



**US Army Corps  
of Engineers®**  
Portland District



---

# **WILLAMETTE VALLEY SYSTEM OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE**

## **APPENDIX K: RECREATION ANALYSIS**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 1 – Recreation Analysis Inputs .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Visitation .....	1
1.2 Unit Day Values .....	10
1.3 Hydrologic Inputs .....	17
<b>CHAPTER 2 - Recreation Effects – National Economic Development.....</b>	<b>20</b>
2.1 Assumptions.....	20
2.1.1 Effects of Interim Operations on Recreation at Detroit Reservoir .....	20
2.2 Methodology.....	21
2.3 Average Annual Effects .....	22
<b>CHAPTER 3 - Uncertainty in Reservoir Recreation Analysis Results .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>CHAPTER 4 - Recreation Effects – Riverine Recreation .....</b>	<b>45</b>
4.1 Changes in Flow at River Gage Locations .....	46
4.2 Whitewater Recreation.....	64
4.2.1 Paradise to Finn Rock – McKenzie River – River Mile Range 71.7 to 54.0 .....	64
4.2.2 Olallie Campground to Paradise Campground – McKenzie River – River Mile Range 80.0 to 71.7.....	66
4.2.3 Finn Rock to Leaburg Dam – McKenzie River – River Mile Range 54.0 to 38.8.....	67
4.2.4 Coast Fork/ Middle Fork Confluence to Alton Baker Park – Willamette River – River Mile Range 187.0 to 182.7 .....	69
4.2.5 Alton Baker Park to Peoria Boat Landing – Willamette River – River Mile Range 182.7 to 141.1 .....	70
4.2.6 Mill City to Mehama – North Santiam River – River Mile Range 47.5 to 38.6 .....	72
4.2.7 Mehama to Stayton– North Santiam River – River Mile Range 38.6 to 28.5 .....	73
<b>CHAPTER 5 - Recreation Effects – Regional Economic Development.....</b>	<b>75</b>
5.1 Assumptions and Methodology.....	75
5.2 RED Total Output By Alternative .....	76
5.3 RED Output: No Action Alternative Vs. Action Alternatives.....	82
<b>CHAPTER 6 - Recreation Effects – Capacity Utilization .....</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>CHAPTER 7 - References .....</b>	<b>92</b>

**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1-1. Boater Visitation Regression.....	4
Figure 1-2. Boat Ramp Estimated Availability Chart – Extremely Dry Year – Detroit Reservoir.....	17
Figure 1-3. Boat Ramp Estimated Availability Chart – Dry Year – Detroit Reservoir.....	18
Figure 1-4. Boat Ramp Estimated Availability Chart – Median Year – Detroit Reservoir.....	18
Figure 1-5. Boat Ramp Estimated Availability Chart – Wet Year – Detroit Reservoir.....	19
Figure 1-6. Boat Ramp Estimated Availability Chart – Extremely Wet Year – Detroit Reservoir.....	19
Figure 4-1. River Gages Used for Riverine Recreation Analysis.....	45

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

Figure 4-2. Paradise to Finn Rock Estimated Watercraft Running Range, McKenzie River Vida, Oregon Gage. .... 65

Figure 4-3. Olallie Campground to Paradise Campground, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, McKenzie, Vida River Gage, Oregon. .... 66

Figure 4-4. Finn Rock to Leaburg Dam, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, McKenzie River, Vida Gage, Oregon. .... 68

Figure 4-5. Coast Fork/Middle Fork Confluence to Alton Baker Park, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, Willamette River, Eugene Gage, Oregon. .... 69

Figure 4-6. Alton Baker Park to Peoria Boat Landing, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, Willamette River, Salem Gage, Oregon. .... 71

Figure 4-7. Mill City to Megama, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, North Santiam River, Mehama Gage, Oregon. .... 72

Figure 4-8. Mehama to Stayton, Estimated Running Range, North Santiam River, Mehama Gage, Oregon. .... 74

Figure 5-1. Core-based Metropolitan Statistical Areas Map. .... 76

Figure 6-1. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Detroit Reservoir, Oregon..... 87

Figure 6-2. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Hills Creek Reservoir, Oregon. ... 87

Figure 6-3. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Cougar Reservoir, Oregon..... 88

Figure 6-4. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Blue River Reservoir, Oregon..... 88

Figure 6-5. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Detroit, Hills Creek, Cougar, and Blue River Reservoirs, Oregon. .... 89

Figure 6-6. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Detroit Reservoir Campgrounds, Oregon. .... 89

Figure 6-7. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Hills Creek Reservoir Campgrounds, Oregon. .... 90

Figure 6-8. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Cougar Reservoir Campgrounds, Oregon. .... 90

Figure 6-9. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Blue River Reservoir Campgrounds, Oregon. .... 91

**LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1-1-1: List of Included Campgrounds ..... 2

Table 1-2: Water Skiers and Boater User Estimates ..... 3

Table 1-3. County Population Change Rate from 2016 to 2024. .... 4

Table 1-4. Annual Visitation Estimates – Green Peter Lake..... 5

Table 1-5. Annual Visitation Estimates – Cottage Grove Lake..... 5

Table 1-6. Annual Visitation Estimates – Dorena Lake. .... 6

Table 1-7. Annual Visitation Estimates – Blue River Lake..... 6

Table 1-8. Annual Visitation Estimates – Cougar Lake..... 7

Table 1-9. Annual Visitation Estimates – Fern Ridge Lake..... 7

Table 1-10. Annual Visitation Estimates – Fall Creek Lake. .... 8

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

Table 1-11. Annual Visitation Estimates – Lookout Point Lake.....	8
Table 1-12. Annual Visitation Estimates – Dexter Lake. ....	9
Table 1-13. Annual Visitation Estimates – Hills Creek Lake. ....	9
Table 1-14. Annual Visitation Estimates – Foster Lake.....	10
Table 1-15. Annual Visitation Estimates – Detroit Lake.....	10
Table 1-16. Unit Day Value General Recreation Scoring Example – Detroit Lake. ....	11
Table 1-17. Unit Day Value Dollar Values from EGM 25-04 in FY 2025 Dollars.....	12
Table 1-18. Assumed Percent Recreational Activity Lost when Boat Ramps are Unusable. ....	13
Table 1-19: UDV Totals by Reservoir.....	13
Table 1-20. UDV Values by Reservoir with Boat Ramp Usability. ....	15
Table 1-21. UDV Values by Reservoir Without Boat Ramp Usability.....	16
Table 2-1. Average Annual Recreation Value Example – Hills Creek Reservoir – Alternative 1. ....	22
Table 2-2. Average Annual Recreation Benefits Example – Hills Creek Reservoir – All Alternatives. ....	23
Table 2-3. Recreation Degree of Effect.....	24
Table 3-1. Annual Visitation Uncertainty Statistics – All Reservoirs - All Alternatives. ....	24
Table 3-2. Annual Visitation Uncertainty Statistics – Total for All Reservoirs - All Alternatives.....	26
Table 3-3. Annual Recreation Value Uncertainty Statistics – All Reservoirs - All Alternatives. ....	26
Table 3-4. Annual Recreation Value Uncertainty Statistics – Total for All Reservoirs - All Alternatives. ...	28
Table 3-5. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Detroit Reservoir. ....	29
Table 3-6. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Foster Reservoir. ....	29
Table 3-7. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Green Peter Reservoir.....	30
Table 3-8. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Cougar Reservoir. ....	30
Table 3-9. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Blue River Reservoir. ....	31
Table 3-10. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Lookout Point Reservoir.....	31
Table 3-11. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Hills Creek Reservoir. ....	32
Table 3-12. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Dexter Reservoir.....	32
Table 3-13. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Fall Creek Reservoir.....	33
Table 3-14. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Dorena Reservoir.....	33
Table 3-15. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Cottage Grove Reservoir. ....	34
<b>Table 3-16. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Fern Ridge Reservoir.....</b>	<b>34</b>
Table 3-17. Recreation Results – All Reservoirs.....	35
Table 3-18. Recreation Results by Alternative – No Action Alternative. ....	35
Table 3-19. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 1. ....	36
Table 3-20. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 2A.....	37
Table 3-21. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 2B.....	38
Table 3-22. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 3A.....	39
Table 3-23. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 3B.....	40
Table 3-24. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 4. ....	41
Table 3-25. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 5. ....	42
Table 3-26. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 6. ....	43
Table 4-1. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 1. ....	46

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

Table 4-2. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 2A. ....	48
Table 4-3. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 2B. ....	50
Table 4-4. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 3A. ....	52
Table 4-5. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 3B. ....	54
Table 4-6. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 4. ....	56
Table 4-7. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 6. ....	60
Table 4-8. Paradise to Finn Rock, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, McKenzie River, Vida Gage, Oregon. ....	65
Table 4-9. Olallie Campground to Paradise Campground, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, McKenzie, Vida River Gage, Oregon. ....	67
Table 4-10. Finn Rock to Leaburg Dam, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, McKenzie River, Vida Gage, Oregon. ....	68
Table 4-11. Coast Fork/Middle Fork Confluence to Alton Baker Park, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, Willamette River, Eugene Gage, Oregon. ....	70
Table 4-12. Alton Baker Park to Peoria Boat Landing, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, Willamette River, Salem Gage, Oregon. ....	71
Table 4-13. Mill City to Mehama, Estimated Running Range, North Santiam River, Mehama Gage, Oregon. ....	73
Table 4-14. Mehama to Stayton, Estimated Running Range, North Santiam River, Mehama Gage, Oregon. ....	74
Table 5-1: Total RED Output, No Action .....	77
Table 5-2: RED Output Alternative 1.....	77
Table 5-3 RED Output Alternative 2A .....	78
Table 5-4 RED Output Alternative 2B.....	78
Table 5-5 RED Output Alternative 3A .....	79
Table 5-6 RED Output Alternative 3B.....	79
Table 5-7 RED Output Alternative 4.....	80
Table 5-8 RED Output Alternative 5.....	80
Table 5-9 RED Output Alternative 6.....	81
Table 5-10 RED Output INT OPS.....	81
Table 5-11 RED Output Difference, Alternative 1 vs. NAA .....	82
Table 5-12 RED Output Difference, Alternative 2A vs. NAA .....	82
Table 5-13 RED Output Difference, Alternative 2B vs. NAA .....	83
Table 5-14 RED Output Difference, Alternative 3A vs. NAA .....	83
Table 5-15 RED Output Difference, Alternative 3B vs. NAA .....	84
Table 5-16 RED Output Difference, Alternative 4 vs. NAA .....	84
Table 5-17 RED Output Difference, Alternative 5 vs. NAA .....	85
Table 5-18 RED Output Difference, Alternative 6 vs. NAA .....	85
Table 5-19 RED Output Difference, INT OPS vs. NAA .....	86

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

As shown in Recreation Environmental Consequences Chapter 3, no substantial effects to recreation are expected under the Preferred Alternative scenario for the Willamette River Basin (WRB) when compared to the No-action Alternative. However, there are expected to be some moderate to major effects in particular locations under some alternative scenarios.

National Economic Development (NED) account recreation effects were analyzed using the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Visitation Estimation Reporting System (VERS), U.S. Forest Service (USFS) visitation data, Unit-Day-Value (UDV) data, as well as USACE Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC) Reservoir System Simulation (ResSim) software modeled reservoir elevation data.

The results of the NED analysis, particularly reservoir annual visitations, were used as inputs into the Regional Economic Development (RED) account model to analyze changes in economic activity. For riverine recreation activities downstream of reservoirs, a qualitative analysis was done using HEC-ResSim modeled flows at several river gage locations across the hydrologic period of record. On an annual basis, there are no substantial effects expected for any of the river gage location/alternative combinations. On a seasonal basis, there are several location/season/alternative combinations that show both positive and negative moderate (+/- 5%-20%) and major effects (+/- >20%) compared to the No-action Alternative.

## **CHAPTER 1 – RECREATION ANALYSIS INPUTS**

### **1.1 VISITATION**

Visitation to Willamette Valley System Reservoirs is estimated using the USACE VERS (USACE, 2022) data for 2016. Visitation is defined as the entry of one person into a recreation area or site to carry on one or more recreational activities. Average visitation estimates were indexed to 2024 using U.S. Census population data for the county in which the project is located (USCB 2016, USCB 2021e). It is recognized that using U.S. Census County population estimates to index 2016 visitation data to 2024 assumes that most visits are by local people. However, it is unknown whether or not this is actually the case. If most visits are actually by non-local people, the visitation estimates in this appendix may be somewhat overstated.

Visitations are tracked by VERS using various tools such as vehicle meters and the National Recreation Reservation System. For instances where a particular recreation activity at a project is not estimated by the VERS, existing visitation and other data were used to estimate visitation for the activity. For example, where number of camping visits data was not available, but campsites are known to exist and are published typically by the U.S. Forest Service, a ratio of campers per campsite was calculated using data where both number of campsites and estimated camping visits were available. This resulted in an estimate of 118.053 campers per campsite per year.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

VERS data provides estimated visitation figures for campgrounds located on USACE/Federal, fee-owned land, state and county-managed campgrounds as well as other known campgrounds, including those operated by the U.S. Forest Service. Other campgrounds within 10 miles of USACE reservoirs were identified using Open Street Map geospatial data (MGC No Date) and are included in the number of estimated camper visitations. Two known dispersed campgrounds, one each near Cougar Reservoir and Blue River Reservoir were included in the data. For these dispersed camping areas, aerial imagery was used to estimate the number of campsites. After examination of several past years of imagery via Google Earth, no images of actual campers could be seen, even though the published dispersed camping areas did look to exist, judging from road access and scattered open and forested areas. It was therefore estimated by best professional judgement that 15 sites exist at each of these locations. It is assumed that many visitors to these nearby campgrounds plan to make the <10- mile journey to the larger reservoir but may under some circumstances choose to forego plans to camp at all if the reservoir is at an undesirably low water elevation. Non-fee-owned land campgrounds added to this analysis by reservoir are shown in the table below.

**Table 1-1-1: List of Included Campgrounds**

<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Camping Areas</b>	<b>Campsites 1</b>
BLUE RIVER LAKE OR	1. Dispersed	15
	2. Mona	23
	3. Lookout	55
COUGAR LAKE OR	1. Slide Creek	16
	2. Sunnyside	13
	3. Cougar Crossing	12
	4. Dispersed	15
DETROIT LAKE	1. Cove Creek	57
	2. Hoover	28
	3. Santiam Flats	26
	4. South Shore	25
	5. Elk Lake	17
	6. Detroit Lake State CG	300
FALL CREEK LAKE OR	1. Big Pool	5
	2. Broken Bowl	16
	3. Clark Creek	1
	4. Dolly Varden	5
GREEN PETER LAKE	1. Boat in Camping	15
	2. Cascadia	22
	3. Cascadia Group	2

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*


HILLS CREEK LAKE	1. Black Canyon	75
	2. Casey's Riverside RV Park	55
	3. Sand Prairie	21
	4. Packard Creek	33
LOOKOUT POINT LAKE OR	1. Ivan Oakes	24
Total	25	876

Other visitation categories where data was unavailable included boaters and water skiers for some areas. For the water skiers, the same ratio methodology described in the preceding paragraph was used to calculate a .62 water skier per boater ratio. To estimate boaters where no data was available, the following table of known values and Figure 1-1 were created that shows the polynomial equation used to estimate the unknown number of boaters where only water skier data is available.

**Table 1-2: Water Skiers and Boater User Estimates**

Reservoir	Water Skier VERS Estimate	Boater VERS Estimate
BLUE RIVER LAKE	652	687
LOOKOUT POINT LAKE	8,369	10,223
DORENA LAKE	23,652	28,595
DEXTER LAKE	40,514	49,026
FERN RIDGE LAKE	88,722	173,594
TOTAL	161,909	262,125

(USACE 2022e, USACE 2022p, USACE 2022j, USACE 2022i, USACE 2022l)



**Figure 1-1. Boater Visitation Regression.**

Estimating visitations in general and particularly by activity is a difficult task for any agency given the limitations of human resources that typically serve the public better in other ways such as keeping facilities clean and safe. Methodologies are continually being improved upon using non-human tools to gather data. This means that visitation data is not always consistent or complete. The important concept for this analysis is that the data is consistent across the alternatives and therefore provides a relative comparison between them.

For this analysis, 2016 visitation numbers were indexed to approximate 2024 values using Lane, Linn, and Marion County population change data from the U.S. Census Bureau. The table below shows data that was used for indexing visitation values to approximate 2024 levels.

**Table 1-3. County Population Change Rate from 2016 to 2024.**

	2016 Population	2024 Population	Index
Lane County	369,519	382,396	1.0348
Linn County	122,814	132,474	1.0787
Marion County	336,316	352,867	1.0492

(USCB 2016, USCB 2024e)

The following tables show visitation estimates for WVS reservoirs included in the recreation effects analysis. Visitation figures are shown at the published 2016 values, as well as the indexed 2024 values.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 1-4. Annual Visitation Estimates – Green Peter Lake.**

<b>GREEN PETER LAKE</b>	<b>2016 Estimated Visits <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2024 Estimated Visits <sup>2</sup></b>
Picnickers	12,150	12,397
Campers	9,612	9,807
Swimmers	16,270	16,601
Water Skiers	20,282	20,694
Boaters	16,570	16,906
Sightseers	60,338	61,562
Anglers	44,200	45,098
Hunters	1,337	1,364

<sup>1</sup> Source: (USACE 2022n)

<sup>2</sup> Estimated adjusted visits are indexed from 2016 to 2024 using data from U.S. Census Bureau 1-Year American Community Survey County level data. Source: (USCB 2016), (USCB 2024)

**Table 1-5. Annual Visitation Estimates – Cottage Grove Lake.**

<b>COTTAGE GROVE LAKE</b>	<b>2016 Estimated Visits <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2024 Estimated Visits <sup>2</sup></b>
Picnickers	57,125	59,116
Campers	3,323	3,439
Swimmers	49,990	51,732
Water Skiers	55,532	57,467
Boaters <sup>3</sup>	68,378	70,761
Sightseers	27,012	27,953
Anglers	43,010	44,509
Other	9,115	9,433

<sup>1</sup> Source: (USACE 2022f)

<sup>2</sup> Estimated adjusted visits are indexed from 2016 to 2024 using data from U.S. Census Bureau 1-Year American Community Survey County level data. Source: (USCB 2016), (USCB 2024e)

<sup>3</sup> Number of boaters are estimated for 2024 using regression analysis as described in Section 1.1 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 1-6. Annual Visitation Estimates – Dorena Lake.**

<b>DORENA LAKE OR</b>	<b>2016 Estimated Visits <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2024 Estimated Visits <sup>2</sup></b>
Picnickers	34,778	35,990
Campers	11,751	12,160
Swimmers	24,108	24,948
Water Skiers	23,652	24,476
Boaters	28,595	29,591
Sightseers	17,403	18,009
Anglers	47,336	48,986
Others	6,759	6,995

<sup>1</sup> Source: (USACE 202016j)

<sup>2</sup> Estimated adjusted visits are indexed from 2016 to 2024 using data from U.S. Census Bureau 1-Year American Community Survey County level data. Source: (USCB 2016), (USCB 2024e)

**Table 1-7. Annual Visitation Estimates – Blue River Lake.**

<b>BLUE RIVER LAKE</b>	<b>2016 Estimated Visits <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2024 Estimated Visits <sup>2</sup></b>
Picnickers	1,501	1,553
Campers <sup>3</sup>	21,958	22,723
Swimmers	627	649
Water Skiers	652	675
Boaters	687	711
Sightseers	8,033	8,313
Anglers	3,179	3,290
Others	428	443

<sup>1</sup> Source: (USACE 2016e)

<sup>2</sup> Estimated adjusted visits are indexed from 2016 to 2024 using data from U.S. Census Bureau 1-Year American Community Survey County level data. Source: (USCB 2016), (USCB 2024e)

<sup>3</sup> Number of campers are estimated using GIS tools and data as well as local, county, and state data as described in Section 1.1 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 1-8. Annual Visitation Estimates – Cougar Lake.**

<b>COUGAR LAKE</b>	<b>2016 Estimated Visits <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2024 Estimated Visits <sup>2</sup></b>
Picnickers	5,963	6,171
Campers <sup>3</sup>	6,572	6,801
Swimmers	3,908	4,044
Water Skiers	3,098	3,206
Boaters <sup>4</sup>	4,425	4,579
Sightseers	18,428	19,070
Anglers	8,100	8,382
Others	1,106	1,145

<sup>1</sup> Source: (USACE 2016g)

<sup>2</sup> Estimated adjusted visits are indexed from 2016 to 2024 using data from U.S. Census Bureau 1-Year American Community Survey County level data. Source: (USCB 2016), (USCB 2024e)

<sup>3</sup> Number of campers are estimated using GIS tools and data as well as local, county, and state data as described in Section 1.1 of this appendix.

