Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Pride Disposal Gravel Lot Land Use Request

Project No.: 2019014

Project Manager: James Clark – TERR-Chemawa

Location: Washington County, OR

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.9 Multiple use of

powerline rights-of-way

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Pride disposal has requested to install a new graveled lot with driveway/drive through roadway to store garbage containers less than six feet tall in BPA's Vancouver-Eugene (operated as Keeler-Oregon City #2) transmission line right-of-way.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and

Date: April 22, 2019

(3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Doug Corkran
Doug Corkran
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel
Sarah T. Biegel
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Pride Disposal Gravel Lot Land Use Request

Project Site Description

The project area sits under BPA's Vancouver-Eugene (operated as Keeler-Oregon City #2) transmission line right-of-way. The area is surrounded to the east and west by Pride Disposal's graveled or paved container and drop box storage areas. The area is heavily industrial with no residences nearby. The right-of-way is currently a mowed field.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource	No Potential for	No Potential for Significance,		
	Impacts	Significance	with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources				
	Explanation: BPA's archeologist conducted a were identified. BPA determined that the prophistoric resources and the Oregon SHPO conducted a	osed land use would h	ave no potential to affect cultural or		
2.	Geology and Soils				
	Explanation: Some ground-disturbing work was soils would remain on site and there are no gare expected.				
3.	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	V			
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed land use area contains regularly mowed orchard grass, with no trees, or other special-status species present. No adverse impacts to plants are expected.				
4.	Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	V			
	Explanation: The proposed land use area conhabitat value to wildlife. No adverse impacts				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)	V			
	Explanation: The proposed land use area is it. It is also out of any floodplains. No adverse				
6.	Wetlands				
	Explanation: The proposed land use area is impacts to wetlands are expected.	upland, with no wetlan	ds on or adjacent to it. No adverse		

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers				
	Explanation: No hazardous materials are proposed adverse impacts to groundwater or aquifers are exp		d use area. No		
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas				
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed land use would be an extension of the surrounding land use (garbage container and dropbox storage areas). No adverse impact to existing land use is expected.				
9.	Visual Quality	<u></u>			
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed land use would be an extension of the surrounding land use (garbage container and dropbox storage areas) and would look similar. No adverse impacts to visual quality are expected.				
10.	Air Quality	V			
	<u>Explanation</u> : Some minor vehicle emissions and dust would be generated during the installation of the gravel lot, but this would be temporary and minor. No adverse impacts to air quality are expected.				
11.	Noise	<u>v</u>			
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed land use is in an existing industrial area with high noise levels. Additional noise from construction would not rise above the already high ambient noise levels. No adverse impacts from noise are expected.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	<u> </u>			
	<u>Explanation</u> : The proposed land use is an extension of similar land use and activities in the area and would not add any additional human health and safety issues. No adverse impacts to human health and safety are expected.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed acceptance and operated to prevent unauthorized releaccordance with applicable requirements, such as the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National	tivity would be contained or con ease into the environment and c hose of the Department of Agric	fined in a manner onducted in		

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: No landowner notification or coordination is needed.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Doug Corkran</u> Date: <u>April 22, 2019</u>

Doug Corkran, ECT-4

Environmental Protection Specialist