Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



<u>Proposed Action</u>: Continued Columbia River System Power Marketing Services and Activities Consistent with its Biological Opinion

Proposed By: Bonneville Power Administration

Location: Multiple locations in the Columbia River Basin

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.4 Power Marketing Services and Activities; B4.5 Temporary Adjustments to River Operations

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (Bonneville) proposes to continue marketing the power generated from the operation of the 14 federal Columbia River System (CRS) projects located on the mainstem Columbia and Snake Rivers in multiple counties in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, and Montana in a manner to support the implementation of the 2019 NOAA Fisheries CRS Biological Opinion.

The US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) operates and maintains 12 of the 14 CRS projects: Bonneville, The Dalles, John Day, McNary, Ice Harbor, Lower Monumental, Little Goose, Lower Granite, Dworshak, Chief Joseph, Albeni Falls, and Libby Dams. The Corps operates and maintains these projects for flood risk management, navigation, hydropower generation, fish and wildlife conservation, irrigation, recreation, water quality, and municipal and industrial water supply, though not every project is authorized for every one of these purposes. The US Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) operates and maintains the remaining two of the 14 CRS projects: Grand Coulee and Hungry Horse Dams. Reclamation operates these projects to support multiple purposes, including irrigation, hydropower generation, flood risk management, navigation, and municipal and industrial water supply.

Bonneville's role is to market and transmit the power generated by the CRS projects in accordance with Bonneville's statutory directives. To fulfill its obligations to meet power customer loads and provide an adequate, efficient, economical, and reliable power supply, Bonneville staff coordinate closely with dam operators (the Corps and Reclamation) to ensure power marketing operations remain within normal operating limits (including operations that may change spill levels at different times during the day [e.g., flexible spill operations]). These operations are managed with other project purposes and Columbia River System-wide operating constraints, including operations to support Endangered Species Act (ESA)-listed fish, such as listed salmon, steelhead, and sturgeon.

On November 2, 2018, Bonneville, the Corps, and Reclamation (Action Agencies) requested initiation of formal consultation with NOAA Fisheries under Section 7 (a)(2) of the ESA, and submitted a consultation package which included a description of the Federal action describing how the hydropower system would be operated. That consultation initiation request noted that the Action Agencies were engaged in discussions with regional sovereigns with the goal of developing a spring spill operation (i.e. flexible spill operations) that balance increased spill for ESA-listed salmon and steelhead, increased power generation during periods of high demand, and increased implementation feasibility for the operation that would extend from 2019 and 2020. On December 19, 2018, the Action Agencies submitted a letter to NOAA Fisheries amending the spill and hydropower operation and transportation portions of the previously-submitted proposed action as a result of those discussions. NOAA Fisheries received a letter from the Corps dated March 8, 2019, on behalf of the Action Agencies which included additions to the

Proposed Action that are beneficial to salmonids and not intended to alter proposed CRS operations. On March 29, 2019, NOAA Fisheries issued the 2019 CRS Biological Opinion.

The Action Agencies are implementing CRS operations and other actions identified in the 2019 NOAA Fisheries CRS Biological Opinion, and are complying with the reasonable and prudent measures and terms and conditions of the Incidental Take Statement (ITS). Bonneville's power marketing services and activities and power demand changes are consistent the 2019 NOAA Fisheries CRS Biological Opinion and are within existing operating constraints and normal operating limits of CRS projects.

The Action Agencies would implement offsite mitigation actions, such as tributary and estuary habitat improvement actions, conservation and safety net hatchery projects, and predator management and monitoring programs to benefit ESA-listed salmon and steelhead. Bonneville provides funding to multiple local, state, tribal, and Federal entities as part of its fish and wildlife program to implement offsite mitigation actions listed in various Biological Opinions for ESA-listed species as well as offsite mitigation actions for non-listed species. The Bonneville fish and wildlife program also supports efforts to protect, mitigate, and enhance fish and wildlife affected by the development and operation of the CRS under the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act of 1980 (Northwest Power Act) (16 USC 839b (h)(10)(A)).