<sup>4</sup> Number of boaters are estimated for 2024 using regression analysis as described in Section 1.1 of this appendix -Recreation.

**Table 1-9. Annual Visitation Estimates – Fern Ridge Lake.**

<b>FERN RIDGE LAKE</b>	<b>2016 Estimated Visits <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2024 Estimated Visits <sup>2</sup></b>
Picnickers	177,556	183,743
Campers	14,598	15,107
Swimmers	105,121	108,784
Water Skiers	88,722	91,814
Boaters	173,594	179,643
Sightseers	81,682	84,528
Anglers	118,870	123,012
Others	25,183	26,061

<sup>1</sup> Source: (USACE 2016l)

<sup>2</sup> Estimated adjusted visits are indexed from 2016 to 2024 using data from U.S. Census Bureau 1-Year American Community Survey County level data. Source: (USCB 2016), (USCB 2024e)

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 1-10. Annual Visitation Estimates – Fall Creek Lake.**

<b>FALL CREEK LAKE</b>	<b>2016 Estimated Visits <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2024 Estimated Visits <sup>2</sup></b>
Picnickers	43,083	44,584
Campers <sup>3</sup>	3,702	3,831
Swimmers	60,089	62,183
Water Skiers	47,595	49,254
Boaters	33,278	34,438
Sightseers	3,799	3,931
Anglers	26,883	27,820
Others	19	20

<sup>1</sup> Source: (USACE 2016k)

<sup>2</sup> Estimated adjusted visits are indexed from 2016 to 2024 using data from U.S. Census Bureau 1-Year American Community Survey County level data. Source: (USCB 2016), (USCB 2024e)

<sup>3</sup> Number of campers are estimated using GIS tools and data as well as local, county, and state data as described in Section 1.1 of this appendix.

**Table 1-11. Annual Visitation Estimates – Lookout Point Lake.**

<b>LOOKOUT POINT LAKE</b>	<b>2016 Estimated Visits <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2024 Estimated Visits <sup>2</sup></b>
Picnickers	13,873	14,356
Campers <sup>3</sup>	5,666	5,863
Swimmers	18,981	19,642
Water Skiers	8,369	8,661
Boaters	10,223	10,579
Sightseers	12,662	13,103
Anglers	30,011	31,057
Others	514	532

<sup>1</sup> Source: (USACE 2016p)

<sup>2</sup> Estimated adjusted visits are indexed from 2016 to 2024 using data from U.S. Census Bureau 1-Year American Community Survey County level data. Source: (USCB 2016), (USCB 2024e)

<sup>3</sup> Number of campers are estimated using GIS tools and data as well as local, county, and state data as described in Section 1.1 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 1-12. Annual Visitation Estimates – Dexter Lake.**

<b>DEXTER LAKE</b>	<b>2016 Estimated Visits <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2024 Estimated Visits <sup>2</sup></b>
Picnickers	32,872	34,018
Campers	0	0
Swimmers	29,608	30,640
Water Skiers	40,514	41,926
Boaters	49,026	50,734
Sightseers	28,375	29,364
Anglers	67,479	69,831
Others	1,861	1,926

<sup>1</sup> Source: (USACE 2016i)

<sup>2</sup> Estimated adjusted visits are indexed from 2016 to 2024 using data from U.S. Census Bureau 1-Year American Community Survey County level data. Source: (USCB 2016), (USCB 2024e)

**Table 1-13. Annual Visitation Estimates – Hills Creek Lake.**

<b>HILLS CREEK LAKE</b>	<b>2016 Estimated Visits <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2024 Estimated Visits <sup>2</sup></b>
Picnickers	53	55
Campers <sup>3</sup>	43,444	44,958
Swimmers	8	8
Water Skiers <sup>4</sup>	11,142	11,530
Boaters <sup>4</sup>	10,665	11,037
Sightseers	1,032	1,068
Anglers	335	347
Others	1	1

<sup>1</sup> Source: (USACE 2016o)

<sup>2</sup> Estimated adjusted visits are indexed from 2016 to 2024 using data from U.S. Census Bureau 1-Year American Community Survey County level data. Source: (USCB 2016), (USCB 2024e)

<sup>3</sup> Number of campers are estimated using GIS tools and data as well as local, county, and state data as described in Section 1.1 of this appendix.

<sup>4</sup> Number of boaters and water skiers are estimated 222024 using regression analysis as described in Section 1.1 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 1-14. Annual Visitation Estimates – Foster Lake.**

<b>FOSTER LAKE</b>	<b>2016 Estimated Visits <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2024 Estimated Visits <sup>2</sup></b>
Picnickers	49,661	53,567
Campers	10,127	10,924
Swimmers	33,944	36,614
Water Skiers	27,806	29,993
Boaters	87,287	94,153
Sightseers	180,244	194,421
Anglers	63,465	68,457
Hunters	0	0

<sup>1</sup> Source: (USACE 2016m)

<sup>2</sup> Estimated adjusted visits are indexed from 2016 to 2024 using data from U.S. Census Bureau 1-Year American Community Survey County level data. Source: (USCB 2016), (USCB 2024e)

**Table 1-15. Annual Visitation Estimates – Detroit Lake**

<b>DETROIT LAKE</b>	<b>2016 Estimated Visits <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2024 Estimated Visits <sup>2</sup></b>
Picnickers	16,979	17,815
Campers	102,942	108,008
Swimmers	15,218	15,967
Water Skiers	14,961	15,697
Boaters	14,081	14,774
Sightseers	38,991	40,910
Anglers	22,913	24,041
Hunters	5,596	5,871

<sup>1</sup> Source: (USACE 2016h)

<sup>2</sup> Estimated adjusted visits are indexed from 2016 to 2024 using data from U.S. Census Bureau 1-Year American Community Survey County level data. Source: (USCB 2016), (USCB 2024e)

<sup>3</sup> Number of campers are estimated using GIS tools and data as well as local, county, and state data as described in Section 1.1 of this appendix.

<sup>4</sup> Number of boaters are estimated for 2024 using regression analysis as described in Section 1.1 of this appendix.

## **1.2 UNIT DAY VALUES**

Unit Day Values (UDV) are determined each year by the USACE and represent a general dollar value that can be placed on a visit to a recreation facility. UDV's for this analysis are taken from USACE Economic Guidance Memorandum (EGM) 25-04, "Unit Day Values for Recreation for Fiscal Year 2025" (USACE 2025), and are weighted per project by recreational experience, opportunity, carrying capacity, accessibility, and environmental aesthetic factors. Weights per reservoir across these elements were provided by the USACE Recreation Budget Evaluation

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

System (Rec-BEST). The table below shows an example of the UDV weightings for Detroit Reservoir. Scores are calculated between 0-100.

**Table 1-16. Unit Day Value General Recreation Scoring Example – Detroit Lake.**

<b>UDV Weight Description</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Scoring Criteria &amp; Range</b>	<b>Scoring Criteria &amp; Range</b>	<b>Scoring Criteria &amp; Range</b>	<b>Scoring Criteria &amp; Range</b>	<b>Scoring Criteria &amp; Range</b>
UDV1 Recreation Experience	4	Two general activities (0-4)	Several general activities (5-10)	Several general activities: one high quality value activity (11-16)	Several general activities: more than one high quality high activity (17-23)	Numerous high quality value activities; some general activities (24-30)
UDV2 Availability of Opportunity	2	Several within 1 hour travel time; a few within 30 minutes travel time (0-3)	Several within 1 hour travel time; none within 30 minutes travel time (4-6)	One or two within 1 hour travel time; none within 45 minutes travel time (7-10)	None within 1 hours travel time (11-14)	Non within 2 hours travel time (15-18)
UDV3 Carrying Capacity	4	Minimum facility for development for public health and safety (0-2)	Basic facility to conduct activities (3-5)	Adequate facilities to conduct without deterioration of the resource or activity experience (6-8)	Optimum facilities to conduction activity at site potential (9-11)	Ultimate facilities to achieve intent of selected alternative 12-14)
UDV4 Accessibility	12	Limited access by any means to site or within the site (0-3)	Fair access, poor quality roads to site; limited access within the site (4-6)	Fair access, fair road to site; fair access, good roads within the site (7-10)	Good access, good roads to site; fair access, good roads within the site (11-14)	Good access, high standard road to site; good access within the site (15-18)
UDV5 Environmental	6	Low esthetic factors that substantially lower quality (0-2)	Average esthetic quality: factors exist that lower	Above average esthetic quality: any limiting factors can be	High esthetic quality: no factors exist that lower quality (11-15)	Outstanding esthetic quality: no factors exist that lower quality

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

UDV Weight Description	Rating	Scoring Criteria & Range	Scoring Criteria & Range	Scoring Criteria & Range	Scoring Criteria & Range	Scoring Criteria & Range
			quality to minor degree (3-6)	reasonably rectified (7-10)		(16-20)

Note: Values separated by dashed parenthesis signify the point ranges in the UDV recreational experience scoring. For example, if the Environmental weight in the bottom row is judged to be “Average”, it can be given a score of between 3 and 6 (shown as (3-6) in table).

UDV’s were also separated into two classes, General Hunting and Fishing, as well as General Recreation that includes picnickers, campers, swimmers, boaters, water skiers, and sightseers. The UDV dollar value between these two classes is somewhat different and is shown in Table 1-17 that is taken from EGM 25-04. The point values in the first column represent the scoring value total across the recreation experience, opportunity, carrying capacity, accessibility, and environmental categories. Point values are interpolated in one-point increments but for brevity are not shown below. For example, the Detroit Lake scoring in the table above totals 28 points. This interpolates to a dollar value per visit of \$7.57 for General Recreation and \$9.83 for General Hunting and Fishing per the table below.

**Table 1-17. Unit Day Value Dollar Values from EGM 25-04 in FY 2025 Dollars.**

Point Values	General Recreation Values (\$)	General Fishing and Hunting Values
0	5.17	7.44
10	6.14	8.41
20	6.79	9.05
30	7.76	10.02
40	9.70	11.00
50	11.00	11.97
60	11.97	13.26
70	12.61	13.91
80	13.91	14.88
90	14.88	15.20
100	15.52	15.52

Applying separate UDV dollar values to the number of days boat ramps are usable versus unusable, as described in the Hydrologic Inputs and Recreation Effects on an Annual Basis section of this appendix, requires an assumed percentage loss of visitation when boat ramps are unusable. These assumptions per recreational activity are based on professional judgement through discussions between PDT economists and were passed to USACE field recreation personnel for concurrence. The general rationale is that when boat ramps become unusable, a

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

reservoir is less attractive to visitors both physically and esthetically because of low water levels that often expose steep bank terrain with little or no vegetation. The assumed loss per activity is shown in the table below.

**Table 1-18. Assumed Percent Recreational Activity Lost when Boat Ramps are Unusable.**

Visitation Category	Percent Visitation Loss When Boat Ramps Unusable
Picnickers	25%
Campers	25%
Swimmers	25%
Water Skiers	100%
Boaters	90%
Sightseers	25%
Fishermen	90%
Hunters	0%

The final step toward applying a UDV to each day that a boat ramp is usable versus unusable during the peak recreation season of May 15 to September 15 was to calculate a total annual visitation value for each reservoir respectively and then divide this number by the 124 days of the peak recreation season to derive a daily average UDV value. For example, Detroit Reservoir visitations for all activities tally up to 185,999, 156,574 of which are in the General Recreation category and 29,425 of which are in the General Hunting and Fishing category. Divided by 124, this equals a daily average of 1,263 and 237 for general recreation and general hunting and fishing visits, respectively. The table below shows UDV scoring for each reservoir on usable days.

**Table 1-19: UDV Totals by Reservoir**

	UDV 1	UDV 2	UDV 3	UDV 4	UDV 5	Total	Gen. UDV	Spec. UDV
Green Peter	10	4	4	6	5	29	\$7.66	\$9.92
Cottage Grove	15	6	6	13	7	47	\$10.61	\$11.68
Dorena	13	4	8	14	7	46	\$10.48	\$11.58
Blue River	3	3	3	7	7	23	\$7.08	\$9.34
Cougar	3	3	3	10	9	28	\$7.57	\$9.83
Fern Ridge	9	8	5	6	7	35	\$8.73	\$10.51
Fall Creek	5	6	2	12	6	31	\$7.95	\$10.12
Lookout Point	10	3	5	9	7	34	\$8.54	\$10.41
Dexter	9	2	3	6	4	24	\$7.18	\$9.44
Hills Creek	4	6	2	12	4	28	\$7.57	\$8.00
Foster	14	3	7	14	6	44	\$10.22	\$11.39
Detroit	4	2	4	12	6	28	\$7.57	\$9.83

These daily visitation values were then multiplied by the \$7.57 and \$9.83 values per visit as discussed previously in this subsection, the products summed, and the sum divided by 124. This

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

resulted in a daily UDV total for each reservoir. The results of the calculations described in this paragraph are shown in the tables below for each reservoir with and without boat ramp usability.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 1-20. UDV Values by Reservoir with Boat Ramp Usability.**

<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Total Annual Visits</b>	<b>Annual Visits Gen Rec</b>	<b>Annual Visits Gen Hunt/Fish</b>	<b>UDV Gen Rec</b>	<b>UDV Gen Hunt/Fish</b>	<b>Total General Rec Value</b>	<b>Total Annual Gen Hunt/Fish Rec Value</b>	<b>Total Annual Rec Value</b>	<b>Daily Avg Rec Value</b>
Green Peter	184,429	137,967	46,462	\$7.66	\$9.92	\$1,057,237	\$461,043	\$1,518,280	\$12,244
Cottage Grove	324,410	270,468	53,941	\$10.61	\$11.68	\$2,869,670	\$629,982	\$3,499,652	\$28,223
Dorena	201,156	145,176	55,980	\$10.48	\$11.58	\$1,521,442	\$648,362	\$2,169,803	\$17,498
Blue River	38,357	34,624	3,733	\$7.08	\$9.34	\$245,172	\$34,867	\$280,039	\$2,258
Cougar	53,398	43,871	9,527	\$7.57	\$9.83	\$331,929	\$93,610	\$425,539	\$3,432
Fern Ridge	812,693	663,620	149,073	\$8.73	\$10.51	\$5,793,403	\$1,566,757	\$7,360,160	\$59,356
Fall Creek	226,060	198,221	27,839	\$7.95	\$10.12	\$1,576,650	\$281,680	\$1,858,330	\$14,987
Lookout Point	103,794	72,205	31,589	\$8.54	\$10.41	\$616,346	\$328,902	\$945,248	\$7,623
Dexter	258,438	186,681	71,756	\$7.18	\$9.44	\$1,339,999	\$677,237	\$2,017,236	\$16,268
Hills Creek	69,003	68,655	348	\$7.57	\$8.00	\$519,446	\$2,782	\$522,228	\$4,212
Foster	488,128	419,671	68,457	\$10.22	\$11.39	\$4,289,042	\$779,587	\$5,068,629	\$40,876
Detroit	243,083	213,171	29,912	\$7.57	\$9.83	\$1,612,850	\$293,915	\$1,906,765	\$15,377
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,002,948</b>	<b>2,454,331</b>	<b>548,617</b>			<b>21,773,185</b>	<b>5,798,724</b>	<b>27,571,909</b>	<b>222,354</b>

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 1-21. UDV Values by Reservoir Without Boat Ramp Usability.**


<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Total Annual Visits</b>	<b>Annual Visits Gen Rec</b>	<b>Annual Visits Gen Hunt/Fish</b>	<b>UDV Gen Rec</b>	<b>UDV Gen Hunt/Fish</b>	<b>Total General Rec Value</b>	<b>Total Annual Gen Hunt/Fish Rec Value</b>	<b>Total Annual Rec Value</b>	<b>Daily Avg Rec Value</b>
Green Peter	82,840	76,966	5,874	\$7.66	\$9.92	\$589,788	\$58,290	\$648,078	\$5,226
Cottage Grove	127,640	113,756	13,884	\$10.61	\$11.68	\$1,206,951	\$162,146	\$1,369,097	\$11,041
Dorena	83,183	71,290	11,893	\$10.48	\$11.58	\$747,121	\$137,746	\$884,867	\$7,136
Blue River	82,840	76,966	5,874	\$7.08	\$9.34	\$589,788	\$58,290	\$648,078	\$5,226
Cougar	127,640	113,756	13,884	\$7.57	\$9.83	\$1,206,951	\$162,146	\$1,369,097	\$11,041
Fern Ridge	83,183	71,290	11,893	\$8.73	\$10.51	\$747,121	\$137,746	\$884,867	\$7,136
Fall Creek	82,840	76,966	5,874	\$7.95	\$10.12	\$589,788	\$58,290	\$648,078	\$5,226
Lookout Point	83,183	71,290	11,893	\$8.54	\$10.41	\$747,121	\$137,746	\$884,867	\$7,136
Dexter	82,840	76,966	5,874	\$7.18	\$9.44	\$589,788	\$58,290	\$648,078	\$5,226
Hills Creek	127,640	113,756	13,884	\$7.57	\$8.00	\$1,206,951	\$162,146	\$1,369,097	\$11,041
Foster	83,183	71,290	11,893	\$10.22	\$11.39	\$747,121	\$137,746	\$884,867	\$7,136
Detroit	82,840	76,966	5,874	\$7.57	\$9.83	\$589,788	\$58,290	\$648,078	\$5,226
<b>Total</b>	<b>127,640</b>	<b>113,756</b>	<b>13,884</b>			<b>\$1,206,951</b>	<b>\$162,146</b>	<b>\$1,369,097</b>	<b>\$11,041</b>

The Daily Total UDV values shown in the tables above were multiplied by the number of boat ramp usable and non-usable days during the peak recreation season for each year of the period of record. More details on this methodology are provided in the Recreation Effects on an Annual Basis section of this appendix.

### 1.3 HYDROLOGIC INPUTS

Daily reservoir pool elevation data for each of 83 water years from the HEC-ResSim model was used to determine the percentage of time that boat ramps were available during the peak recreation season of May 15 through September 15. A boat ramp was considered to be usable when the ramp elevation fell below the reservoir pool elevation and unusable when the boat ramp elevation was above the pool elevation. Even though this may not always be exactly the case, as there may be locations where towing vehicles can travel below the boat ramp to launch vessels into the water, it provides an equal comparison across all alternatives. The charts below show examples for Detroit Reservoir of how each alternative pool elevation scenario compares to boat ramp elevations using 5-number summary statistics compiled from the 83-year water year period of record. The charts are based on non-exceedance probability data. For example, the Extremely Dry Year (5% scenario) chart is saying that “5 percent of the time the pool elevation will not exceed these values and 95 percent of the time it will exceed these values.”


**Figure 1-2. Boat Ramp Estimated Availability Chart – Extremely Dry Year – Detroit Reservoir.**



Note: Alternative 5 is the same as Alternative 2B


*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Figure 1-3. Boat Ramp Estimated Availability Chart – Dry Year – Detroit Reservoir.**



Note: Alternative 5 is the same as Alternative 2B


**Figure 1-4. Boat Ramp Estimated Availability Chart – Median Year – Detroit Reservoir.**



Note: Alternative 5 is the same as Alternative 2B


*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Figure 1-5. Boat Ramp Estimated Availability Chart – Wet Year – Detroit Reservoir.**



Note: Alternative 5 is the same as Alternative 2B

**Figure 1-6. Boat Ramp Estimated Availability Chart – Extremely Wet Year – Detroit Reservoir.**



Note: Alternative 5 is the same as Alternative 2B

Alternative 3A is shown on the dark violet in the charts above and stands out as falling below the lowest boat ramp elevation much of the time. This is indicative of Measure 720 where low elevation outlets could be used to pass fish in spring by delaying refill of reservoirs each year until June 15.

## **CHAPTER 2 - RECREATION EFFECTS – NATIONAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

### **2.1 ASSUMPTIONS**

The following assumptions were used for this analysis:

1. The peak recreation season at reservoirs is May 15 to September 15 each year.
2. Due to the majority of visits to reservoirs happening during the peak recreation season and the limited timestamp data accompanying visitation data, visits were unable to be separated out based on time of year. Instead, all visits are binned into the peak recreation season.
3. A boat ramp is considered usable if its elevation falls below the water surface elevation of the reservoir and was considered unusable if its elevation is above the water surface elevation of the reservoir.
4. The general assumption for this analysis is that when reservoir water levels are low enough to prevent boat launching safely via constructed boat ramps, it would discourage the public from going to the reservoir for both physical and esthetic reasons. However, people who do choose to visit a reservoir for recreational purposes when water levels are low value the experience and create the same economic activity as they would any other time.
5. For riverine recreation areas, water flows that are consistent with past flows are directly related to the amount of utility most river recreationists enjoy.

