Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



<u>Proposed Action</u>: Transmission Line Structure Hardware and Equipment Maintenance,

Replacement, and Installation

Project Manager: Multiple

Location: Multiple locations in Idaho, Oregon, Washington, Montana, California, Nevada,

Utah, and Wyoming

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.3 Routine Maintenance; B4.6 Additions and modifications to transmission facilities

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to maintain, replace, or install hardware and equipment on its lattice-steel, tubular-steel, and wood pole electrical transmission structures throughout the BPA service territory.

BPA would maintain, replace, or install hardware and equipment along transmission line structures, including but not limited to; cross-arm brackets, insulators, connectors, bracing, jumpers, peaks and associated overhead protected ground wire hardware, and fall protection cable safety systems. Installation would require a line truck and approximately two-to-four workers in the tower and one-to-two workers on the ground. Temporary cribbing may be utilized to level and stabilize line truck out-riggers.

These actions would occur on existing towers of transmission lines that cross both BPA feeowned land and land where BPA possesses an agreed upon easement with the landowner. The towers would be accessed using BPA's existing access road system and the proposed work would not require ground disturbing activities.

Each project would be reviewed by the BPA NEPA lead to ensure that the activities fall within the range of those described in this Categorical Exclusion prior to initiating work.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: <u>December 5, 2019</u>

/s/ <u>Laura Roberts</u> Laura Roberts Biological Scientist

Concur:

/s/ <u>Katey Grange</u> Katey Grange NEPA Compliance Office

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Transmission Line Structure Hardware and Equipment Maintenance, Replacement, and Installation

Project Site Description

Existing transmission towers located in BPA's service territory, including Idaho, Oregon, Washington, western Montana and small parts of eastern Montana, California, Nevada, Utah and Wyoming.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	~			
	Explanation: As no ground disturbance would features do not adversely impact the integrity that this undertaking has No Potential to Effective the control of the control o	of historic transmissio			
2.	Geology and Soils				
	Explanation: The proposed activities would not require ground disturbing activities.				
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)				
	Explanation: The proposed activities may cause minor crushing of existing vegetation surrounding transmission line structures. The activities would not impact any Federal or state special-status species or habitats. There would be no effect to ESA-listed species in the area. The proposed activities would not require plant removal. In locations on BPA access roads or surrounding transmission line structures where ESA-listed plant species (e.g. Kincaid's lupine) are known to occur, established measures or timing restrictions would be implemented, as appropriate, so that activities would have no effect on ESA-listed plant species.				
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special- status species and habitats)	~			
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed activities would not require habitat removal or disturbance. Minor, intermittent noise associated with project activities has the potential for temporary disturbance to nearby wildlife species. In those locations containing nesting sensitive Federal or state bird species (e.g. bald and golden eagles), timing restrictions would be employed to minimize nest disturbance.				
	There would be no effect to ESA-listed spec surrounding transmission line structures who established measures or timing restrictions would have no effect on ESA-listed wildlife s	ere ESA-listed wildlife s would be implemented,	species are known to occur,		

Э.	(including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	V					
	Explanation: The proposed activities would not require in-water work or ground disturbance that would produce sediment that could enter nearby waterways.						
6.	Wetlands						
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed activities would not require ground disturbance that would produce sediment that could enter nearby wetlands. In locations along BPA access roads or surrounding transmission line structures where wetlands occur, appropriate Clean Water Act permitting and authorizations would be obtained prior to implementation.						
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V					
	Explanation: Spill prevention measures would be utilized during project activities. No groundwater or aquifers would be impacted by the activities.						
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<u>~</u>					
	Explanation: No change in land use would occur and activities would not impact land use.						
9.	Visual Quality						
	<u>Explanation</u> : The activities would have minimal impacts to visual quality and the results would remain consistent with the existing surroundings of the transmission line corridor.						
10.	Air Quality						
	<u>Explanation</u> : The activities would generate a small amount of vehicle emissions and dust during construction.						
11.	Noise						
	<u>Explanation</u> : Some temporary construction noise noise of the transmission lines would not change.		s. The operational				
12.	Human Health and Safety						
	<u>Explanation</u> : Workers would follow all standard sa health or safety.	afety protocols. Activities would n	ot impact human				
	Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:							
V	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulat safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE	ory, or permit requirements for er or Executive Orders.	vironment,				
	Explanation, if necessary:						
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are						
	Explanation, if necessary:						
	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contam natural gas products that preexist in the environme unpermitted releases.						

Explanation, if necessary:



Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: All activities would be coordinated with landowners prior to beginning work.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Laura Roberts

Laura Roberts, EPI-4 Biological Scientist Date: December 5, 2019