Bonneville completes site-specific environmental analysis prior to implementing fish and wildlife protection, mitigation, and enhancement actions. This analysis includes review under applicable laws and regulations, such as the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). Examples of Bonneville-funded tributary and estuary habitat improvement, and conservation and safety net hatchery actions that support implementation of the 2019 NOAA Fisheries CRS Biological Opinion, as well as the fish and wildlife program, include:

- Steigerwald Habitat Restoration and Flood Control Project (DOE/EA-2027)
- Wallooskee-Youngs Confluence Restoration (DOE/EA-1974)
- Bird Track Springs Fish Habitat Enhancement Project (DOE/EA-2032)
- Upper Stillwaters and Stormy A Restoration Project (DOE/EA-2058)
- Springfield Sockeye Hatchery Project (DOE/EA-1913)
- Nez Perce Tribal Hatchery Programs (DOE/EA-2078)
- Chief Joseph Hatchery Program (DOE/EIS-0384)

During the course of the implementation of future actions associated with marketing power from the CRS Projects and the other actions addressed in the 2019 NOAA Fisheries CRS Biological Opinion, projects would continue to undergo site-specific environmental review prior to implementation. If projects change the status quo or directly impact the physical environment, commensurate NEPA analysis would be conducted. Actions that would likely change the status quo are being evaluated as part of the ongoing CRSO EIS process and associated ESA consultations. If the selected EIS alternative changes the status quo, these considerations would be analyzed as part of the CRSO EIS.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), Bonneville has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 Code of Federal Regulation 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, Bonneville finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: April 2, 2019

/s/ Katey Grange

Katey Grange Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Continued CRS Power Marketing Services and Activities Consistent with its Biological Opinion

Project Site Description

The 14 federal CRS projects are located on the mainstem Columbia and Snake Rivers in multiple counties in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, and Montana.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources					
	Explanation: Because operations to support power marketing would remain within normal system operating limits, there is expected to be no change in the level of effects from dam operations to historic or cultural resources.					
2.	Geology and Soils					
	<u>Explanation</u> : Because operations to support power limits, there is expected to be no change in the lever resources.	_				
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	V				
	Explanation: Because operations to support power limits, there is expected to be no change in the level listed or special-status species.	_				
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V				
	Explanation: Because operations to support power limits, there is expected to be no change in the level habitat.	_				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)					
	Explanation: Because operations to support power limits, impacts from dam operations to water resort to migration timing and depth compensation for the Essential Fish Habitat conservation recomment Opinion. Analysis conducted by Washington Depagas levels may change during the day, this would agas level. Operations would be managed up to the	ources and fish are exp total dissolved gas. The adations included in the ortment of Ecology dete not necessarily change	ected to be limited in 2019 and 2020 due e Action Agencies would also implement e 2019 NOAA Fisheries CRS Biological ermined that even though total dissolved the maximum allowed total dissolved			

6.	Wetlands					
	<u>Explanation</u> : Because operations to support power mallimits, there is expected to be no change in the level of	_				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Because operations to support power ma limits, there is expected to be no change in the level of					
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Because operations to support power ma limits, there is expected to be no change in the level of	_	•			
9.	Visual Quality	<u>v</u>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Because operations to support power ma limits, there is expected to be no change in the level of					
10.	Air Quality	V				
	Explanation: Because operations to support power marketing would remain within normal system operating limits, there is expected to be no change in the level of effects from dam operations to air quality.					
11.	Noise	V				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Because operations to support power marketing would remain within normal system operating limits, there is expected to be no change in the level of noise effects from dam operations.					
12.	Human Health and Safety	<u>v</u>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Because operations to support power ma limits, there is expected to be no change in the level of	=				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Ord		ment, safety, and			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of stabilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise		treatment			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminant products that preexist in the environment such that the		=			
	Explanation, if necessary: Because operations to supposite system operating limits, there is expected to be no chall hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CEI	nge in the level of disturbance from	dam operations to			
~	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic bio	ology, governmentally designated no	oxious weeds, or			

invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable

requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: Bonneville coordinates extensively with the Corps and Reclamation on the operation of CRS projects. Because operations would remain within normal system operating limits, there would be no change in the level of effects experienced by upstream and downstream land owners.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Katey Grange</u> Date: <u>April 2, 2019</u>

Katey Grange, ECT

Environmental Protection Specialist