#### **2.1.1 Effects of Interim Operations on Recreation at Detroit Reservoir**

This recreation analysis only considers changes in water levels when discussing changes in recreation benefits. Most of these benefits are accrued through access to boat ramps. This analysis does not quantitatively analyze potential effects on recreation opportunities for each individual class of recreator due to data limitations. Benefits directly tied to the availability of boat ramps during the peak recreation season can compensate for the inability to analyze recreation benefits year-round. Recreators across studied project areas are treated as a population with homogenous preferences.

Due to the aforementioned binning of all visits into the peak recreation season of May 15 to September 15 the effects of the deep drawdown at Detroit on general recreational opportunities are not precisely quantitatively analyzed. Although recreation benefits are not quantified during winter months, using outputs from HEC-ResSim and boat ramp elevations, it is possible to see which boat ramps will be accessible and when across various water year scenarios. During the median water year, the Mongold Boat Ramp – the only ramp accessible during the winter – would be inaccessible from early December to mid-January. There would be

negative impacts to boating related recreation at Detroit Reservoir during this period, but the disruption occurs during the least busy part of the year, minimizing impacts.

Effects of the Interim Operations at Detroit Reservoir are of unique concern in that they include deep drawdowns similar to the ones begun at Green Peter Reservoir in the late summer/early fall 2023. At Green Peter, these drawdowns resulted in a significant loss of resident kokanee salmon within the reservoir. A deep drawdown at Detroit would have adverse impacts on the reservoir's extant kokanee population and introduce the possibility of a decline in angling opportunities. The magnitude of the negative effect of a deep drawdown on the kokanee population at Detroit is unknown. There are, however, mitigating factors that make it less likely to have the oversized impact the deep drawdown at Green Peter had on its population of kokanee.

The deep drawdown at Detroit would be less extensive than the one at Green Peter. Kokanee during the summer and early fall often reside at deeper depths, where preferred water temperatures occur along with food sources. During these times of year, they are vulnerable to entrainment downstream because they often reside at depths close to or in line with where water is discharged through the dam. Under Interim Operations, the deep drawdown at Detroit would start in late November or early December – later in the year. Due to seasonal changes in water temperature, kokanee at this time of year are likely to be more evenly distributed by depth and so will be less likely to become entrained in the outflow from the dam. Kokanee at Detroit are also less likely to become entrained due to the size of the remaining reservoir after the deep drawdown. After the deep drawdown at Detroit, there would be a significantly larger remaining reservoir than there was at Green Peter. Kokanee being distributed over a larger area makes each individual fish less likely to be entrained in the dam's outflow compared to at Green Peter.

Taking these mitigating factors into account, the magnitude of the adverse effects on angling due to a deep drawdown is reduced compared to that observed at Green Peter during recent deep drawdowns. With available data however, it is not possible to say what the impacts to recreation would be. Adverse effects to the kokanee population at Detroit are very likely, to what extent this would affect angling cannot be known. Effects could be negligible to significant.

## **2.2 METHODOLOGY**

To estimate the annual effects of each of the alternatives on recreation, each of the 83 simulated water year outputs from HEC-ResSim were compared to each boat ramp to calculate an annual availability of the ramps based on whether the boat ramp elevation was above or below the daily pool elevation. The availability of individual ramps at each reservoir were then averaged with each other to determine the average annual availability for each reservoir. The annual average number of available (aka "usable") and unavailable (aka "unusable") days were multiplied appropriately by the UDV Daily Average Recreation Values figures shown in far-right column in Table 1-20 of this appendix. Summing the products of annual usable/unusable day visitations and annual usable/unusable average daily UDV values provides recreation benefit

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

estimates in dollar terms, that are then averaged across all reservoir boat ramps to determine the average annual recreation benefit for the reservoir. It should be noted that even though visitation overall decreases in some alternatives, the UDV values for the reservoirs with positive visitation impacts are higher than the UDV values at the reservoirs negatively impacted.

**2.3 AVERAGE ANNUAL EFFECTS**

Results examples from the annual effects to recreation analysis are shown in Table 2-1 and

Table 2-2.

**Table 2-1. Average Annual Recreation Value Example – Hills Creek Reservoir – Alternative 1.**

Sub-basin	Reservoir	Boat Ramp	Elevation (NAVD 88)	Avg. Annual Usable Days <sup>1</sup>	Avg. Annual Unusable Days <sup>1</sup>	Avg. Daily Rec Value: Usable Days	Avg. Daily Rec Value: Unusable Days	Daily Average Rec Benefit
Middle Fork Willamette	Hills Creek	Bingham Landing	1520	85.23	38.77	\$4,212	\$2,179	\$3,576
Middle Fork Willamette	Hills Creek	CT Beach Park	1507	100.70	23.30	\$4,212	\$2,179	\$3,830
Middle Fork Willamette	Hills Creek	Packard Creek	1441	120.46	3.54	\$4,212	\$2,179	\$4,153
Average				102.13	21.87			

Note: Because table values are rounded to two digits, Avg Annual Rec Benefits may not calculate exactly equal to what is shown.

<sup>1</sup> Days water elevation is above/below the boat ramp’s usable level Averaged across 83 water years using HEC-ResSim model pool elevation data and NWP District boat ramp elevation data.

In Table 2-2, the benefits of each action alternative were compared to the no action alternative to estimate the change in value that is anticipated to occur under each alternative scenario. The total annual benefits row shows the summation of all benefits across the 83 simulated water years.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 2-2. Average Annual Recreation Benefits Example – Hills Creek Reservoir – All Alternatives.**

<b>Figures in Thousands</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>INTERIM OPERATIONS</b>
Average Annual Visits	59	63	63	62	59	47	63	60	51	64
Change in Visits from No Action	0	4	5	4	0	-12	5	1	-8	5
Total Benefits	\$36,980	\$39,655	\$39,845	\$39,214	\$37,037	\$29,398	\$39,851	\$37,797	\$30,161	\$40,212
Average Annual Benefits	\$446	\$478	\$480	\$473	\$446	\$354	\$480	\$455	\$368	\$485
Change in Annual Benefits from No Action	\$0	\$32	\$35	\$27	\$1	-\$91	\$35	\$10	-\$78	\$39
Percent Change	0.00%	7.25%	7.77%	6.06%	0.16%	-20.49%	7.77%	2.22%	-17.44%	8.75%
Effects Scale <sup>1</sup>	None	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	None	Major	Moderate	Minor	Moderate	Moderate

Note: All Figures shown in 1,000s. Dollar values are at FY25 Price Level

<sup>1</sup>Effects Scale descriptions shown in Table 2-3

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

The effects scale used in this analysis is shown in Table 2-3.

**Table 2-3. Recreation Degree of Effect.**

Degree of Adverse or Beneficial Effect	Criteria
None/ Negligible	Effects are not measurable or change <1% from the No Action Alternative
Minor	Effects change from 1% to 5% (+/-) from the No Action Alternative
Moderate	Effects change from 5% to 20% (+/-) from the No Action Alternative
Major	Effects change more than 20% (+/-) from the No Action Alternative

### CHAPTER 3 - UNCERTAINTY IN RESERVOIR RECREATION ANALYSIS RESULTS

Uncertainty in the average annual visitors and total recreation value results were measured utilizing the variability in the HEC-ResSim model outputs. HEC-ResSim outputs several stage and flow statistical values based on individual Monte Carlo simulation results. These statistics range from simulated minimum to maximum values. The 25<sup>th</sup> percentile leans toward the minimum value and represents a drier year, while the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile leans toward the maximum value and represents a wetter year. These statistics were used to construct the charts in Figure 1-2 through Figure 1-6 as well as Table 3-2 below.

**Table 3-1. Annual Visitation Uncertainty Statistics – All Reservoirs - All Alternatives.**

Reservoir	Statistic	No Action	Alt 1	Alt 2A	Alt 2B	Alt 3A	Alt 3B	Alt 4	Alt 5	Alt 6	Int Ops
Green Peter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	25	179,074	182,467	171,507	171,507	171,512	82,413	171,699	171,770	172,990	182,863
-	Average	180,263	183,392	173,946	173,946	173,951	84,281	174,188	174,188	174,188	184,429
-	Median	180,814	183,888	174,750	174,750	174,755	84,185	174,965	174,950	175,163	185,027
-	75 <sup>th</sup>	181,769	184,446	176,785	176,785	176,790	86,482	177,007	177,014	175,853	186,312
Cottage	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	25	304,750	311,687	311,657	311,114	306,478	309,164	311,203	311,137	303,766	309,290
-	Average	305,683	312,604	312,489	312,002	307,624	310,185	312,059	312,059	306,529	309,956
-	Median	306,081	313,025	312,826	312,378	308,179	310,619	312,418	312,460	307,322	310,192
-	75 <sup>th</sup>	306,770	313,688	313,464	313,035	308,952	311,378	313,061	313,130	310,058	310,741
Dorena	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	25	176,207	188,933	185,181	184,636	182,079	184,481	184,798	184,721	183,055	184,944
-	Average	176,947	189,647	185,849	185,353	182,984	185,276	185,483	185,483	185,483	185,483
-	Median	177,137	189,981	185,998	185,534	183,296	185,502	185,651	185,662	186,155	185,623
-	75 <sup>th</sup>	177,740	190,505	186,663	186,213	184,063	186,230	186,320	186,366	188,451	186,043
Blue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	25	35,328	36,463	36,552	36,380	34,974	25,456	25,631	25,599	25,408	25,691
-	Average	35,553	36,708	36,752	36,605	35,344	25,772	25,772	25,772	25,772	25,772

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Statistic</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>Int Ops</b>
–	Median	35,669	36,849	36,871	36,738	35,510	25,823	25,854	25,868	25,994	25,838
–	75 <sup>th</sup>	35,802	36,991	36,987	36,865	35,787	26,003	25,936	25,965	26,345	25,871
Cougar	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25	47,654	50,366	50,317	28,724	29,340	28,780	50,394	49,381	48,668	50,285
–	Average	47,968	50,805	50,645	29,505	29,505	29,505	50,724	50,724	50,724	50,724
–	Median	48,151	51,032	50,777	29,822	29,541	29,798	50,857	51,268	49,227	50,950
–	75 <sup>th</sup>	48,302	51,273	51,019	30,124	29,602	30,090	51,097	51,787	52,508	51,191
Fern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25	797,232	797,070	797,070	797,070	797,070	797,070	797,070	797,070	793,011	797,302
–	Average	798,658	798,501	798,501	798,501	798,501	798,501	798,501	798,501	798,501	798,501
–	Median	799,062	798,901	798,901	798,901	798,901	798,901	798,901	798,901	802,970	798,862
–	75 <sup>th</sup>	800,175	800,023	800,023	800,023	800,023	800,023	800,023	800,023	806,133	799,785
Fall	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25	206,993	209,975	210,173	209,906	208,686	208,954	210,468	210,468	209,095	225,721
–	Average	207,501	210,386	211,132	210,863	209,852	210,229	211,427	211,427	210,047	226,060
–	Median	207,744	210,674	211,532	211,263	209,988	210,753	211,828	211,828	210,446	226,163
–	75 <sup>th</sup>	208,193	210,914	212,241	211,969	210,575	211,785	212,535	212,536	211,149	226,424
Lookout	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25	90,870	88,920	90,485	89,574	49,716	82,965	90,614	90,610	89,023	66,629
–	Average	91,529	89,497	91,024	90,168	51,329	84,216	91,160	91,272	91,160	68,703
–	Median	91,857	89,934	91,281	90,475	51,547	84,701	91,415	91,544	91,623	68,912
–	75 <sup>th</sup>	92,278	90,285	91,686	90,878	52,647	85,728	91,824	92,016	93,703	70,858
Dexter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438
–	Average	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438
–	Median	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438
–	75 <sup>th</sup>	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438	258,438
Hills	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25	58,646	62,584	63,097	62,016	58,140	46,604	63,110	59,791	50,111	63,980
–	Average	58,872	63,130	63,433	62,428	58,963	46,805	63,442	60,173	50,604	64,016
–	Median	58,993	63,395	63,513	62,565	59,251	46,868	63,520	60,316	50,755	64,073
–	75 <sup>th</sup>	59,102	63,697	63,817	62,903	59,888	46,931	63,826	60,619	51,181	64,114
Foster	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25	486,334	488,128	488,128	488,128	488,129	430,253	488,128	488,128	483,229	485,184
–	Average	486,444	488,128	488,128	488,128	488,128	433,549	488,128	488,128	487,915	484,685
–	Median	486,496	488,128	488,128	488,128	488,129	435,284	488,128	488,128	488,878	485,204
–	75 <sup>th</sup>	486,555	488,128	488,128	488,128	488,129	436,832	488,128	488,128	493,665	485,204
Detroit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25	222,276	231,999	220,228	220,227	146,125	212,139	220,220	220,227	220,227	222,408
–	Average	222,822	232,453	221,232	221,231	146,942	213,434	221,223	221,231	221,231	222,982
–	Median	223,082	232,772	221,651	221,651	147,037	213,965	221,643	221,651	221,651	223,258
–	75 <sup>th</sup>	223,565	233,037	222,394	222,391	147,448	215,013	222,383	222,391	222,391	223,758

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-2. Annual Visitation Uncertainty Statistics – Total for All Reservoirs - All Alternatives.**

Statistic	No Action	Alt 1	Alt 2A	Alt 2B	Alt 3A	Alt 3B	Alt 4	Alt 5	Alt6	INT OPS
25 Percentile	2,863,802	2,907,032	2,882,835	2,857,788	2,730,687	2,666,717	2,871,773	2,870,470	2,837,020	2,872,735
Average	2,870,679	2,913,688	2,891,569	2,867,173	2,741,560	2,680,192	2,880,542	2,880,542	2,860,590	2,879,747
Median	2,873,525	2,917,017	2,894,667	2,870,622	2,744,573	2,684,836	2,883,619	2,884,171	2,868,622	2,882,540
75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	2,878,691	2,921,423	2,901,645	2,877,726	2,752,341	2,694,932	2,890,579	2,891,587	2,889,874	2,888,740

Table 3-3. Annual Recreation Value Uncertainty Statistics – All Reservoirs - All Alternatives Table 3-3 shows uncertainty statistics for all reservoirs combined for each of the proposed alternatives. There is ~.5% difference between the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile interquartile values for each alternative. This indicates that there is minimal uncertainty in the pool elevation at the reservoirs when operating according to the rule curve, assuming that there is adequate natural water to supply sufficient reservoir inflows.

**Table 3-3. Annual Recreation Value Uncertainty Statistics – All Reservoirs - All Alternatives.**

Reservoir	Statistic	No Action	Alt 1	Alt 2A	Alt 2B	Alt 3A	Alt 3B	Alt 4	Alt 5	Alt 6	INT OPS
Green Peter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	25th Percentile	\$1,472,822	\$1,501,787	\$1,408,462	\$1,408,462	\$1,408,503	\$645,784	\$1,410,120	\$1,409,328	\$1,441,929	\$1,424,576
-	Average	\$1,482,599	\$1,509,402	\$1,428,486	\$1,428,486	\$1,428,529	\$660,422	\$1,430,558	\$1,429,163	\$1,452,627	\$1,436,772
-	Median	\$1,487,129	\$1,513,480	\$1,435,091	\$1,435,091	\$1,435,134	\$659,668	\$1,436,940	\$1,435,415	\$1,457,971	\$1,441,436
-	75th Percentile	\$1,494,983	\$1,518,072	\$1,451,801	\$1,451,800	\$1,451,843	\$677,668	\$1,453,711	\$1,452,351	\$1,467,915	\$1,451,449
Cottage Grove	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	25th Percentile	\$3,286,822	\$3,361,935	\$3,361,607	\$3,355,731	\$3,305,540	\$3,334,616	\$3,356,687	\$3,324,606	\$1,448,183	\$1,433,687
-	Average	\$3,296,885	\$3,371,823	\$3,370,581	\$3,365,302	\$3,317,896	\$3,345,636	\$3,365,923	\$3,365,923	\$1,452,627	\$1,436,772
-	Median	\$3,301,181	\$3,376,368	\$3,374,217	\$3,369,363	\$3,323,888	\$3,350,312	\$3,369,795	\$3,370,243	\$1,454,533	\$1,437,866
-	75th Percentile	\$3,308,608	\$3,383,511	\$3,381,089	\$3,376,448	\$3,332,224	\$3,358,499	\$3,376,731	\$3,377,475	\$1,457,680	\$1,440,412
Dorena	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	25th Percentile	\$1,898,150	\$2,036,758	\$1,995,888	\$1,989,954	\$1,962,122	\$1,988,277	\$1,991,710	\$1,952,668	\$1,906,705	\$1,955,028
-	Average	\$1,906,124	\$2,044,456	\$2,003,089	\$1,997,679	\$1,971,877	\$1,996,847	\$1,999,094	\$1,960,724	\$1,914,570	\$1,960,724
-	Median	\$1,908,176	\$2,048,050	\$2,004,695	\$1,999,629	\$1,975,240	\$1,999,282	\$2,000,909	\$1,962,624	\$1,916,722	\$1,962,208
-	75th Percentile	\$1,914,671	\$2,053,699	\$2,011,860	\$2,006,948	\$1,983,509	\$2,007,128	\$2,008,123	\$1,970,061	\$1,922,904	\$1,966,652
Blue River	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	25th Percentile	\$257,060	\$265,707	\$266,366	\$265,068	\$254,410	\$181,976	\$266,476	\$262,121	\$257,510	\$267,103

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

Reservoir	Statistic	No Action	Alt 1	Alt 2A	Alt 2B	Alt 3A	Alt 3B	Alt 4	Alt 5	Alt 6	INT OPS
–	Average	\$258,699	\$267,491	\$267,826	\$266,705	\$257,103	\$184,234	\$267,938	\$263,884	\$259,280	\$267,938
–	Median	\$259,538	\$268,520	\$268,688	\$267,674	\$258,315	\$184,601	\$268,798	\$264,872	\$260,322	\$268,629
–	75th Percentile	\$260,508	\$269,547	\$269,538	\$268,601	\$260,324	\$185,885	\$269,650	\$265,868	\$261,225	\$268,967
Cougar	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25th Percentile	\$378,094	\$400,577	\$400,137	\$222,135	\$226,441	\$222,120	\$400,773	\$221,691	\$400,577	\$399,910
–	Average	\$380,582	\$404,069	\$402,743	\$227,718	\$227,718	\$227,718	\$403,397	\$227,718	\$404,069	\$403,397
–	Median	\$382,034	\$405,874	\$403,793	\$229,969	\$227,993	\$229,976	\$404,456	\$230,161	\$405,874	\$405,198
–	75th Percentile	\$383,235	\$407,796	\$405,719	\$232,225	\$228,461	\$232,233	\$406,367	\$232,495	\$407,796	\$407,117
Fern Ridge	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25th Percentile	\$7,218,740	\$7,217,260	\$7,217,260	\$7,217,260	\$7,217,260	\$7,217,260	\$7,217,260	\$7,217,260	\$7,224,493	\$7,219,357
–	Average	\$7,231,648	\$7,230,209	\$7,230,209	\$7,230,209	\$7,230,209	\$7,230,209	\$7,230,209	\$7,230,209	\$7,235,515	\$7,230,209
–	Median	\$7,235,312	\$7,233,840	\$7,233,839	\$7,233,839	\$7,233,839	\$7,233,839	\$7,233,839	\$7,233,839	\$7,238,638	\$7,233,483
–	75th Percentile	\$7,245,390	\$7,243,994	\$7,243,995	\$7,243,995	\$7,243,995	\$7,243,995	\$7,243,995	\$7,243,995	\$7,247,287	\$7,241,835
Fall Creek	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25th Percentile	\$1,697,476	\$1,721,319	\$1,728,608	\$1,726,028	\$1,716,831	\$1,720,120	\$1,731,044	\$1,719,099	\$1,699,971	\$1,733,405
–	Average	\$1,703,201	\$1,727,309	\$1,733,545	\$1,731,297	\$1,722,850	\$1,726,004	\$1,736,010	\$1,724,482	\$1,705,666	\$1,736,010
–	Median	\$1,706,889	\$1,731,150	\$1,737,125	\$1,734,942	\$1,726,594	\$1,729,777	\$1,739,602	\$1,728,242	\$1,709,307	\$1,736,796
–	75th Percentile	\$1,710,922	\$1,735,291	\$1,740,545	\$1,738,565	\$1,730,904	\$1,733,879	\$1,743,043	\$1,731,865	\$1,713,236	\$1,738,802
Lookout Point	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25th Percentile	\$823,746	\$808,439	\$825,597	\$817,752	\$421,359	\$751,059	\$826,740	\$826,636	\$790,066	\$806,609
–	Average	\$829,723	\$813,680	\$830,511	\$823,189	\$435,032	\$762,384	\$831,720	\$832,671	\$796,712	\$831,720
–	Median	\$832,698	\$817,655	\$832,860	\$825,961	\$436,881	\$766,769	\$834,049	\$835,158	\$800,759	\$834,250
–	75th Percentile	\$836,510	\$820,842	\$836,551	\$829,694	\$446,202	\$776,066	\$837,777	\$839,465	\$804,665	\$857,806
Dexter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25 Percentile	25th Percentile	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236
–	Average	Average	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236
–	Median	Median	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236
–	75th Percentile	75th Percentile	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236	\$2,017,236
Hills Creek	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25th Percentile	\$443,823	\$473,639	\$477,519	\$469,336	\$439,997	\$352,669	\$477,616	\$452,493	\$435,597	\$479,853
–	Average	\$445,539	\$477,769	\$480,063	\$472,455	\$446,225	\$354,188	\$480,128	\$455,384	\$438,265	\$480,128
–	Median	\$446,451	\$479,772	\$480,667	\$473,490	\$448,402	\$354,664	\$480,721	\$456,467	\$439,426	\$480,549

