within 18 months; EC-SM-Alt2 (for transition accept only existing generation or projects in GI late stage or bypass); EC-LM-Alt1 (LLIR in execution phase, signed and funded); EC-RR (RAS resource identified); EC-NP (PTP Request to NT customer); EC-OB (Requirements for loads and resources outside of BPA's BA); EC-BB -Alt1(battery to battery charging ineligible); EC-AD (additional data from customer if necessary); EC-PV (receiving party validation). Would also support exploring new IS-POPT-ALT-8 SUB-A -- Operations Constraint Management. Preference for the option that will maximize offers of interim conditional firm service before 2030.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	IS-POPT-ALT-5-SUB-B	for Ready NITS F/TSRs					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	IS-POPT-ALT-6	Planning Redispatch					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	IS-POPT-ALT-7	Firming up 6-NN in ST					
Like	Okay	Dislike	Mandatory-Voluntary (IS-MV)						
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	IS-MV-ALT-1	Mandatory for early access	Open to considering in the context of Seattle City Light's proposal on 1/15/26; may need limited exceptions.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	IS-MV-ALT-2	Not mandatory until POS has been developed	Okay for future state once proactive planning is in place subject to exceptions				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	IS-MV-ALT-3	Status Quo - Not Mandatory	Customers' ability to remain in queue without taking interim service should be subject to some conditions				

Like	Okay	Dislike	Curtailment Type (IS-CT)		
✓	□	□	IS-CT-ALT-1	Systems conditions only.	In prior study cycles, BPA has indicated preference for system conditions as a curtailment trigger. Concern that prioritizing number of hours would limit quantities of Conditional Firm service that BPA would offer.
□	✓	□	IS-CT-ALT-2	System condition and/or x% number of 8760 hours of the year.	

Queue Management (QM)

Ranking	Alternative Code	Description	Notes		
Like	Okay	Dislike	Applying Evaluation Criteria to the Queue (QM-ECQ)		
✓	□	□	QM-ECQ-ALT-1	Keep existing queue.	Preference for the option that BPA can implement most quickly and efficiently; mild preference to retain existing queue order.
□	✓	□	QM-ECQ-ALT-2	Empty existing queue.	
✓	□	□	QM-ECQ-ALT-3	Apply the new requirements through an agreement.	
Like	Okay	Dislike	Collecting New Evaluation Criteria (QM-CEC)		
□	✓	□	QM-CEC-ALT-1	Start where we are.	Preference for the option that BPA can implement most quickly and efficiently.
□	✓	□	QM-CEC-ALT-2	Customers submit a new data form.	
□	✓	□	QM-CEC-ALT-3	Combine ALT-1 and ALT-2	
Like	Okay	Dislike	Structuring the Queue for Study (QM-SQS)		
□	□	✓	QM-SQS-ALT-1	No Transition Study	Support for transition study based on subgrid analysis -- Alternative PP-TS-ALT-5
□	□	□	QM-SQS-ALT-2	Batch Studies - see Sub-Alternatives (SUB)	Prefer to have strict eligibility criteria to avoid need for batching; However, if queue size requires batching prefer alternatives identified below.
✓	□	□	QM-SQS-ALT-2-SUB-A	Queue order	If queue size requires batching, prefer batching in queue order over alternatives.
□	□	✓	QM-SQS-ALT-2-SUB-B	Geographic	
□	□	✓	QM-SQS-ALT-2-SUB-C	POR/POD	
□	□	✓	QM-SQS-ALT-2-SUB-D	LSE vs. Non-LSE	
□	□	✓	QM-SQS-ALT-2-SUB-E	NITS vs. PTP	
□	✓	□	QM-SQS-ALT-2-SUB-F	Resource/Load maturity	Potential support for transition if batching is necessary.

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	QM-SQS-ALT-2-SUB-G	Options		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	QM-SQS-ALT-3	Cap the LTF Queue		
Like	Okay	Dislike	Handling New (F)TSR Submissions (QM-HNS)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	QM-HNS-ALT-1	Decline All (F)TSRs submitted after 12pm 8/15/24		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	QM-HNS-ALT-2	Study (F)TSRs in Proactive Planning Program (Future State)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	QM-HNS-ALT-3	Include in 2025 TSEP CS Group	Preference for this option based on also incorporating strict eligibility criteria.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	QM-HNS-ALT-4	Second Transition Study		
Like	Okay	Dislike	Firm Service Prioritization (QM-FSP)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	QM-FSP-ALT-1	Status Quo	BPA makes service awards in queue order.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	QM-FSP-ALT-2	Prioritizing Service Readiness		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	QM-FSP-ALT-3	First Right of Refusal	Introduces new complexities and processes, but prefer Alt-3 with right of first refusal over Alt-2 without right of first refusal.	

Proactive Planning (PP)

Ranking			Alternative Code	Description		Notes
Like	Okay	Dislike		Transition Studies (PP-TS)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PP-TS-ALT-1	Main Grid SIS, with Full POS After SIS Decision Point		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PP-TS-ALT-2	Full SIS with Decision Point, prior to full POS	Ranked second because under this option, subgrid constraints are not addressed and this option delays implementation of proactive planning.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PP-TS-ALT-3	Long-Term Planning Study + Partial Commercial Study		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PP-TS-ALT-4	Long-Term Planning Study + Full Commercial Study		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PP-TS-ALT-5	Study to Resolve Interim Service Ineligibility	Prefer options likely to maximize awards of conditional firm service prior to 2030.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PP-TS-ALT-6	Distribution Factors		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PP-TS-ALT-7	10- & 20-Year Transition Study		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	PP-TS-ALT-8	Wait for Future State Process	Open to considering in the context of Seattle City Light's proposal on 1/15/26; would need to be combined with near term awards of conditional firm interim service.	