Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



<u>Proposed Action</u>: Chenoweth - Goldendale Transmission Line Wood Pole Replacement

PP&A Project No.: 4,103

Project Manager: Tina Edwards – TEP-TPP-1

Location: Klickitat County, Washington

Transmission Line/ROW	Structure #	Township	Range	Section	County, State	Ownership/Landuse
Chenoweth- Goldendale	17/5, 17/6, 17/8	04N	15E	30	Klickitat, WA	Private/Range
	19/6	04N	15E	28		Private/Range
	24/1	04N	16E	19		Private/Range

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to replace deteriorating wood-pole structures (including, cross arms, insulators, guy anchors, etc.) at locations specified in the Location section of this document. Replacement would be in-kind and would utilize the existing holes to minimize ground disturbance. If necessary, an auger would be used to remove any loose soil from the existing hole prior to new wood pole placement.

Minor maintenance of landings within their existing landing prisms may be required to allow for safe working conditions. No unauthorized construction activities would occur in any water body including streams, ponds, or wetlands.

The proposed action would help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region. All work would be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Fred Walasavage

Fred Walasavage Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ <u>Sarah T. Biegel</u> Date: <u>May 31, 2019</u>

Sarah T. Biegal

NEPA Compliance Officer – EC-4

Attachment(s):

Environmental Checklist

Effects Determination for T&E Species

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Chenoweth - Goldendale Transmission Line Wood Pole Replacement

Project Site Description

The project activities would be conducted on BPA transmission line rights of way. The site locations consist of private lands. The project area is mostly flat to rolling terrain and is used as rangeland. Vegetation primarily consists of grasses and steppe shrubs.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions				
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	V					
	BPA archeological approval and Washington DAHP concurrence on no adverse effect determination were received on May 29, 2019. The Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs of Oregon, and The Confederated Tribes and Band of the Yakama Nation were consulted—no response.						
	A cultural monitor would be required for work at structure 19/6.						
	Inadvertent discovery form should be provided and explained to the road contractor. In the event of an inadvertent discovery, work would immediately cease and DAHP and BPA archaeologist would be notified.						
2.	Geology and Soils						
	<u>Explanation</u> : The project would require minima would be affected.	l ground-disturbing activi	ties. No prime or unique farmlands				
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitat)						
	Explanation: There are no special-status specie however, any disturbed areas outside of the roa		•				
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)						
	<u>Explanation</u> : No special-status species and habi present in project area for listed wildlife species		project area. No suitable habitat is				

5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		
	Explanation: None present in project area.		
6.	Wetlands	V	
	Explanation: None present in project area.		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	~	
	Explanation: No contact with ground water. The proposition disturbance would be about 10 feet below ground sur		imum depth of
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas	~	
	Explanation: No change in land use and no specifically	y-designated areas identified.	
9.	Visual Quality		
	Explanation: New wood poles would be similar to exist existing structures. Access road work would be consist.	_	ticeably different than
10.	Air Quality	~	
	<u>Explanation</u> : Any fugitive dust or similar during project minimal.	ct implementation is expected to be	temporary and
11.	Noise	~	
	Explanation: Construction noise would be temporary a	and localized.	
12.	Human Health and Safety	V	
	Explanation: Project activities would not impact huma reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the		tion would help
	Evaluation of Other	Integral Elements	
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:			
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.			
V	Require siting and construction or major expansion of	f waste storage, disposal, recovery, o	or treatment

facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

V	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.
 	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Fred Walasavage Date: May 31, 2019

Landowners would be notified by the BPA Reality Specialist prior to construction.

Fred Walasavage

Environmental Protection Specialist