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

Reservoir	Statistic	No Action	Alt 1	Alt 2A	Alt 2B	Alt 3A	Alt 3B	Alt 4	Alt 5	Alt 6	INT OPS
–	75th Percentile	\$447,280	\$482,059	\$482,972	\$476,053	\$453,225	\$355,144	\$483,035	\$458,763	\$440,524	\$480,862
Foster	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25th Percentile	\$5,049,873	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,637	\$4,463,374	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,629	\$5,066,656	\$5,073,851
–	Average	\$5,051,011	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,629	\$4,497,572	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,629	\$5,066,415	\$5,068,629
–	Median	\$5,051,551	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,637	\$4,515,563	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,629	\$5,066,656	\$5,074,062
–	75th Percentile	\$5,052,170	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,637	\$4,531,621	\$5,068,629	\$5,068,629	\$5,066,656	\$5,074,062
Detroit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
–	25th Percentile	\$1,739,011	\$1,817,390	\$1,722,496	\$1,722,490	\$1,124,267	\$1,657,272	\$1,722,428	\$1,722,490	\$1,714,209	\$1,725,821
–	Average	\$1,743,282	\$1,820,942	\$1,730,349	\$1,730,338	\$1,130,548	\$1,667,390	\$1,730,273	\$1,730,338	\$1,718,637	\$1,730,273
–	Median	\$1,745,318	\$1,823,442	\$1,733,628	\$1,733,623	\$1,131,281	\$1,671,541	\$1,733,560	\$1,733,623	\$1,720,846	\$1,732,416
–	75th Percentile	\$1,749,095	\$1,825,517	\$1,739,439	\$1,739,416	\$1,134,443	\$1,679,726	\$1,739,349	\$1,739,416	\$1,724,699	\$1,736,298

**Table 3-4. Annual Recreation Value Uncertainty Statistics – Total for All Reservoirs - All Alternatives.**

Alternative	25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	Average	Median	75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile
NA	\$26,282,854	\$26,346,529	\$26,373,513	\$26,420,608
Alt 1	\$26,690,676	\$26,753,015	\$26,784,014	\$26,826,193
Alt 2A	\$26,489,802	\$26,563,267	\$26,590,467	\$26,649,374
Alt 2B	\$26,279,394	\$26,358,984	\$26,389,407	\$26,449,599
Alt 3A	\$25,162,602	\$25,253,851	\$25,283,440	\$25,351,003
Alt 3B	\$24,551,763	\$24,669,840	\$24,713,227	\$24,799,079
Alt 4	\$26,486,719	\$26,561,115	\$26,588,533	\$26,647,646
Alt 5	\$26,404,384	\$26,521,350	\$26,553,478	\$26,616,547
Alt 6	\$22,961,204	\$23,008,991	\$23,030,319	\$23,063,906
INT OPS	□□□□□□□□	□□□□□□□□	□□□□□□□□	□□□□□□□□

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

Table 3-5 through Table 3-24 below display the results in which the Chapter 3 environment consequences discussions are based on.

**Table 3-5. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Detroit Reservoir.**

<b>Metric</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>INT OPS</b>
Average Annual Visits	223	233	221	221	147	213	221	221	224	223
Change from No Action - Visits	0	10	-2	-2	-76	-9	-2	-2	1	0
Total Benefits	\$144,692	\$151,138	\$143,619	\$143,618	\$93,835	\$138,393	\$143,613	\$143,618	\$143,382	\$144,792
Average Annual Benefits	\$1,743	\$1,821	\$1,730	\$1,730	\$1,131	\$1,667	\$1,730	\$1,730	\$1,749	\$1,720
Change from No Action – Annual Benefits	\$0	\$78	-\$13	-\$13	-\$613	-\$76	-\$13	-\$13	-\$72	-\$101
Percent Change From No Action – Annual Benefits	0.00%	4.45%	-0.75%	-0.75%	-35.15%	-4.35%	-0.75%	-0.75%	0.30%	-1.35%
Effects Scale <sup>1</sup>	None	Minor	None	None	Major	Minor	None	None	None	Minor

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

**Table 3-6. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Foster Reservoir.**

<b>Metric</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>INT OPS</b>
Average Annual Visits	486	488	488	488	488	434	488	488	488	485
Change from No Action - Visits	0	2	2	2	2	-53	2	2	2	-2
Total Benefits	\$419,234	\$420,696	\$420,696	\$420,696	\$420,696	\$373,298	\$420,696	\$420,696	\$415,444	\$417,693
Average Annual Benefits	\$5,051	\$5,069	\$5,069	\$5,069	\$5,069	\$4,498	\$5,069	\$5,069	\$5,066	\$5,033
Change from No Action – Annual Benefits	\$0	\$18	\$18	\$18	\$18	-\$553	\$18	\$18	\$15	-\$19
Percent Change From No Action – Annual Benefits	0.00%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%	0.35%	-10.96%	0.35%	0.35%	0.30%	-0.37%
Effects Scale <sup>1</sup>	None	None	None	None	None	Moderate	None	None	None	None

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-7. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Green Peter Reservoir.**

<b>Metric</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>INT OPS</b>
Average Annual Visits	180	183	174	174	174	84	174	174	177	175
Change from No Action - Visits	0	3	-6	-6	-6	-96	-6	-6	-4	-5
Total Benefits	\$123,056	\$125,280	\$118,564	\$118,564	\$118,568	\$54,815	\$118,736	\$118,621	\$119,162	\$119,252
Average Annual Benefits	\$1,483	\$1,509	\$1,429	\$1,429	\$1,429	\$660	\$1,431	\$1,429	\$1,453	\$1,437
Change from No Action – Annual Benefits	\$0	\$27	-\$54	-\$54	-\$54	-\$822	-\$52	-\$53	-\$29	-\$46
Percent Change From No Action – Annual Benefits	0.00%	1.81%	-3.65%	-3.65%	-3.65%	-55.46%	-3.51%	-3.60%	-1.98%	-3.09%
Effects Scale <sup>1</sup>	None	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Major	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

**Table 3-8. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Cougar Reservoir.**

<b>Metric</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>INT OPS</b>
Average Annual Visits	48	51	51	30	30	30	51	30	30	51
Change from No Action - Visits	0	3	3	-19	-19	-19	3	-19	-19	3
Total Benefits	\$31,588	\$33,538	\$33,428	\$18,901	\$18,901	\$18,901	\$33,482	\$18,901	\$18,682	\$33,538
Average Annual Benefits	\$381	\$404	\$403	\$228	\$228	\$228	\$403	\$228	\$228	\$404
Change from No Action – Annual Benefits	\$0	\$24	\$22	-\$153	-\$153	-\$153	\$23	-\$153	-\$153	\$24
Percent Change From No Action – Annual Benefits	0.00%	6.17%	5.81%	-40.17%	-40.17%	-40.17%	5.99%	-40.17%	-40.15%	6.17%
Effects Scale <sup>1</sup>	None	Moderate	Moderate	Major	Major	Major	Moderate	Major	Major	Moderate

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-9. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Blue River Reservoir.**

<b>Metric</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>INT OPS</b>
Average Annual Visits	36	37	37	37	35	26	26	26	9	37
Change from No Action - Visits	0	1	1	1	0	-10	-10	-10	-27	1
Total Benefits	\$21,472	\$22,202	\$22,230	\$22,136	\$21,340	\$15,291	\$22,239	\$21,902	\$21,349	\$22,095
Average Annual Benefits	\$259	\$268	\$268	\$267	\$257	\$184	\$268	\$264	\$260	\$266
Change from No Action – Annual Benefits	\$0	\$9	\$9	\$8	-\$2	-\$75	\$9	\$5	\$2	\$8
Percent Change From No Action – Annual Benefits	0.00%	3.40%	3.52%	3.09%	-0.62%	-28.80%	3.56%	2.01%	0.66%	2.90%
Effects Scale <sup>1</sup>	None	Minor	Minor	Minor	None	Major	Minor	Minor	None	Minor

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

**Table 3-10. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Lookout Point Reservoir.**

<b>Metric</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>INT OPS</b>
Average Annual Visits	92	90	91	90	51	84	91	91	88	73
Change from No Action - Visits	0	-2	-1	-1	-40	-7	0	2	-3	-18
Total Benefits	\$68,867	\$67,535	\$68,932	\$68,325	\$36,108	\$63,278	\$69,033	\$69,112	\$65,604	\$49,105
Average Annual Benefits	\$830	\$814	\$831	\$823	\$435	\$762	\$832	\$833	\$800	\$592
Change from No Action – Annual Benefits	\$0	-\$16	\$1	-\$7	-\$395	-\$67	\$2	\$3	-\$30	-\$238
Percent Change From No Action – Annual Benefits	0.00%	-1.93%	0.10%	-0.78%	-47.57%	-8.11%	0.24%	0.36%	-3.58%	-28.70%
Effects Scale <sup>1</sup>	None	Minor	None	None	Major	Moderate	None	None	Minor	Major

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-11. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Hills Creek Reservoir.**

<b>Metric</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>INT OPS</b>
Average Annual Visits	59	63	63	62	59	47	63	60	51	64
Change from No Action - Visits	0	4	5	4	0	-12	5	1	-8	5
Total Benefits	\$36,980	\$39,655	\$39,845	\$39,218	\$37,037	\$29,398	\$39,851	\$37,797	\$30,161	\$40,212
Average Annual Benefits	\$446	\$478	\$480	\$473	\$446	\$354	\$480	\$455	\$368	\$485
Change from No Action – Annual Benefits	\$0	\$32	\$35	\$27	\$1	-\$91	\$35	\$10	-\$78	\$39
Percent Change From No Action – Annual Benefits	0.00%	7.25%	7.77%	6.06%	0.16%	-20.49%	7.77%	2.22%	-17.44%	8.75%
Effects Scale <sup>1</sup>	None	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	None	Major	Moderate	Minor	Moderate	Moderate

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

**Table 3-12. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Dexter Reservoir.**

<b>Metric</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>INT OPS</b>
Average Annual Visits	258	258	258	258	258	258	258	258	258	258
Change from No Action - Visits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Benefits	\$195,662	\$195,662	\$195,662	\$195,662	\$195,662	\$195,662	\$195,662	\$195,662	\$195,662	\$195,662
Average Annual Benefits	\$2,357	\$2,357	\$2,357	\$2,357	\$2,357	\$2,357	\$2,357	\$2,357	\$2,357	\$2,357
Change from No Action – Annual Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Percent Change From No Action – Annual Benefits	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Effects Scale <sup>1</sup>	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-13. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Fall Creek Reservoir.**

<b>Metric</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>INT OPS</b>
Average Annual Visits	208	210	211	211	210	210	211	210	208	92
Change from No Action - Visits	0	3	4	3	2	3	4	3	1	-115
Total Benefits	\$141,366	\$143,367	\$143,884	\$143,698	\$142,997	\$143,258	\$144,089	\$143,132	\$140,046	\$143,132
Average Annual Benefits	\$1,703	\$1,727	\$1,734	\$1,731	\$1,723	\$1,726	\$1,736	\$1,725	\$1,708	\$739
Change from No Action – Annual Benefits	\$0	\$24	\$30	\$28	\$20	\$23	\$33	\$21	\$5	-\$964
Percent Change From No Action – Annual Benefits	0.00%	1.41%	1.78%	1.65%	1.16%	1.34%	1.93%	1.25%	0.28%	-56.61%
Effects Scale <sup>1</sup>	None	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	None	Major

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

**Table 3-14. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Dorena Reservoir.**

<b>Metric</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>INT OPS</b>
Average Annual Visits	177	190	186	185	183	185	186	182	179	181
Change from No Action - Visits	0	13	9	9	6	8	9	5	2	4
Total Benefits	\$158,208	\$169,690	\$166,256	\$165,807	\$163,666	\$165,738	\$165,925	\$162,740	\$157,703	\$162,105
Average Annual Benefits	\$1,906	\$2,045	\$2,003	\$1,998	\$1,972	\$1,997	\$1,999	\$1,961	\$1,923	\$1,953
Change from No Action – Annual Benefits	\$0	\$138	\$97	\$92	\$66	\$91	\$93	\$55	\$17	\$47
Percent Change From No Action – Annual Benefits	0.00%	7.26%	5.09%	4.81%	3.45%	4.76%	4.88%	2.86%	0.90%	2.47%
Effects Scale <sup>1</sup>	None	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	None	Minor

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-15. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Cottage Grove Reservoir.**

<b>Metric</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>INT OPS</b>
Average Annual Visits	306	313	313	312	308	310	312	309	307	310
Change from No Action - Visits	0	7	7	6	2	5	6	4	1	4
Total Benefits	\$273,641	\$279,861	\$279,758	\$279,320	\$275,385	\$277,688	\$279,372	\$276,760	\$271,095	\$277,482
Average Annual Benefits	\$3,297	\$3,372	\$3,371	\$3,365	\$3,318	\$3,346	\$3,366	\$3,335	\$3,306	\$3,343
Change from No Action – Annual Benefits	\$0	\$75	\$74	\$68	\$21	\$49	\$69	\$38	\$9	\$46
Percent Change From No Action – Annual Benefits	0.00%	2.27%	2.24%	2.07%	0.64%	1.48%	2.09%	1.14%	0.28%	1.40%
Effects Scale <sup>1</sup>	None	Minor	Minor	Minor	None	Minor	Minor	Minor	None	Minor

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

**Table 3-16. Recreation Results by Reservoir – Fern Ridge Reservoir.**

<b>Metric</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>INT OPS</b>
Average Annual Visits	799	799	799	799	799	799	799	799	798	801
Change from No Action - Visits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total Benefits	\$599,464	\$599,332	\$599,332	\$599,332	\$599,332	\$599,332	\$599,332	\$599,332	\$591,427	\$600,396
Average Annual Benefits	\$7,232	\$7,230	\$7,230	\$7,230	\$7,230	\$7,230	\$7,230	\$7,230	\$7,230	\$7,242
Change from No Action – Annual Benefits	\$0	-\$1	-\$1	-\$1	-\$1	-\$1	-\$1	-\$1	-\$2	\$10
Percent Change From No Action – Annual Benefits	0.00%	-0.02%	-0.02%	-0.02%	-0.02%	-0.02%	-0.02%	-0.02%	-0.03%	0.14%
Effects Scale <sup>1</sup>	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-17. Recreation Results – All Reservoirs.**

<b>Metric</b>	<b>No Action</b>	<b>Alt 1</b>	<b>Alt 2A</b>	<b>Alt 2B</b>	<b>Alt 3A</b>	<b>Alt 3B</b>	<b>Alt 4</b>	<b>Alt 5</b>	<b>Alt 6</b>	<b>INT OPS</b>
Average Annual Visits	2,871	2,914	2,892	2,867	2,742	2,680	2,881	2,848	2,816	2,745
Change from No Action - Visits	0	43	21	-4	-129	-191	10	-23	-55	-126
Total Benefits	\$2,185,999	\$2,219,725	\$2,203,976	\$2,187,020	\$2,095,294	\$2,046,821	\$2,203,797	\$2,180,041	\$2,168,538	\$2,095,434
Average Annual Benefits	\$26,347	\$26,753	\$26,563	\$26,359	\$25,254	\$24,670	\$26,561	\$26,275	\$26,448	\$25,229
Change from No Action – Annual Benefits	\$0	\$407	\$217	\$13	-\$1,093	-\$1,677	\$215	-\$72	-\$238	-\$1,117
Percent Change From No Action – Annual Benefits	0.00%	1.54%	0.82%	0.05%	-4.15%	-6.36%	0.81%	-0.27%	-0.89%	-4.24%
Effects Scale <sup>1</sup>	None	Minor	None	None	Minor	Moderate	None	None	None	Minor

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

**Table 3-18. Recreation Results by Alternative – No Action Alternative.**

<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Average Annual Visits</b>	<b>Change in Visits from No Action</b>	<b>Total Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Average Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Percent Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Effects Scale</b>
Detroit	223	0	\$144,692	\$1,743	0	0.00%	None
Foster	486	0	\$419,234	\$5,051	0	0.00%	None
Green Peter	180	0	\$123,056	\$1,483	0	0.00%	None
Cougar	48	0	\$31,588	\$381	0	0.00%	None
Blue River	36	0	\$21,472	\$259	0	0.00%	None
Lookout Point	92	0	\$68,867	\$830	0	0.00%	None
Hills Creek	59	0	\$36,980	\$446	0	0.00%	None
Dexter	258	0	\$167,431	\$2,017	0	0.00%	None
Fall Creek	208	0	\$141,366	\$1,703	0	0.00%	None
Dorena	177	0	\$158,208	\$1,906	0	0.00%	None
Cottage Grove	306	0	\$273,641	\$3,297	0	0.00%	None

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Average Annual Visits</b>	<b>Change in Visits from No Action</b>	<b>Total Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Average Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Percent Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Effects Scale</b>
Fern Ridge	799	0	\$599,464	\$7,232	0	0.00%	None
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,871</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,185,999</b>	<b>26,346</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>None</b>

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

**Table 3-19. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 1.**

<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Average Annual Visits</b>	<b>Change in Visits from No Action</b>	<b>Total Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Average Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Percent Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Effects Scale</b>
Detroit	233	10	\$151,138	\$1,821	78	4.45%	Minor
Foster	488	2	\$420,696	\$5,069	18	0.35%	None
Green Peter	183	3	\$125,280	\$1,509	27	1.81%	Minor
Cougar	51	3	\$33,538	\$404	24	6.17%	Moderate
Blue River	37	1	\$22,202	\$268	9	3.40%	Minor
Lookout Point	90	-2	\$67,535	\$814	-16	-1.93%	Minor
Hills Creek	63	4	\$39,655	\$478	32	7.25%	Moderate
Dexter	258	0	\$167,431	\$2,017	0	0.00%	None
Fall Creek	210	3	\$143,367	\$1,727	24	1.41%	Minor
Dorena	190	13	\$169,690	\$2,045	138	7.26%	Moderate
Cottage Grove	313	7	\$279,861	\$3,372	75	2.27%	Minor
Fern Ridge	799	0	\$599,332	\$7,230	-1	-0.02%	None
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,914</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>2,219,725</b>	<b>26,753</b>	<b>407</b>	<b>1.54%</b>	<b>Minor</b>

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-20. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 2A.**

<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Average Annual Visits</b>	<b>Change in Visits from No Action</b>	<b>Total Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Average Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Percent Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Effects Scale</b>
Detroit	221	-2	\$143,619	\$1,730	-13	-0.75%	None
Foster	488	2	\$420,696	\$5,069	18	0.35%	None
Green Peter	174	-6	\$118,564	\$1,429	-54	-3.65%	Minor
Cougar	51	3	\$33,428	\$403	22	5.81%	Moderate
Blue River	37	1	\$22,230	\$268	9	3.52%	Minor
Lookout Point	91	-1	\$68,932	\$831	1	0.10%	None
Hills Creek	63	5	\$39,845	\$480	35	7.77%	Moderate
Dexter	258	0	\$167,431	\$2,017	0	0.00%	None
Fall Creek	211	4	\$143,884	\$1,734	30	1.78%	Minor
Dorena	186	9	\$166,256	\$2,003	97	5.09%	Moderate
Cottage Grove	313	7	\$279,758	\$3,371	74	2.24%	Minor
Fern Ridge	799	0	\$599,332	\$7,230	-1	-0.02%	None
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,891</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>2,203,975</b>	<b>26,563</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>0.82%</b>	<b>None</b>

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-21. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 2B.**

<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Average Annual Visits</b>	<b>Change in Visits from No Action</b>	<b>Total Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Average Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Percent Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Effects Scale</b>
Detroit	221	-2	\$143,618	\$1,730	-13	-0.75%	None
Foster	488	2	\$420,696	\$5,069	18	0.35%	None
Green Peter	174	-6	\$118,564	\$1,429	-54	-3.65%	Minor
Cougar	30	-19	\$18,901	\$228	-153	-40.17%	Major
Blue River	37	1	\$22,136	\$267	8	3.09%	Minor
Lookout Point	90	-1	\$68,325	\$823	-7	-0.78%	None
Hills Creek	62	4	\$39,214	\$473	27	6.06%	Moderate
Dexter	258	0	\$167,431	\$2,017	0	0.00%	None
Fall Creek	211	3	\$143,698	\$1,731	28	1.65%	Minor
Dorena	185	9	\$165,807	\$1,998	92	4.81%	Minor
Cottage Grove	312	6	\$279,320	\$3,365	68	2.07%	Minor
Fern Ridge	799	0	\$599,332	\$7,230	-1	-0.02%	None
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,867</b>	<b>-4</b>	<b>2,187,042</b>	<b>26,359</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0.05%</b>	<b>None</b>

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-22. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 3A.**

<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Average Annual Visits</b>	<b>Change in Visits from No Action</b>	<b>Total Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Average Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Percent Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Effects Scale</b>
Detroit	147	-76	\$93,835	\$1,131	-613	-35.15%	Major
Foster	488	2	\$420,696	\$5,069	18	0.35%	None
Green Peter	174	-6	\$118,568	\$1,429	-54	-3.65%	Minor
Cougar	30	-19	\$18,901	\$228	-153	-40.17%	Major
Blue River	35	0	\$21,340	\$257	-2	-0.62%	None
Lookout Point	51	-40	\$36,108	\$435	-395	-47.57%	Major
Hills Creek	59	0	\$37,037	\$446	1	0.16%	None
Dexter	258	0	\$167,431	\$2,017	0	0.00%	None
Fall Creek	210	2	\$142,997	\$1,723	20	1.16%	Minor
Dorena	183	6	\$163,666	\$1,972	66	3.45%	Minor
Cottage Grove	308	2	\$275,385	\$3,318	21	0.64%	None
Fern Ridge	799	0	\$599,332	\$7,230	-1	-0.02%	None
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,742</b>	<b>-129</b>	<b>2,095,296</b>	<b>25,254</b>	<b>-1,093</b>	<b>-4.15%</b>	<b>Minor</b>

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-23. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 3B.**

<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Average Annual Visits</b>	<b>Change in Visits from No Action</b>	<b>Total Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Average Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Percent Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Effects Scale</b>
Detroit	213	-9	\$138,393	\$1,667	-76	-4.35%	Minor
Foster	434	-53	\$373,298	\$4,498	-553	-10.96%	Moderate
Green Peter	84	-96	\$54,815	\$660	-822	-55.46%	Major
Cougar	30	-19	\$18,901	\$228	-153	-40.17%	Major
Blue River	26	-10	\$15,291	\$184	-75	-28.80%	Major
Lookout Point	84	-7	\$63,278	\$762	-67	-8.11%	Moderate
Hills Creek	47	-12	\$29,398	\$354	-91	-20.49%	Major
Dexter	258	0	\$167,431	\$2,017	0	0.00%	None
Fall Creek	210	3	\$143,258	\$1,726	23	1.34%	Minor
Dorena	185	8	\$165,738	\$1,997	91	4.76%	Minor
Cottage Grove	310	5	\$277,688	\$3,346	49	1.48%	Minor
Fern Ridge	799	0	\$599,332	\$7,230	-1	-0.02%	None
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,680</b>	<b>-191</b>	<b>2,046,821</b>	<b>24,670</b>	<b>-1,677</b>	<b>-6.36%</b>	<b>Moderate</b>

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-24. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 4.**

<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Average Annual Visits</b>	<b>Change in Visits from No Action</b>	<b>Total Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Average Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Percent Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Effects Scale</b>
Detroit	221	-2	\$143,613	\$1,730	-13	-0.75%	None
Foster	488	2	\$420,696	\$5,069	18	0.35%	None
Green Peter	174	-6	\$118,736	\$1,431	-52	-3.51%	Minor
Cougar	51	3	\$33,482	\$403	23	5.99%	Moderate
Blue River	26	-10	\$22,239	\$268	9	3.56%	Minor
Lookout Point	91	0	\$69,033	\$832	2	0.24%	None
Hills Creek	63	5	\$39,851	\$480	35	7.77%	Moderate
Dexter	258	0	\$167,431	\$2,017	0	0.00%	None
Fall Creek	211	4	\$144,089	\$1,736	33	1.93%	Minor
Dorena	186	9	\$165,925	\$1,999	93	4.88%	Minor
Cottage Grove	312	6	\$279,372	\$3,366	69	2.09%	Minor
Fern Ridge	799	0	\$599,332	\$7,230	-1	-0.02%	None
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,881</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2,203,799</b>	<b>26,561</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>0.81%</b>	<b>None</b>

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-25. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 5.**

<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Average Annual Visits</b>	<b>Change in Visits from No Action</b>	<b>Total Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Average Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Percent Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Effects Scale</b>
Detroit	221	-2	\$143,618	\$1,730	-13	-0.75%	None
Foster	488	2	\$420,696	\$5,069	18	0.35%	None
Green Peter	174	-6	\$118,621	\$1,429	-53	-3.60%	Minor
Cougar	30	-19	\$18,901	\$228	-153	-40.17%	Major
Blue River	26	-10	\$21,902	\$264	5	2.01%	Minor
Lookout Point	91	2	\$69,112	\$833	3	0.36%	None
Hills Creek	60	1	\$37,797	\$455	10	2.22%	Minor
Dexter	258	0	\$167,431	\$2,017	0	0.00%	None
Fall Creek	210	3	\$143,132	\$1,725	21	1.25%	Minor
Dorena	182	5	\$162,740	\$1,961	55	2.86%	Minor
Cottage Grove	309	4	\$276,760	\$3,335	38	1.14%	Minor
Fern Ridge	799	0	\$599,332	\$7,230	-1	-0.02%	None
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,848</b>	<b>-21</b>	<b>2,180,042</b>	<b>26,275</b>	<b>-72</b>	<b>-0.27%</b>	<b>None</b>

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-26. Recreation Results by Alternative – Alternative 6.**

<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Average Annual Visits</b>	<b>Change in visits from No Action</b>	<b>Total Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Average Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Percent Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Effects Scale<sup>1</sup></b>
Detroit	224	1	\$143,382	\$1,749	-72	0.30%	None
Foster	488	2	\$415,444	\$5,066	15	0.30%	None
Green Peter	177	-4	\$119,162	\$1,453	-29	-1.98%	Minor
Cougar	30	-19	\$18,682	\$228	-153	-40.15%	Major
Blue River	9	-27	\$21,349	\$260	2	0.66%	None
Lookout Point	88	-3	\$65,604	\$800	-30	-3.58%	Minor
Hills Creek	51	-8	\$30,161	\$368	-78	-17.44%	Moderate
Dexter	258	0	\$194,483	\$2,357	0	0.00%	None
Fall Creek	208	1	140,046	\$1,708	5	0.28%	None
Dorena	179	2	\$38,500	\$1,923	17	0.90%	None
Cottage Grove	307	1	\$271,095	\$3,306	9	0.28%	None
Fern Ridge	798	0	\$591,427	\$7,230	-2	-0.03%	None
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,816</b>	<b>-55</b>	<b>2,168,538</b>	<b>26,448</b>	<b>-316</b>	<b>-0.89%</b>	<b>None</b>

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 3-27. Recreation Results by Alternative –Interim Operations.**

<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Average Annual Visits</b>	<b>Change in visits from No Action</b>	<b>Total Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Average Annual Benefits</b>	<b>Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Percent Change in Benefits from No Action</b>	<b>Effects Scale<sup>1</sup></b>
Detroit	223	0	\$144,792	\$1,720	-\$24	-1.35%	Minor
Foster	485	-2	\$417,693	\$5,033	-\$19	-0.37%	Minor
Green Peter	175	-5	\$119,252	\$463	-46	-3.09%	Minor
Cougar	50.8	2.8	33538	\$404	\$24	6.17%	Moderate
Blue River	37	1	\$22,095	\$266	8	2.90%	Minor
Lookout Point	69	-22	\$49,105	\$592	-238	-28.70%	Major
Hills Creek	64	5	\$40,212	\$485	39	8.75%	Moderate
Dexter	258	0	\$167,431	\$2,017	0	0.00%	None
Fall Creek	92	-115	143,132	\$739	-964	-56.61%	Major
Dorena	181	4	\$162,105	\$1,953	47	2.47%	Minor
Cottage Grove	310	4	\$277,482	\$3,343	46	1.40%	Minor
Fern Ridge	801	2	\$600,396	\$7,242	10	0.14%	None
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,745</b>	<b>-125</b>	<b>2,177,233</b>	<b>24,256</b>	<b>-1,117</b>	<b>-7.94%</b>	<b>Moderate</b>

Note that the figures are in 1,000s, and the dollar values are at FY25 price level. Effects Scales are described in Table 2-3 of this appendix

## CHAPTER 4 - RECREATION EFFECTS – RIVERINE RECREATION

Because riverine recreation in the Willamette Valley System is widespread and abundant, with no visitor tracking data available, a qualitative analysis was done to estimate general effects on recreation to riverine areas across the alternatives. Using HEC-ResSim model simulated flow data for various river gage locations across the 83-year period of record, daily flows at each gage location were compared for the action and no action alternatives. The same reservoir effects scale shown in Table 2-3 of this appendix was used for riverine area effect estimations. Figure 4-1 shows the location of river gages that were used.




Figure 4-1. River Gages Used for Riverine Recreation Analysis.

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

#### 4.1 CHANGES IN FLOW AT RIVER GAGE LOCATIONS

Table 4-1 through Table 4-6 show river gage/season/alternative statistics. Combinations showing estimated average flows that are plus or minus 5 percent of the No-action Alternative flows are highlighted in green (+) and red (-), respectively.

**Table 4-1. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 1.**

Control Point (gage) and Season	25% non-exceedance (Dry Year)	Average	Median	75% non-exceedance (Wet Year)
<b>Albany</b>				
Annual	-2.71%	-0.03%	0.20%	0.75%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.33%	0.28%	0.74%	0.08%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-14.86%	-4.31%	-2.40%	0.78%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	6.41%	7.70%	5.32%	6.15%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	1.19%	0.52%	-0.42%	0.11%
<b>Eugene</b>				
Annual	-4.78%	-0.04%	-0.53%	1.56%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.77%	0.75%	1.55%	1.80%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-27.24%	-8.33%	-9.60%	0.40%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	11.17%	13.19%	10.27%	11.61%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	1.99%	0.15%	-1.11%	-1.48%
<b>Goshen</b>				
Annual	-3.64%	-0.02%	-0.49%	0.68%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.02%	-0.05%	-0.05%	-0.04%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-21.78%	-3.54%	-4.54%	2.47%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	10.55%	5.80%	6.49%	4.14%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	6.25%	1.93%	0.35%	0.17%
<b>Harrisburg</b>				
Annual	-3.24%	-0.03%	0.21%	0.95%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.09%	0.36%	1.01%	0.42%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-17.06%	-5.10%	-3.53%	0.95%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	7.87%	8.24%	5.98%	6.67%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	1.07%	0.62%	-0.25%	-0.41%
<b>Jasper</b>				
Annual	-4.93%	-0.03%	-1.54%	1.40%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.96%	1.18%	1.35%	2.80%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-28.09%	-10.00%	-16.17%	-1.26%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	11.34%	14.01%	10.78%	12.93%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	0.85%	-0.42%	-0.06%	-3.17%
<b>Jefferson</b>				

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

Annual	-3.94%	-0.06%	-0.26%	1.23%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.87%	-0.22%	0.00%	0.49%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-10.19%	-1.93%	-0.17%	1.83%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-21.35%	-13.60%	-16.71%	-9.95%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	5.90%	5.12%	4.02%	4.06%
<b>Mehama</b>				
Annual	-2.95%	-0.06%	-0.71%	1.09%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.08%	-0.39%	-0.74%	0.10%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-9.36%	-1.99%	-2.14%	1.77%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-11.59%	-4.78%	-6.61%	-1.01%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	6.28%	3.63%	2.77%	2.29%
<b>Monroe</b>				
Annual	-0.03%	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	0.19%	0.06%	0.11%	0.02%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	0.40%	0.21%	0.24%	0.07%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-0.16%	-0.03%	-0.01%	0.00%
<b>Salem</b>				
Annual	-2.84%	-0.04%	0.25%	0.72%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.06%	0.12%	0.58%	-0.07%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-13.10%	-3.31%	-1.10%	1.09%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-0.51%	1.52%	-0.30%	1.18%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	3.19%	2.14%	1.17%	1.54%
<b>Vida</b>				
Annual	-1.67%	-0.02%	0.19%	0.83%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.01%	-0.02%	-0.05%	0.08%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-9.64%	-3.12%	-2.04%	1.41%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	3.92%	3.01%	2.19%	0.82%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	2.25%	1.56%	1.64%	1.12%
<b>Waterloo</b>				
Annual	-7.55%	-0.07%	0.13%	1.26%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-2.11%	-0.22%	-0.40%	-0.21%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-19.33%	-2.53%	4.28%	3.64%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-26.19%	-21.64%	-25.52%	-21.06%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	7.34%	8.45%	6.74%	6.44%

Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement

**Table 4-2. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 2A.**

Control Point (gage) and Season	25% Non-exceedance (Dry Year)	Average	Median	75% Non-exceedance (Wet Year)
<b>Albany</b>				
Annual	-2.20%	-0.01%	0.14%	0.73%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.07%	0.13%	0.61%	0.34%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-11.69%	-3.30%	-1.58%	0.70%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-2.53%	-0.70%	-3.00%	-2.14%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	5.85%	3.07%	2.39%	2.28%
<b>Eugene</b>				
Annual	-3.78%	-0.03%	0.08%	1.46%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-2.07%	0.33%	1.54%	1.72%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-21.06%	-6.32%	-6.82%	0.67%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-1.69%	-1.72%	-4.06%	-3.68%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	8.88%	5.17%	5.35%	3.51%
<b>Goshen</b>				
Annual	-3.18%	-0.02%	0.03%	0.50%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.03%	-0.02%	0.06%	-0.08%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-17.04%	-2.24%	-1.23%	2.53%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-0.24%	0.11%	-2.82%	0.29%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	6.64%	1.83%	1.53%	0.14%
<b>Harrisburg</b>				
Annual	-2.68%	-0.02%	0.24%	0.80%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.72%	0.17%	0.61%	0.44%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-13.46%	-3.84%	-1.88%	1.02%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-1.55%	-0.89%	-3.02%	-2.22%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	6.38%	3.67%	3.30%	2.18%
<b>Jasper</b>				
Annual	-3.26%	-0.03%	-0.34%	0.91%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-3.59%	0.51%	1.27%	1.77%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-20.83%	-7.71%	-12.61%	-0.98%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-0.72%	-1.98%	-4.30%	-5.39%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	10.54%	6.22%	9.22%	4.08%
<b>Jefferson</b>				
Annual	0.04%	0.03%	-0.36%	-1.28%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-9.90%	-6.20%	-9.65%	-8.13%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-2.64%	-0.75%	0.26%	0.90%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	22.83%	22.00%	25.87%	24.19%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	4.81%	3.42%	3.13%	0.88%

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

<b>Mehama</b>				
Annual	-1.54%	0.01%	-0.45%	0.70%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.42%	-0.50%	-1.00%	0.04%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-4.95%	-1.12%	-1.92%	0.98%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	8.56%	5.63%	8.90%	4.17%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-3.85%	-0.45%	-2.24%	0.18%
<b>Monroe</b>				
Annual	-0.03%	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	0.19%	0.06%	0.11%	0.02%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	0.42%	0.22%	0.25%	0.08%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-0.16%	-0.03%	-0.01%	0.00%
<b>Salem</b>				
Annual	-1.63%	-0.01%	-0.13%	-0.04%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-2.58%	-1.86%	-2.66%	-2.77%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-9.31%	-2.34%	-0.75%	0.59%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	4.08%	5.74%	5.51%	5.84%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	5.33%	3.15%	2.17%	2.17%
<b>Vida</b>				
Annual	-1.19%	-0.01%	0.01%	0.43%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.05%	0.00%	0.14%	-0.01%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-7.43%	-2.20%	-1.32%	1.13%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	0.09%	-0.13%	-1.19%	-1.70%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	4.71%	2.48%	2.25%	1.41%
<b>Waterloo</b>				
Annual	4.65%	0.06%	-0.58%	-3.67%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-25.41%	-15.98%	-28.20%	-21.42%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	2.01%	-0.45%	4.99%	1.32%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	34.49%	37.75%	42.79%	49.55%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	18.89%	8.59%	9.44%	1.94%

Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement

**Table 4-3. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 2B.**

Control Point (gage) and Season	25% Non-exceedance (Dry Year)	Average	Median	75% Non-exceedance (Wet Year)
<b>Albany</b>				
Annual	-1.71%	0.00%	0.37%	0.73%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	1.38%	1.27%	1.98%	0.34%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-6.72%	0.28%	3.33%	0.70%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-3.57%	-3.01%	-4.41%	-2.14%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	0.62%	-1.15%	-2.70%	2.28%
<b>Eugene</b>				
Annual	-3.20%	-0.01%	0.13%	1.46%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.32%	0.41%	0.70%	1.72%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-20.50%	-6.14%	-6.22%	0.67%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	4.10%	4.31%	4.78%	-3.68%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	6.24%	2.53%	2.04%	3.51%
<b>Goshen</b>				
Annual	-3.19%	-0.01%	-0.03%	0.50%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.05%	-0.07%	-0.08%	-0.08%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-17.14%	-2.27%	-1.31%	2.53%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	2.78%	1.88%	0.39%	0.29%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	5.63%	1.67%	0.95%	0.14%
<b>Harrisburg</b>				
Annual	-2.41%	0.00%	0.54%	0.80%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	1.34%	1.83%	2.51%	0.44%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-8.38%	0.29%	3.71%	1.02%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-2.80%	-3.29%	-4.44%	-2.22%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-0.17%	-1.43%	-2.52%	2.18%
<b>Jasper</b>				
Annual	-3.10%	-0.02%	-0.05%	0.91%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-2.64%	0.67%	1.09%	1.77%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-20.00%	-7.46%	-12.20%	-0.98%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	5.31%	4.51%	5.35%	-5.39%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	5.59%	2.78%	4.92%	4.08%
<b>Jefferson</b>				
Annual	0.02%	0.03%	-0.38%	-1.28%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-9.92%	-6.18%	-9.68%	-8.13%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-2.70%	-0.79%	0.26%	0.90%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	22.83%	22.00%	25.86%	24.19%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	4.83%	3.44%	3.11%	0.88%

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

<b>Mehama</b>				
Annual	-1.59%	0.02%	-0.43%	0.70%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.42%	-0.46%	-0.93%	0.04%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-5.14%	-1.22%	-1.99%	0.98%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	8.56%	5.63%	8.90%	4.17%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-3.83%	-0.41%	-2.21%	0.18%
<b>Monroe</b>				
Annual	-0.03%	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	0.19%	0.06%	0.11%	0.02%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	0.42%	0.22%	0.25%	0.08%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-0.16%	-0.03%	-0.01%	0.00%
<b>Salem</b>				
Annual	-1.35%	-0.01%	-0.05%	-0.04%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.60%	-1.21%	-2.22%	-2.77%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-6.20%	-0.12%	2.52%	0.59%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	3.07%	4.12%	4.54%	5.84%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	2.10%	0.66%	-0.79%	2.17%
<b>Vida</b>				
Annual	-1.69%	0.02%	-0.06%	1.80%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	6.11%	5.68%	4.44%	6.81%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	4.37%	7.46%	11.68%	12.90%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-12.02%	-11.24%	-11.72%	-12.13%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-9.96%	-8.26%	-10.61%	-7.70%
<b>Waterloo</b>				
Annual	4.65%	0.06%	-0.58%	-3.65%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-25.41%	-15.99%	-28.21%	-21.37%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	2.01%	-0.45%	4.99%	1.32%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	34.49%	37.75%	42.79%	49.55%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	18.89%	8.59%	9.44%	1.94%

Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement

**Table 4-4. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 3A.**

Control Point (gage) and Season	25% Non-exceedance (Dry Year)	Average	Median	75% Non-exceedance (Wet Year)
<b>Albany</b>				
Annual	-4.17%	0.00%	1.53%	1.95%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	4.27%	3.75%	5.88%	3.62%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	1.05%	7.25%	13.29%	13.48%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-15.24%	-12.88%	-13.24%	-11.81%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-15.98%	-7.87%	-9.52%	-5.92%
<b>Eugene</b>				
Annual	-4.76%	0.00%	2.85%	3.97%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	8.07%	7.06%	13.55%	8.88%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	3.17%	13.84%	21.41%	26.44%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-13.72%	-21.19%	-20.68%	-26.33%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-20.47%	-11.06%	-12.12%	-6.56%
<b>Goshen</b>				
Annual	-2.25%	0.00%	0.21%	0.30%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.15%	0.03%	0.14%	-0.13%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-17.70%	-2.34%	-1.56%	2.78%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	27.33%	13.03%	20.32%	5.07%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	0.89%	-0.44%	-2.50%	-1.41%
<b>Harrisburg</b>				
Annual	-4.61%	0.00%	1.71%	2.57%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	6.14%	5.42%	8.49%	6.26%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	0.44%	8.36%	14.90%	15.94%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-13.87%	-13.77%	-13.88%	-14.20%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-18.14%	-9.53%	-11.65%	-7.45%
<b>Jasper</b>				
Annual	-4.19%	0.00%	1.85%	5.04%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	11.00%	11.06%	17.80%	16.58%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	9.84%	19.33%	24.11%	34.24%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-16.06%	-25.07%	-24.36%	-30.55%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-22.21%	-14.43%	-15.43%	-10.42%
<b>Jefferson</b>				
Annual	-1.60%	0.05%	-1.28%	0.13%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.08%	-0.60%	-4.36%	-3.27%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	11.18%	10.44%	15.41%	14.20%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-7.31%	-0.31%	3.01%	9.65%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-13.75%	-7.64%	-12.38%	-7.87%

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

<b>Mehama</b>				
Annual	-5.35%	0.08%	-1.71%	4.41%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	21.85%	14.56%	13.28%	13.95%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	22.57%	22.39%	29.11%	29.74%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-38.78%	-28.63%	-28.84%	-14.81%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-40.38%	-24.68%	-31.89%	-20.23%
<b>Monroe</b>				
Annual	-0.03%	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	0.19%	0.06%	0.11%	0.02%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	0.42%	0.22%	0.25%	0.08%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-0.16%	-0.03%	-0.01%	0.00%
<b>Salem</b>				
Annual	-3.24%	-0.01%	0.85%	1.11%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	2.79%	1.83%	1.83%	0.95%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	3.71%	8.12%	14.45%	12.73%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-13.55%	-8.98%	-8.22%	-5.07%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-14.44%	-7.35%	-9.39%	-6.38%
<b>Vida</b>				
Annual	-3.38%	0.00%	-0.71%	0.95%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	6.67%	7.14%	7.04%	8.49%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-0.14%	4.60%	7.74%	8.95%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-8.29%	-5.88%	-6.12%	-4.80%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-15.14%	-10.30%	-15.61%	-12.93%
<b>Waterloo</b>				
Annual	4.68%	0.06%	-0.58%	-3.56%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-25.25%	-15.99%	-28.24%	-21.25%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	1.99%	-0.45%	5.02%	1.32%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	34.48%	37.75%	42.79%	49.56%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	18.84%	8.59%	9.44%	2.07%

Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement

**Table 4-5. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 3B.**

Control Point (gage) and Season	25% Non-exceedance (Dry Year)	Average	Median	75% Non-exceedance (Wet Year)
<b>Albany</b>				
Annual	-4.77%	0.02%	0.63%	2.18%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.55%	1.86%	2.56%	2.57%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-1.64%	5.37%	9.87%	10.57%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-6.07%	-4.80%	-7.04%	-2.52%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-12.40%	-6.07%	-7.51%	-3.91%
<b>Eugene</b>				
Annual	-8.91%	0.04%	-0.44%	4.75%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-13.82%	-0.33%	-2.48%	4.51%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-12.06%	3.30%	4.84%	11.92%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	7.88%	6.75%	7.18%	9.01%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-10.63%	-4.78%	-5.44%	-1.35%
<b>Goshen</b>				
Annual	-2.59%	-0.01%	-0.33%	0.48%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.52%	-0.36%	-0.94%	0.06%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-18.29%	-2.41%	-1.39%	2.68%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	7.98%	5.22%	8.35%	3.43%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	10.35%	1.70%	-0.23%	-0.77%
<b>Harrisburg</b>				
Annual	-5.63%	0.02%	0.35%	2.95%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-2.11%	2.78%	2.72%	5.01%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-2.26%	6.24%	11.09%	12.46%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-5.49%	-5.14%	-6.38%	-3.12%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-14.16%	-7.45%	-9.32%	-5.53%
<b>Jasper</b>				
Annual	-8.76%	0.06%	-2.06%	5.72%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-18.29%	-0.21%	-7.72%	7.76%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-9.57%	5.29%	3.59%	15.59%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	8.80%	6.84%	8.05%	9.12%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-10.98%	-6.93%	-6.28%	-4.37%
<b>Jefferson</b>				
Annual	0.90%	0.01%	0.02%	0.23%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	6.66%	1.26%	-0.73%	-1.11%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	11.30%	6.49%	13.45%	8.47%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-9.00%	0.43%	4.36%	10.38%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-11.97%	-7.17%	-11.30%	-6.25%

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

<b>Mehama</b>				
Annual	2.32%	0.00%	-3.12%	-1.15%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-9.87%	-9.02%	-16.98%	-12.64%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-6.13%	-2.43%	-3.29%	-1.39%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	23.10%	23.25%	26.21%	28.23%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	10.88%	3.77%	-0.28%	3.06%
<b>Monroe</b>				
Annual	-0.03%	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	0.19%	0.06%	0.11%	0.02%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	0.42%	0.22%	0.25%	0.08%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-0.16%	-0.03%	-0.01%	0.00%
<b>Salem</b>				
Annual	-2.81%	0.00%	0.39%	1.16%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	1.04%	1.31%	0.90%	1.05%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	2.13%	5.61%	11.06%	8.97%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-7.34%	-3.13%	-3.20%	1.41%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-11.64%	-6.12%	-8.54%	-5.03%
<b>Vida</b>				
Annual	-2.46%	0.04%	0.25%	2.27%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	11.53%	10.22%	10.69%	12.26%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	9.24%	11.34%	16.84%	17.03%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-19.83%	-17.46%	-17.78%	-16.64%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-19.34%	-14.27%	-18.28%	-14.09%
<b>Waterloo</b>				
Annual	-0.29%	0.05%	6.41%	2.95%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	33.43%	13.09%	20.20%	12.41%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	38.92%	19.65%	46.97%	23.52%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-45.37%	-30.20%	-23.74%	-10.97%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-40.67%	-21.90%	-25.46%	-19.40%

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 4-6. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 4.**

Control Point (gage) and Season	25% Non-exceedance (Dry Year)	Average	Median	75% Non-exceedance (Wet Year)
<b>Albany</b>				
Annual	-2.09%	-0.01%	0.15%	0.66%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.03%	0.11%	0.66%	0.23%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-11.70%	-3.32%	-1.94%	0.86%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-2.50%	-0.71%	-2.95%	-2.27%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	6.26%	3.12%	2.67%	2.09%
<b>Eugene</b>				
Annual	-3.56%	-0.03%	0.38%	1.24%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.67%	0.30%	1.82%	1.17%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-21.09%	-6.35%	-7.16%	1.20%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-1.59%	-1.77%	-4.04%	-4.28%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	9.22%	5.25%	6.26%	3.32%
<b>Goshen</b>				
Annual	-2.14%	-0.02%	0.35%	0.18%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.51%	-0.17%	-0.24%	0.11%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-16.89%	-2.26%	-1.25%	2.44%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	1.62%	1.36%	-0.88%	1.99%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	11.23%	1.90%	2.89%	-1.57%
<b>Harrisburg</b>				
Annual	-2.55%	-0.02%	0.28%	0.58%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.62%	0.15%	0.65%	0.08%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-13.41%	-3.86%	-2.27%	1.12%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-1.48%	-0.92%	-2.96%	-2.39%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	6.68%	3.73%	3.72%	1.87%
<b>Jasper</b>				
Annual	-2.86%	-0.04%	-0.46%	1.01%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-2.84%	0.55%	1.61%	1.89%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-20.85%	-7.75%	-12.94%	-0.50%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-0.88%	-2.18%	-4.52%	-5.95%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	11.34%	6.30%	8.89%	4.17%
<b>Jefferson</b>				
Annual	-0.70%	0.00%	0.31%	0.64%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.51%	-0.27%	-0.13%	0.25%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-2.65%	-0.50%	0.80%	0.99%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	19.23%	15.28%	18.88%	14.75%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-7.39%	-3.06%	-4.80%	-2.01%

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

<b>Mehama</b>				
Annual	-1.51%	0.01%	-0.23%	0.68%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.12%	-0.43%	-0.86%	0.08%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-5.15%	-1.15%	-1.97%	0.93%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	8.56%	5.63%	8.87%	4.17%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-3.84%	-0.51%	-1.63%	0.11%
<b>Monroe</b>				
Annual	-0.03%	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	0.19%	0.06%	0.11%	0.02%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	0.42%	0.22%	0.25%	0.08%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-0.16%	-0.03%	-0.01%	0.00%
<b>Salem</b>				
Annual	-1.74%	-0.01%	0.37%	0.50%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.00%	0.00%	0.60%	-0.05%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-9.26%	-2.27%	-0.65%	0.76%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	3.55%	4.01%	3.78%	3.18%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	1.29%	0.71%	-0.31%	0.43%
<b>Vida</b>				
Annual	-1.16%	-0.01%	0.02%	0.46%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.02%	0.00%	0.10%	-0.02%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-7.42%	-2.22%	-1.32%	1.16%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	0.11%	-0.17%	-1.30%	-1.81%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	4.76%	2.53%	2.39%	1.58%
<b>Waterloo</b>				
Annual	1.22%	-0.01%	2.01%	0.29%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.36%	-0.32%	-0.21%	-0.40%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	2.50%	0.22%	6.35%	1.57%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	28.79%	23.52%	29.14%	27.27%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-13.20%	-6.82%	-8.10%	-5.90%

Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement

**Table 4-7. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 5.**

Control Point (gage) and Season	25% Non-exceedance (Dry Year)	Average	Median	75% Non-exceedance (Wet Year)
<b>Albany</b>				
Annual	-1.29%	-0.01%	0.45%	0.49%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	2.37%	1.71%	2.83%	1.32%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-5.01%	0.02%	1.88%	3.57%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-3.55%	-3.04%	-4.00%	-3.91%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-1.05%	-1.64%	-2.55%	-2.00%
<b>Eugene</b>				
Annual	-1.62%	-0.01%	0.26%	1.02%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.89%	0.36%	0.46%	1.87%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-12.81%	-4.57%	-4.88%	-0.01%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	5.75%	4.23%	4.53%	2.77%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	4.52%	1.41%	1.85%	0.09%
<b>Goshen</b>				
Annual	-1.59%	-0.01%	0.05%	0.41%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.49%	-0.08%	-0.07%	-0.07%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-9.55%	-1.30%	-0.91%	1.68%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	2.06%	1.81%	2.08%	2.24%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	2.83%	0.89%	0.59%	0.11%
<b>Harrisburg</b>				
Annual	-1.67%	0.00%	0.42%	0.83%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	2.73%	2.43%	3.52%	2.63%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-6.39%	0.02%	1.87%	4.44%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-2.40%	-3.33%	-4.03%	-4.47%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-1.48%	-2.01%	-2.80%	-2.69%
<b>Jasper</b>				
Annual	-1.74%	-0.01%	0.38%	0.79%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-3.42%	0.59%	0.76%	1.72%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-12.54%	-5.68%	-8.73%	-0.85%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	7.14%	4.42%	4.84%	2.22%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	3.27%	1.56%	4.32%	0.37%
<b>Jefferson</b>				
Annual	0.27%	0.03%	-0.39%	-1.48%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-9.14%	-6.17%	-9.62%	-8.43%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-2.61%	-0.83%	0.20%	0.73%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	21.55%	21.79%	24.93%	23.20%

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

<b>Control Point (gage) and Season</b>	<b>25% Non-exceedance (Dry Year)</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>75% Non-exceedance (Wet Year)</b>
Fall (09/21-12/20)	5.26%	3.52%	3.30%	0.95%
<b>Mehama</b>				
Annual	-1.60%	0.02%	-0.37%	0.66%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.45%	-0.46%	-0.87%	0.02%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-5.14%	-1.23%	-2.02%	0.78%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	8.56%	5.63%	8.90%	4.17%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-3.84%	-0.40%	-2.04%	0.23%
<b>Monroe</b>				
Annual	-0.03%	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	0.19%	0.06%	0.11%	0.02%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	0.42%	0.22%	0.25%	0.08%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-0.16%	-0.03%	-0.01%	0.00%
<b>Salem</b>				
Annual	-0.88%	-0.01%	-0.08%	-0.03%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.28%	-0.93%	-1.61%	-1.87%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-5.20%	-0.30%	1.57%	2.47%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	3.24%	4.05%	4.42%	3.96%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	2.35%	0.40%	-0.92%	-0.23%
<b>Vida</b>				
Annual	-1.94%	0.02%	-0.13%	2.30%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	10.66%	7.74%	8.34%	8.59%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	0.81%	5.08%	7.98%	11.37%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-11.83%	-11.22%	-11.57%	-11.96%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-11.69%	-8.19%	-11.23%	-6.45%
<b>Waterloo</b>				
Annual	5.41%	0.06%	-0.79%	-4.01%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-22.91%	-15.97%	-28.05%	-21.72%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	2.78%	-0.52%	4.95%	1.24%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	30.81%	37.31%	39.89%	46.08%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	20.42%	8.79%	9.65%	2.09%

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 4-7. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics - Alternative 6.**

<b>Control Point (gage) and Season</b>	<b>25% Non-exceedance (Dry Year)</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>75% Non-exceedance (Wet Year)</b>
<b>Albany</b>				
Annual	-2.71%	-0.03%	0.20%	0.75%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.33%	0.28%	0.74%	0.08%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-14.86%	-4.31%	-2.40%	0.78%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	6.41%	7.70%	5.32%	6.15%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	1.19%	0.52%	-0.42%	0.11%
<b>Eugene</b>				
Annual	-4.78%	-0.04%	-0.53%	1.56%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.77%	0.75%	1.55%	1.80%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-27.24%	-8.33%	-9.60%	0.40%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	11.17%	13.19%	10.27%	11.61%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	1.99%	0.15%	-1.11%	-1.48%
<b>Goshen</b>				
Annual	-3.64%	-0.02%	-0.49%	0.68%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.02%	-0.05%	-0.05%	-0.04%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-21.78%	-3.54%	-4.54%	2.47%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	10.55%	5.80%	6.49%	4.14%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	6.25%	1.93%	0.35%	0.17%
<b>Harrisburg</b>				
Annual	-3.24%	-0.03%	0.21%	0.95%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.09%	0.36%	1.01%	0.42%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-17.06%	-5.10%	-3.53%	0.95%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	7.87%	8.24%	5.98%	6.67%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	1.07%	0.62%	-0.25%	-0.41%
<b>Jasper</b>				
Annual	-4.93%	-0.03%	-1.54%	1.40%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.96%	1.18%	1.35%	2.80%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-28.09%	-10.00%	-16.17%	-1.26%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	11.34%	14.01%	10.78%	12.93%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	0.85%	-0.42%	-0.06%	-3.17%
<b>Jefferson</b>				
Annual	-3.94%	-0.06%	-0.26%	1.23%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.87%	-0.22%	0.00%	0.49%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-10.19%	-1.93%	-0.17%	1.83%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-21.35%	-13.60%	-16.71%	-9.95%

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

<b>Control Point (gage) and Season</b>	<b>25% Non-exceedance (Dry Year)</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>75% Non-exceedance (Wet Year)</b>
Fall (09/21-12/20)	5.90%	5.12%	4.02%	4.06%
<b>Mehama</b>				
Annual	-2.95%	-0.06%	-0.71%	1.09%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.08%	-0.39%	-0.74%	0.10%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-9.36%	-1.99%	-2.14%	1.77%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-11.59%	-4.78%	-6.61%	-1.01%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	6.28%	3.63%	2.77%	2.29%
<b>Monroe</b>				
Annual	-0.03%	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	0.19%	0.06%	0.11%	0.02%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	0.40%	0.21%	0.24%	0.07%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-0.16%	-0.03%	-0.01%	0.00%
<b>Salem</b>				
Annual	-2.84%	-0.04%	0.25%	0.72%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	0.06%	0.12%	0.58%	-0.07%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-13.10%	-3.31%	-1.10%	1.09%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-0.51%	1.52%	-0.30%	1.18%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	3.19%	2.14%	1.17%	1.54%
<b>Vida</b>				
Annual	-1.67%	-0.02%	0.19%	0.83%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-0.01%	-0.02%	-0.05%	0.08%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-9.64%	-3.12%	-2.04%	1.41%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	3.92%	3.01%	2.19%	0.82%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	2.25%	1.56%	1.64%	1.12%
<b>Waterloo</b>				
Annual	-7.55%	-0.07%	0.13%	1.26%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-2.11%	-0.22%	-0.40%	-0.21%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-19.33%	-2.53%	4.28%	3.64%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-26.19%	-21.64%	-25.52%	-21.06%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	7.34%	8.45%	6.74%	6.44%

Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement

**Table 4-9. Riverine Flow Uncertainty Statistics – INT OPS**

Control Point (gage) and Season	25% Non-exceedance (Dry Year)	Average	Median	75% Non-exceedance (Wet Year)
<b>Albany</b>				
Annual	-3.66%	0.07%	-0.09%	1.46%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-5.45%	-0.63%	-1.62%	0.22%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-0.70%	1.49%	3.80%	3.76%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-4.43%	3.95%	4.16%	10.89%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-3.94%	-1.59%	-3.25%	-1.43%
<b>Eugene</b>				
Annual	-	-	-	-
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-	-	-	-
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-	-	-	-
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-	-	-	-
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-	-	-	-
<b>Goshen</b>				
Annual	-0.11%	0.00%	-0.49%	-0.18%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.22%	-0.21%	-0.82%	-0.33%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-1.57%	-0.34%	-0.48%	0.01%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	0.18%	-0.32%	-0.23%	-1.61%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	3.56%	0.73%	0.07%	0.20%
<b>Harrisburg</b>				
Annual	-1.39%	0.00%	0.18%	0.91%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	3.31%	2.49%	3.69%	2.91%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-6.39%	-0.02%	1.80%	4.48%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-2.36%	-3.32%	-4.02%	-4.48%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-1.09%	-2.06%	-3.86%	-2.82%
<b>Jasper</b>				
Annual	-1.84%	0.10%	-1.61%	2.55%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-20.48%	-8.45%	-16.48%	-4.68%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-1.80%	4.90%	6.61%	13.73%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	23.84%	19.64%	23.77%	21.40%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-1.89%	-3.95%	-7.20%	-6.08%
<b>Jefferson</b>				
Annual	4.91%	0.10%	-1.97%	-4.46%
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-7.99%	-7.46%	-14.71%	-13.51%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	1.81%	-0.52%	1.11%	-1.53%

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

<b>Control Point (gage) and Season</b>	<b>25% Non-exceedance (Dry Year)</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>75% Non-exceedance (Wet Year)</b>
Summer (06/21-09/20)	4.21%	8.10%	8.41%	11.64%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	23.46%	8.64%	8.22%	1.96%
<b>Mehama</b>				
Annual				
Winter (12/21-03/20)	5.89%	0.15%	-0.47%	-2.31%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-3.31%	-6.31%	-9.87%	-8.67%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-0.01%	-0.76%	-0.65%	-0.40%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	0.25%	0.71%	0.20%	0.30%
<b>Monroe</b>	23.54%	7.89%	8.84%	2.49%
Annual				
Winter (12/21-03/20)	2.13%	0.48%	0.77%	0.33%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	0.32%	-0.02%	-0.04%	-0.03%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	0.24%	0.31%	0.42%	0.41%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	0.44%	0.53%	0.28%	0.09%
<b>Salem</b>	4.47%	1.39%	1.99%	1.01%
Annual				
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.12%	0.03%	-0.88%	-0.59%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-5.45%	-2.70%	-5.59%	-4.19%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-0.23%	0.67%	2.80%	1.47%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-3.08%	4.53%	5.32%	10.46%
<b>Vida</b>	5.36%	2.33%	0.65%	0.48%
Annual				
Winter (12/21-03/20)	-1.93%	0.01%	0.79%	3.06%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	6.41%	6.42%	9.80%	9.48%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-0.60%	0.62%	3.25%	5.57%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	-11.92%	-9.02%	-11.23%	-8.27%
<b>Waterloo</b>	-4.75%	-3.00%	-4.00%	-0.74%
Annual				
Winter (12/21-03/20)	5.51%	0.07%	-3.03%	-5.07%
Spring (03/21-06/20)	-21.58%	-15.20%	-29.38%	-22.86%
Summer (06/21-09/20)	-0.17%	-0.69%	-1.06%	-0.95%
Fall (09/21-12/20)	21.47%	26.88%	26.73%	35.41%

Each of the values in Table 4-1 through Table 4-9 was calculated using an average flow from the No Action Alternative and a flow from the corresponding gage/season/alternative. For example, the average annual No Action Alternative flow for the Waterloo gage at the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile is 1,605.9 cubic feet per second (cfs) and 1,681.0 cfs for Alternative 3A. This equates to a change of +4.68% for Alternative 1 compared to the No Action Alternative as is shown in Table 4-1 in

the Waterloo-Annual-25% N.E. cell. The abbreviation “N.E.” is short for “non-exceedance”. The 25% non-exceedance probability means that there is a 25% chance that the value will not be exceeded in a given year and a 75% chance that it will be exceeded.


## **4.2 WHITEWATER RECREATION**

The charts and data in this section show high and low water flow data concerning riverine watercraft operation compared to the EIS alternative estimated water flows at various river gage locations. This is not an exhaustive listing of riverine watercraft recreation reaches but does show some of the most popular areas along the McKenzie and North Santiam rivers where high/low range water flow data is available.

### **4.2.1 Paradise to Finn Rock – McKenzie River – River Mile Range 71.7 to 54.0**

The graph below shows the high and low water flow ranges for operating recreational watercraft suitable for riverine activities such as kayaking and rafting from Paradise Campground to Finn Rock on the McKenzie River, as well as the estimated average monthly flows that are expected to occur under each alternative. The tabular data is shown below the graph.

Figure 4-2. Paradise to Finn Rock Estimated Watercraft Running Range, McKenzie River Vida, Oregon Gage.



1. High/low water data source: <https://www.americanwhitewater.org/content/River/state-summary/?state=OR>. Accessed 6/14/2023

2. High and low water flow range does not in any way represent a “safe” range


Table 4-8. Paradise to Finn Rock, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, McKenzie River, Vida Gage, Oregon.

Month	Low cfs	High cfs	NAA Avg cfs	Alt 1 Avg cfs	Alt2aAvg cfs	Alt 2b Avg cfs	Alt 3a Avg cfs	Alt 3b Avg cfs	Alt 4 Avg cfs	Alt 5 Avg cfs	Alt 6 Avg cfs	INT OPS
Jan	600	10,000	5,989	5,989	5,985	5,681	5,973	5,685	5,986	5,656	5,685	6,345
Feb	600	10,000	4,596	4,596	4,610	5,223	5,294	5,702	4,610	5,213	5,702	6,348
Mar	600	10,000	4,322	4,304	4,303	5,511	5,070	5,911	4,302	5,738	5,911	6,348
Apr	600	10,000	4,715	4,499	4,508	5,334	5,133	5,667	4,508	5,165	5,667	6,344
May	600	10,000	4,758	4,606	4,669	4,918	4,875	5,022	4,668	4,897	5,022	6,357
Jun	600	10,000	3,754	3,722	3,827	3,545	3,571	3,437	3,826	3,538	3,437	6,383
Jul	600	10,000	2,549	2,729	2,535	2,345	2,561	2,252	2,536	2,343	2,252	6,396
Aug	600	10,000	2,513	2,525	2,401	2,098	2,146	1,925	2,397	2,109	1,925	6,403
Sep	600	10,000	2,287	2,322	2,381	2,050	2,203	1,801	2,385	2,040	1,801	6,403
Oct	600	10,000	2,959	3,011	3,107	2,670	2,420	2,242	3,107	2,688	2,242	6,403
Nov	600	10,000	4,751	4,887	4,883	4,346	4,266	4,151	4,884	4,325	4,151	6,396
Dec	600	10,000	5,895	5,889	5,876	5,430	5,632	5,406	5,877	5,430	5,406	6,395

4.2.2 Olallie Campground to Paradise Campground – McKenzie River – River Mile Range 80.0 to 71.7.

The graph below shows the high and low water flow ranges for operating recreational watercraft suitable for riverine activities such as kayaking and rafting from Olallie Campground to Paradise Campground on the McKenzie River, as well as the estimated average monthly flows that are expected to occur under each alternative. The tabular data is shown below the graph.

**Figure 4-3. Olallie Campground to Paradise Campground, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, McKenzie, Vida River Gage, Oregon.**



1. High/low water data source: <https://www.americanwhitewater.org/content/River/state-summary/?state=OR>. Accessed 6/14/2023

2. High and low water flow range does not in any way represent a “safe” range

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*


**Table 4-9. Olallie Campground to Paradise Campground, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, McKenzie, Vida River Gage, Oregon.**

Month	Low cfs	High cfs	NAA Avg cfs	Alt 1 Avg cfs	Alt2a Avg cfs	Alt 2b Avg cfs	Alt 3a Avg cfs	Alt 3b Avg cfs	Alt 4 Avg cfs	Alt 5 Avg cfs	Alt 6 Avg cfs	INT OPS
Jan	600	9,000	5,989	5,989	5,985	5,681	5,973	5,685	5,986	5,656	5,685	5,966
Feb	600	9,000	4,596	4,596	4,610	5,223	5,294	5,702	4,610	5,213	5,702	5,985
Mar	600	9,000	4,322	4,304	4,303	5,511	5,070	5,911	4,302	5,738	5,911	5,992
Apr	600	9,000	4,715	4,499	4,508	5,334	5,133	5,667	4,508	5,165	5,667	5,993
May	600	9,000	4,758	4,606	4,669	4,918	4,875	5,022	4,668	4,897	5,022	5,987
Jun	600	9,000	3,754	3,722	3,827	3,545	3,571	3,437	3,826	3,538	3,437	5,981
Jul	600	9,000	2,549	2,729	2,535	2,345	2,561	2,252	2,536	2,343	2,252	5,972
Aug	600	9,000	2,513	2,525	2,401	2,098	2,146	1,925	2,397	2,109	1,925	5,967
Sep	600	9,000	2,287	2,322	2,381	2,050	2,203	1,801	2,385	2,040	1,801	5,954
Oct	600	9,000	2,959	3,011	3,107	2,670	2,420	2,242	3,107	2,688	2,242	5,936
Nov	600	9,000	4,751	4,887	4,883	4,346	4,266	4,151	4,884	4,325	4,151	5,911
Dec	600	9,000	5,895	5,889	5,876	5,430	5,632	5,406	5,877	5,430	5,406	5,877

4.2.3 Finn Rock to Leaburg Dam – McKenzie River – River Mile Range 54.0 to 38.8

The graph below shows the high and low water flow ranges for operating recreational watercraft suitable for riverine activities such as kayaking and rafting from Finn Rock to Leaburg Dam on the McKenzie River, as well as the estimated average monthly flows that are expected to occur under each alternative. The tabular data is shown below the graph.

**Figure 4-4. Finn Rock to Leaburg Dam, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, McKenzie River, Vida Gage, Oregon.**



1. High/low water data source: <https://www.americanwhitewater.org/content/River/state-summary/?state=OR>. Accessed 6/14/2023
2. High and low water flow range does not in any way represent a “safe” range

**Table 4-10. Finn Rock to Leaburg Dam, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, McKenzie River, Vida Gage, Oregon.**


Month	Low cfs	High cfs	NAA Avg cfs	Alt 1 Avg cfs	Alt2a Avg cfs	Alt 2b Avg cfs	Alt 3a Avg cfs	Alt 3b Avg cfs	Alt 4 Avg cfs	Alt 5 Avg cfs	Alt 6 Avg cfs	INT OPS
Jan	600	12,000	5,989	5,989	5,985	5,681	5,973	5,685	5,986	5,656	5,685	5,966
Feb	600	12,000	4,596	4,596	4,610	5,223	5,294	5,702	4,610	5,213	5,702	5,985
Mar	600	12,000	4,322	4,304	4,303	5,511	5,070	5,911	4,302	5,738	5,911	5,992
Apr	600	12,000	4,715	4,499	4,508	5,334	5,133	5,667	4,508	5,165	5,667	5,993
May	600	12,000	4,758	4,606	4,669	4,918	4,875	5,022	4,668	4,897	5,022	5,987
Jun	600	12,000	3,754	3,722	3,827	3,545	3,571	3,437	3,826	3,538	3,437	5,981
Jul	600	12,000	2,549	2,729	2,535	2,345	2,561	2,252	2,536	2,343	2,252	5,972
Aug	600	12,000	2,513	2,525	2,401	2,098	2,146	1,925	2,397	2,109	1,925	5,967
Sep	600	12,000	2,287	2,322	2,381	2,050	2,203	1,801	2,385	2,040	1,801	5,954
Oct	600	12,000	2,959	3,011	3,107	2,670	2,420	2,242	3,107	2,688	2,242	5,936
Nov	600	12,000	4,751	4,887	4,883	4,346	4,266	4,151	4,884	4,325	4,151	5,911
Dec	600	12,000	5,895	5,889	5,876	5,430	5,632	5,406	5,877	5,430	5,406	5,877

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

4.2.4 Coast Fork/ Middle Fork Confluence to Alton Baker Park – Willamette River – River Mile Range 187.0 to 182.7

The graph below shows the high and low water flow ranges for operating recreational watercraft suitable for riverine activities such as kayaking and rafting from the Coast Fork/Middle Fork confluence to Alton Baker Park on the Willamette River in Eugene OR, as well as the estimated average monthly flows that are expected to occur under each alternative. The tabular data is shown below the graph.

**Figure 4-5. Coast Fork/Middle Fork Confluence to Alton Baker Park, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, Willamette River, Eugene Gage, Oregon.**



1. High/low water data source: <https://www.americanwhitewater.org/content/River/state-summary/?state=OR>. Accessed 6/14/2023

2. High and low water flow range does not in any way represent a “safe” range

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*


**Table 4-11. Coast Fork/Middle Fork Confluence to Alton Baker Park, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, Willamette River, Eugene Gage, Oregon.**

Month	NAA Avg Flow	Alt 1 Avg Flow	Alt 2a Avg Flow	Alt 2b Avg Flow	Alt 3a Avg Flow	Alt 3b Avg Flow	Alt 4 Avg Flow	Alt 5 Avg Flow	Alt 6 Avg cfs	INT OPS
Jan	9,910	9,898	9,839	9,937	9,371	9,278	9,850	9,926	10,185	-
Feb	7,733	7,975	7,959	7,867	8,283	8,483	7,959	7,879	6,969	-
Mar	5,746	5,623	5,616	5,633	8,581	6,317	5,625	5,634	5,692	-
Apr	5,252	4,716	4,723	4,719	6,395	5,513	4,728	4,869	5,055	-
May	5,104	4,644	4,765	4,780	5,617	5,233	4,755	4,849	5,061	-
Jun	4,013	3,778	4,145	4,148	3,592	4,054	4,143	4,174	3,952	-
Jul	2,250	2,710	2,273	2,384	2,125	2,549	2,277	2,397	2,400	-
Aug	2,552	3,081	2,380	2,617	1,875	2,549	2,389	2,571	2,917	-
Sep	3,353	3,491	3,238	3,422	2,359	3,597	3,222	3,442	3,332	-
Oct	4,409	4,107	4,985	4,739	4,490	5,016	4,997	4,859	4,283	-
Nov	7,760	8,071	8,204	7,915	6,525	6,758	8,248	7,635	8,101	-
Dec	9,477	9,452	9,434	9,395	8,353	8,297	9,367	9,323	9,761	-

**4.2.5 Alton Baker Park to Peoria Boat Landing – Willamette River – River Mile Range 182.7 to 141.1**

The graph below shows the high and low water flow ranges for operating recreational watercraft suitable for riverine activities such as kayaking and rafting from Alton Baker Park to the Peoria Boat Landing on the Willamette River, as well as the estimated average monthly flows that are expected to occur under each alternative. The tabular data is shown below the graph.

**Figure 4-6. Alton Baker Park to Peoria Boat Landing, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, Willamette River, Salem Gage, Oregon.**



1. High/low water data source: <https://www.americanwhitewater.org/content/River/state-summary/?state=OR>. Accessed 6/14/2023

2. High and low water flow range does not in any way represent a “safe” range


**Table 4-12. Alton Baker Park to Peoria Boat Landing, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, Willamette River, Salem Gage, Oregon.**

Month	Low cfs	High cfs	NAA Avg cfs	Alt 1 Avg cfs	Alt2 Avg cfs	Alt 2b Avg cfs	Alt 3a Avg cfs	Alt 3b Avg cfs	Alt 4 Avg cfs	Alt 5 Avg cfs	Alt 6 Avg cfs	INT OPS
Jan	6,000	30,000	46,332	46,304	45,219	44,979	44,080	43,643	46,238	44,917	45,354	44,543
Feb	6,000	30,000	35,385	35,625	35,349	35,830	37,494	38,497	35,631	35,877	34,775	44,495
Mar	6,000	30,000	25,213	24,163	24,273	25,109	28,030	27,647	24,288	25,065	25,523	44,484
Apr	6,000	30,000	20,312	19,721	19,883	20,194	21,512	20,810	19,901	20,250	20,408	44,480
May	6,000	30,000	20,312	19,721	19,883	20,194	21,512	20,810	19,901	20,250	20,408	44,416
Jun	6,000	30,000	14,417	13,983	14,814	14,566	13,774	13,585	14,825	14,590	14,148	44,279
Jul	6,000	30,000	7,589	8,041	8,303	8,200	7,714	8,058	8,297	8,218	7,587	44,135
Aug	6,000	30,000	6,821	7,287	7,173	7,115	5,952	6,350	7,187	7,044	6,760	44,001
Sep	6,000	30,000	8,787	8,256	9,141	9,005	7,521	7,875	8,150	9,012	9,624	43,878
Oct	6,000	30,000	13,201	13,691	15,330	14,690	13,105	13,743	13,538	14,851	14,469	43,757
Nov	6,000	30,000	29,697	30,867	29,916	29,050	26,375	26,768	30,141	28,757	29,156	43,593
Dec	6,000	30,000	43,270	43,261	41,966	41,476	39,591	39,289	43,116	41,438	42,034	43,355

4.2.6 Mill City to Mehama – North Santiam River – River Mile Range 47.5 to 38.6

The graph below shows the high and low water flow ranges for operating recreational watercraft suitable for riverine activities such as kayaking and rafting from Mill City OR to Mehama OR on the North Santiam River, as well as the estimated average monthly flows that are expected to occur under each alternative. The tabular data is shown below the graph.

**Figure 4-7. Mill City to Mehama, Estimated Watercraft Running Range, North Santiam River, Mehama Gage, Oregon.**



1. High/low water data source: <https://www.americanwhitewater.org/content/River/state-summary/?state=OR>. Accessed 6/14/2023

2. High and low water flow range does not in any way represent a “safe” range

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*


**Table 4-13. Mill City to Mehama, Estimated Running Range, North Santiam River, Mehama Gage, Oregon.**

Month	Low cfs	High cfs	NAA Avg Flow	Alt 1 Avg Flow	Alt 2a Avg Flow	Alt 2b Avg Flow	Alt 3a Avg Flow	Alt 3b Avg Flow	Alt 4 Avg Flow	Alt 5 Avg Flow	Alt 6 Avg Flow	INT OPS
Jan	1,000	3,000	5,571	5,560	5,565	5,563	4,997	4,972	5,559	5,562	5,610	4,993
Feb	1,000	3,000	4,021	4,025	4,006	4,019	5,241	3,912	4,025	4,021	3,785	4,999
Mar	1,000	3,000	3,526	3,372	3,373	3,357	6,108	3,377	3,372	3,357	3,489	4,995
Apr	1,000	3,000	3,536	3,356	3,410	3,409	4,874	3,347	3,413	3,409	3,526	4,987
May	1,000	3,000	3,638	3,717	3,704	3,702	4,107	3,674	3,700	3,700	3,644	4,963
Jun	1,000	3,000	2,632	2,614	2,726	2,725	2,353	2,719	2,725	2,725	2,636	4,933
Jul	1,000	3,000	1,410	1,351	1,596	1,596	1,208	1,832	1,596	1,596	1,419	4,903
Aug	1,000	3,000	1,112	1,112	1,336	1,336	824	1,412	1,336	1,336	1,122	4,876
Sep	1,000	3,000	1,783	1,708	1,469	1,469	854	2,148	1,469	1,469	1,797	4,841
Oct	1,000	3,000	2,698	2,911	2,598	2,598	1,546	3,959	2,598	2,598	2,728	4,802
Nov	1,000	3,000	5,259	5,440	5,405	5,408	4,175	4,781	5,407	5,405	5,378	4,763
Dec	1,000	3,000	5,727	5,722	5,724	5,732	4,745	4,763	5,713	5,735	5,797	4,720

**4.2.7 Mehama to Stayton– North Santiam River – River Mile Range 38.6 to 28.5**

The graph below shows the high and low water flow ranges for operating recreational watercraft suitable for riverine activities such as kayaking and rafting from Mehama OR to Stayton OR on the North Santiam River, as well as the estimated average monthly flows that are expected to occur under each alternative. The tabular data is shown below the graph.

Figure 4-8. Mehama to Stayton, Estimated Running Range, North Santiam River, Mehama Gage, Oregon.



1. High/low water data source: <https://www.americanwhitewater.org/content/River/state-summary/?state=OR>. Accessed 6/14/2023

2. High and low water flow range does not in any way represent a “safe” range

Table 4-14. Mehama to Stayton, Estimated Running Range, North Santiam River, Mehama Gage, Oregon.

	Low cfs	High cfs	NAA Avg Flow	Alt 1 Avg Flow	Alt 2a Avg Flow	Alt 2b Avg Flow	Alt 3a Avg Flow	Alt 3b Avg Flow	Alt 4 Avg Flow	Alt 5 Avg Flow	Alt 6 Avg Flow	INT OPS
Jan	1,000	2,000	5,571	5,560	5,565	5,563	4,997	4,972	5,559	5,562	5,610	4,993
Feb	1,000	2,000	4,021	4,025	4,006	4,019	5,241	3,912	4,025	4,021	3,785	4,999
Mar	1,000	2,000	3,526	3,372	3,373	3,357	6,108	3,377	3,372	3,357	3,489	4,995
Apr	1,000	2,000	3,536	3,356	3,410	3,409	4,874	3,347	3,413	3,409	3,526	4,987
May	1,000	2,000	3,638	3,717	3,704	3,702	4,107	3,674	3,700	3,700	3,644	4,963
Jun	1,000	2,000	2,632	2,614	2,726	2,725	2,353	2,719	2,725	2,725	2,636	4,933
Jul	1,000	2,000	1,410	1,351	1,596	1,596	1,208	1,832	1,596	1,596	1,419	4,903
Aug	1,000	2,000	1,112	1,112	1,336	1,336	824	1,412	1,336	1,336	1,122	4,876
Sep	1,000	2,000	1,783	1,708	1,469	1,469	854	2,148	1,469	1,469	1,797	4,841
Oct	1,000	2,000	2,698	2,911	2,598	2,598	1,546	3,959	2,598	2,598	2,728	4,802
Nov	1,000	2,000	5,259	5,440	5,405	5,408	4,175	4,781	5,407	5,405	5,378	4,763
Dec	1,000	2,000	5,727	5,722	5,724	5,732	4,745	4,763	5,713	5,735	5,797	4,720

## **CHAPTER 5 - RECREATION EFFECTS – REGIONAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**


### **5.1 ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY**

Using the NED methodology and spatial framework of evaluating impacts to lake-borne activities, the PDT is able to reasonably ascertain how the impacts from reservoir elevation will translate into a loss (or gain) in recreation-based visits to any given USACE operated reservoir. The loss or gain in visitation-induced economic activity will translate into a dollar total that will then produce a multiplier. A multiplier can be defined as “the proportional amount of increase or decrease in final income that results from an injection or withdrawal of spending.” These activities and expenditures support economic output, jobs, earnings, and value added. Results are shown for three levels of geography: local, state, and national impact areas.

To accomplish the evaluation, visitation numbers produced in the NED analysis were input in the USACE Regional Economic System (RECONS) Programs and Infrastructure Module, developed by the USACE Institute for Water Resources (IWR). This RECONS module application estimates the regional recreation related economic impacts resulting from the existence of USACE projects.

Local area RECONS results are aggregated to the three U.S. Census Bureau Core-based Metropolitan Statistical Areas (CBSA) in which the projects are located, and therefore visitations and subsequent direct economic activity will take place. CBSA's include Eugene-Springfield, Albany-Lebanon, and Salem as shown on the map below. Results are then aggregated at the state level, then the national level.

Figure 5-1. Core-based Metropolitan Statistical Areas Map.



## 5.2 RED TOTAL OUTPUT BY ALTERNATIVE

The tables below show the results of the RECONS regional economic development modeling across the various alternatives and CBSA-based geographic areas, these are combined effects across each CBSA. The “Local” area is the actual CBSA in which reservoir-induced visitation and direct economic activity (e.g. - person-to-business monetary transactions that occur because of

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

the existence of the reservoir) take place. The “State” and “U.S.” areas are the State of Oregon and United States, respectively.

There are no significant changes to the recreation analysis above that would impact the regional economic development of the FEIS alternatives. The alternative regional economic development analyses in the FEIS are applicable, this section incorporates by reference information for all alternatives. The regional economic development modeling for Alternative 6 and the Interim Operations, and the comparison of the effects to the No Action Alternative are below.

**Table 5-1: Total RED Output, No Action**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		\$74,610,408	704	\$30,619,701	\$44,234,215
Secondary Impact		\$52,992,925	290	\$17,432,982	\$29,266,790
Total Impact	\$74,610,408	\$127,603,334	995	\$48,052,682	\$73,501,003
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		\$84,176,654	741	\$33,691,838	\$48,792,250
Secondary Impact		\$73,741,847	371	\$24,882,620	\$41,294,554
Total Impact	\$84,176,654	\$157,918,501	1,112	\$58,574,455	\$90,086,805
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		\$132,811,000	810	\$39,094,102	\$63,392,295
Secondary Impact		\$206,202,851	831	\$62,501,406	\$108,468,411
Total Impact	\$132,811,000	\$339,013,850	1,641	\$101,595,508	\$171,860,706

**Table 5-2: RED Output Alternative 1**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		\$75,746,771	715	\$31,080,630	\$44,909,113
Secondary Impact		\$53,805,517	295	\$17,701,593	\$29,717,274
Total Impact	\$75,746,771	\$129,552,289	1,010	\$48,782,223	\$74,626,387
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		\$85,440,160	752	\$34,196,326	\$49,525,744
Secondary Impact		\$74,847,050	377	\$25,255,570	\$41,913,460
Total Impact	\$85,440,160	\$160,287,209	1,129	\$59,451,898	\$91,439,206
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		\$134,800,720	822	\$39,679,448	\$64,342,137
Secondary Impact		\$209,292,102	843	\$63,437,777	\$110,093,442
Total Impact	\$134,800,720	\$344,092,821	1,665	\$103,117,225	\$174,435,579

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 5-3 RED Output Alternative 2A**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		\$75,193,359	710	\$30,849,245	\$44,584,854
Secondary Impact		\$53,457,970	293	\$17,589,804	\$29,529,508
Total Impact	\$75,193,359	\$128,651,330	1,002	\$48,439,050	\$74,114,362
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		\$84,800,818	746	\$33,935,114	\$49,154,404
Secondary Impact		\$74,281,776	374	\$25,064,917	\$41,596,943
Total Impact	\$84,800,818	\$159,082,594	1,120	\$59,000,032	\$90,751,347
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		\$133,779,246	816	\$39,376,168	\$63,854,155
Secondary Impact		\$207,706,180	837	\$62,957,076	\$109,259,202
Total Impact	\$133,779,246	\$341,485,424	1,653	\$102,333,244	\$173,113,357

**Table 5-4 RED Output Alternative 2B**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		\$74,535,83	704	\$30,585,195	\$44,192,010
Secondary Impact		\$52,960,46	290	\$17,423,842	\$29,251,224
Total Impact	\$74,535,831	\$127,496,2	994	\$48,009,038	\$73,443,233
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		\$84,079,08	740	\$33,650,139	\$48,735,830
Secondary Impact		\$73,653,61	371	\$24,852,892	\$41,245,160
Total Impact	\$84,079,083	\$157,732,6	1,111	\$58,503,031	\$89,980,990
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		\$132,650,3	809	\$39,045,630	\$63,315,510
Secondary Impact		\$205,953,5	830	\$62,425,831	\$108,337,250
Total Impact	\$132,650,389	\$338,603,9	1,639	\$101,471,460	\$171,652,760

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 5-5 RED Output Alternative 3A**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		\$71,175,353	673	\$29,237,18	\$42,198,734
Secondary Impact		\$50,623,971	277	\$16,650,82	\$27,956,679
Total Impact	\$71,175,353	\$121,799,324	950	\$45,888,01	\$70,155,412
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		\$80,393,025	708	\$32,173,29	\$46,589,673
Secondary Impact		\$70,426,402	355	\$23,763,92	\$39,437,975
Total Impact	\$80,393,025	\$150,819,428	1,062	\$55,937,21	\$86,027,649
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		\$126,837,108	774	\$37,331,89	\$60,539,051
Secondary Impact		\$196,927,776	793	\$59,690,07	\$103,589,465
Total Impact	\$126,837,108	\$323,764,884	1,567	\$97,021,96	\$164,128,515

**Table 5-6 RED Output Alternative 3B**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		\$70,077,003	659	\$28,651,503	\$41,594,282
Secondary Impact		\$50,223,450	275	\$16,560,303	\$27,794,728
Total Impact	\$70,077,003	\$120,300,453	933	\$45,211,808	\$69,389,009
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		\$78,689,836	691	\$31,435,437	\$45,620,624
Secondary Impact		\$68,869,812	347	\$23,239,690	\$38,566,592
Total Impact	\$84,475,647	\$147,559,649	1,038	\$54,675,128	\$84,187,216
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		\$123,998,091	756	\$36,473,913	\$59,184,027
Secondary Impact		\$192,519,903	776	\$58,354,016	\$101,270,801
Total Impact	\$133,270,982	\$316,517,994	1,531	\$94,827,929	\$160,454,829

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 5-7 RED Output Alternative 4**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		\$74,896,484	707	\$30,730,253	\$44,407,412
Secondary Impact		\$53,232,537	292	\$17,514,536	\$29,403,312
Total Impact	\$74,896,484	\$128,129,021	998	\$48,244,789	\$73,810,722
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		\$84,475,647	744	\$33,806,859	\$48,965,811
Secondary Impact		\$73,998,887	373	\$24,969,430	\$41,438,519
Total Impact	\$84,475,647	\$158,474,535	1,116	\$58,776,289	\$90,404,331
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		\$133,270,982	813	\$39,227,412	\$63,611,642
Secondary Impact		\$206,917,025	833	\$62,717,877	\$108,844,086
Total Impact	\$133,270,982	\$340,188,007	1,647	\$101,945,28	\$172,455,727

**Table 5-8 RED Output Alternative 5**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		\$74,023,476	699	\$30,379,50	\$43,885,899
Secondary Impact		\$52,572,664	288	\$17,294,46	\$29,034,288
Total Impact	\$74,023,476	\$126,596,141	987	\$47,673,97	\$72,920,188
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		\$83,516,834	735	\$33,428,18	\$48,409,755
Secondary Impact		\$73,164,286	368	\$24,687,72	\$40,971,124
Total Impact	\$83,516,834	\$156,681,119	1,104	\$58,115,90	\$89,380,880
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		\$131,771,069	804	\$38,788,18	\$62,895,940
Secondary Impact		\$204,588,259	824	\$62,012,01	\$107,619,090
Total Impact	\$131,771,069	\$336,359,328	1,628	\$100,800,1	\$170,515,031

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 5-9 RED Output Alternative 6**

<b>Area</b>	<b>Local Capture <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Output <sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Jobs <sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Labor Income <sup>4</sup></b>	<b>Value Added <sup>5</sup></b>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		\$74,596,770	704	\$30,612,20	\$44,226,953
Secondary Impact		\$52,990,934	290	\$17,432,99	\$29,266,684
Total Impact	\$74,596,770	\$127,587,703	994	\$48,045,20	\$73,493,638
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		\$84,154,723	741	\$33,682,02	\$48,779,700
Secondary Impact		\$73,721,505	371	\$24,875,77	\$41,283,169
Total Impact	\$84,154,723	\$157,876,228	1112	\$58,557,79	\$90,062,870
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		\$132,773,704	810	\$39,082,67	\$63,374,465
Secondary Impact		\$206,144,950	830	\$62,483,85	\$108,437,953
Total Impact	\$132,773,704	\$338,918,652	1640	\$101,566,5	\$171,812,418

**Table 5-10 RED Output INT OPS**

<b>Area</b>	<b>Local Capture <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Output <sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Jobs <sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Labor Income <sup>4</sup></b>	<b>Value Added <sup>5</sup></b>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		\$71,254,899	673	\$29,265,13	\$42,232,602
Secondary Impact		\$50,483,900	277	\$16,598,39	\$27,866,925
Total Impact	\$71,254,899	\$121,738,800	950	\$45,863,52	\$70,099,527
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		\$80,469,073	709	\$32,223,78	\$46,642,587
Secondary Impact		\$70,510,507	355	\$23,792,00	\$39,484,956
Total Impact	\$80,469,073	\$150,979,580	1,064	\$56,015,79	\$86,127,543
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		\$127,001,376	775	\$37,391,19	\$60,620,048
Secondary Impact		\$197,182,806	794	\$59,767,37	\$103,723,619
Total Impact	\$127,001,376	\$324,184,182	1,569	\$97,158,56	\$164,343,666

### 5.3 RED OUTPUT: NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE VS. ACTION ALTERNATIVES

Where the previous section presented the RECONS RED benefit outputs by alternative, this section shows the difference between the RED output of the NAA and Action Alternatives.

**Table 5-11 RED Output Difference, Alternative 1 vs. NAA**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		\$1,136,363	11	\$460,929	\$674,898
Secondary Impact		\$812,592	5	\$268,611	\$450,484
Total Impact	\$1,136,363	\$1,948,955	15	\$729,541	\$1,125,384
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		\$1,263,506	11	\$504,488	\$733,494
Secondary Impact		\$1,105,203	6	\$372,950	\$618,906
Total Impact	\$1,263,506	\$2,368,708	17	\$877,443	\$1,352,401
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		\$1,989,720	12	\$585,346	\$949,842
Secondary Impact		\$3,089,251	12	\$936,371	\$1,625,031
Total Impact	\$1,989,720	\$5,078,971	25	\$1,521,717	\$2,574,873

**Table 5-12 RED Output Difference, Alternative 2A vs. NAA**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		\$582,951	5	\$229,544	\$350,639
Secondary Impact		\$465,045	3	\$156,822	\$262,718
Total Impact	\$582,951	\$1,047,996	8	\$386,368	\$613,359
<b>State</b>	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
Direct Impact	\$0	\$624,164	5	\$243,276	\$362,154
Secondary Impact	\$0	\$539,929	3	\$182,297	\$302,389
Total Impact	\$624,164	\$1,164,093	8	\$425,577	\$664,542
<b>US</b>	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0
Direct Impact	\$0	\$968,246	6	\$282,066	\$461,860
Secondary Impact	\$0	\$1,503,329	6	\$455,670	\$790,791
Total Impact	\$968,246	\$2,471,574	12	\$737,736	\$1,252,651

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 5-13 RED Output Difference, Alternative 2B vs. NAA**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sub>4</sub>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$74,577	-1	-\$34,506	-\$42,205
Secondary Impact		-\$32,462	0	-\$9,140	-\$15,566
Total Impact	-\$74,577	-\$107,040	-1	-\$43,644	-\$57,770
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$97,571	-1	-\$41,699	-\$56,420
Secondary Impact		-\$88,231	0	-\$29,728	-\$49,394
Total Impact	-\$97,571	-\$185,802	-1	-\$71,424	-\$105,815
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$160,611	-1	-\$48,472	-\$76,785
Secondary Impact		-\$249,338	-1	-\$75,575	-\$131,161
Total Impact	-\$160,611	-\$409,950	-2	-\$124,048	-\$207,946

**Table 5-14 RED Output Difference, Alternative 3A vs. NAA**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$3,435,055	-32	-\$1,382,515	-\$2,035,481
Secondary Impact		-\$2,368,954	-13	-\$782,156	-\$1,310,111
Total Impact	-\$3,435,055	-\$5,804,010	-45	-\$2,164,669	-\$3,345,591
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$3,783,629	-33	-\$1,518,546	-\$2,202,577
Secondary Impact		-\$3,315,445	-17	-\$1,118,692	-\$1,856,579
Total Impact	-\$3,783,629	-\$7,099,073	-50	-\$2,637,236	-\$4,059,156
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$5,973,892	-36	-\$1,762,209	-\$2,853,244
Secondary Impact		-\$9,275,075	-37	-\$2,811,335	-\$4,878,946
Total Impact	-\$5,973,892	-\$15,248,966	-74	-\$4,573,544	-\$7,732,191

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 5-15 RED Output Difference, Alternative 3B vs. NAA**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$4,533,405	-46	-\$1,968,198	-\$2,639,933
Secondary Impact		-\$2,769,475	-15	-\$872,679	-\$1,472,062
Total Impact	-\$4,533,405	-\$7,302,881	-61	-\$2,840,874	-\$4,111,994
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$5,486,818	-50	-\$2,256,401	-\$3,171,626
Secondary Impact		-\$4,872,035	-24	-\$1,642,930	-\$2,727,962
Total Impact	\$298,993	-\$10,358,852	-74	-\$3,899,327	-\$5,899,589
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$8,812,909	-54	-\$2,620,189	-\$4,208,268
Secondary Impact		-\$13,682,948	-55	-\$4,147,390	-\$7,197,610
Total Impact	\$459,982	-\$22,495,856	-109	-\$6,767,579	-\$11,405,877

**Table 5-16 RED Output Difference, Alternative 4 vs. NAA**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		\$286,076	3	\$110,552	\$173,197
Secondary Impact		\$239,612	1	\$81,554	\$136,522
Total Impact	\$286,076	\$525,687	4	\$192,107	\$309,719
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		\$298,993	3	\$115,021	\$173,561
Secondary Impact		\$257,040	1	\$86,810	\$143,965
Total Impact	\$298,993	\$556,034	4	\$201,834	\$317,526
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		\$459,982	3	\$133,310	\$219,347
Secondary Impact		\$714,174	3	\$216,471	\$375,675
Total Impact	\$459,982	\$1,174,157	6	\$349,781	\$595,021

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Table 5-17 RED Output Difference, Alternative 5 vs. NAA**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$586,932	-5	-\$240,192	-\$348,316
Secondary Impact		-\$420,261	-2	-\$138,516	-\$232,502
Total Impact	-\$586,932	-\$1,007,193	-8	-\$378,706	-\$580,815
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$659,820	-6	-\$263,657	-\$382,495
Secondary Impact		-\$577,561	-3	-\$194,895	-\$323,430
Total Impact	-\$659,820	-\$1,237,382	-9	-\$458,549	-\$705,925
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$1,039,931	-6	-\$305,916	-\$496,355
Secondary Impact		-\$1,614,592	-7	-\$489,393	-\$849,321
Total Impact	-\$1,039,931	-\$2,654,522	-13	-\$795,310	-\$1,345,675

**Table 5-18 RED Output Difference, Alternative 6 vs. NAA**

Area	Local Capture <sup>1</sup>	Output <sup>2</sup>	Jobs <sup>3</sup>	Labor Income <sup>4</sup>	Value Added <sup>5</sup>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$13,638	0	-\$7,492	-\$7,262
Secondary Impact		-\$1,991	0	\$12	-\$106
Total Impact	-\$13,638	-\$15,631	0	-\$7,480	-\$7,365
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$21,931	0	-\$9,816	-\$12,550
Secondary Impact		-\$20,342	0	-\$6,847	-\$11,385
Total Impact	-\$21,931	-\$42,273	0	-\$16,659	-\$23,935
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$37,296	0	-\$11,423	-\$17,830
Secondary Impact		-\$57,901	0	-\$17,551	-\$30,458
Total Impact	-\$37,296	-\$95,198	-1	-\$28,972	-\$48,288

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*


**Table 5-19 RED Output Difference, INT OPS vs. NAA**

<b>Area</b>	<b>Local Capture <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Output <sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Jobs <sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Labor Income <sup>4</sup></b>	<b>Value Added <sup>5</sup></b>
<b>Local</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$3,355,509	-31	-\$1,354,568	-\$2,001,613
Secondary Impact		-\$2,509,025	-14	-\$834,587	-\$1,399,865
Total Impact	-\$3,355,509	-\$5,864,534	-45	-\$2,189,154	-\$3,401,476
<b>State</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$3,707,581	-32	-\$1,468,049	-\$2,149,663
Secondary Impact		-\$3,231,340	-16	-\$1,090,616	-\$1,809,598
Total Impact	-\$3,707,581	-\$6,938,921	-49	-\$2,558,661	-\$3,959,262
<b>US</b>					
Direct Impact		-\$5,809,624	-35	-\$1,702,908	-\$2,772,247
Secondary Impact		-\$9,020,045	-36	-\$2,734,034	-\$4,744,792
Total Impact	-\$5,809,624	-\$14,829,668	-72	-\$4,436,940	-\$7,517,040


## CHAPTER 6 - RECREATION EFFECTS – CAPACITY UTILIZATION

Campground occupancy rate data provided by U.S. Forest Service (USFS) recreation personnel is summarized in this section. The following tables show average occupancy rates at various USFS campgrounds at Detroit, Hills Creek, Blue River, and Cougar Reservoirs for the years 2020 to 2022. Occupancy rates are calculated by dividing the number of nights campsites are available by the number of nights they are occupied for a given time period. The figures below show occupancy rates by reservoir for the years 2020 to 2022.

**Figure 6-1. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Detroit Reservoir, Oregon.**




**Figure 6-2. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Hills Creek Reservoir, Oregon.**




*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*


**Figure 6-3. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Cougar Reservoir, Oregon.**



**Figure 6-4. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Blue River Reservoir, Oregon.**




**Figure 6-5. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Detroit, Hills Creek, Cougar, and Blue River Reservoirs, Oregon.**




The figures below show occupancy rates by campground for the years 2020-2022

**Figure 6-6. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Detroit Reservoir Campgrounds, Oregon.**




**Figure 6-7. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Hills Creek Reservoir Campgrounds, Oregon.**



Data supporting this chart was provided by U.S. Forest Service recreation personnel


**Figure 6-8. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Cougar Reservoir Campgrounds, Oregon.**



Data supporting this chart was provided by U.S. Forest Service recreation personnel

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

**Figure 6-9. U.S. Forest Service Campground Average Occupancy Rate, Blue River Reservoir Campgrounds, Oregon.**



Data supporting this chart was provided by U.S. Forest Service recreation personnel

## **CHAPTER 7 - REFERENCES**

USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2022e. Value to the Nation Fast Facts. Lake Level Report, Blue River Lake Oregon. Available online at <https://usace.contentdm.oclc.org/utis/getfile/collection/p16021coll2/id/13263>

USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2022f. Value to the Nation Fast Facts. Lake Level Report, Cottage Grove Lake Oregon. Available online at <https://usace.contentdm.oclc.org/utis/getfile/collection/p16021coll2/id/13265>

USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2022g. Value to the Nation Fast Facts. Lake Level Report, Cougar Lake Oregon. Available online at <https://usace.contentdm.oclc.org/utis/getfile/collection/p16021coll2/id/13266>

USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2022h. Value to the Nation Fast Facts. Lake Level Report, Detroit Lake Oregon. Available online at <https://usace.contentdm.oclc.org/utis/getfile/collection/p16021coll2/id/13267>

USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2022i. Value to the Nation Fast Facts. Lake Level Report, Dexter Lake Oregon. Available online at <https://usace.contentdm.oclc.org/utis/getfile/collection/p16021coll2/id/13268>

USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2022j. Value to the Nation Fast Facts. Lake Level Report, Dorena Lake Oregon. Available online at <https://usace.contentdm.oclc.org/utis/getfile/collection/p16021coll2/id/13269>

USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2022k. Value to the Nation Fast Facts. Lake Level Report, Fall Creek Lake Oregon. Available online at <https://usace.contentdm.oclc.org/utis/getfile/collection/p16021coll2/id/13270>

USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2022l. Value to the Nation Fast Facts. Lake Level Report, Fern Ridge Lake Oregon. Available online at <https://usace.contentdm.oclc.org/utis/getfile/collection/p16021coll2/id/13271>

USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2022m. Value to the Nation Fast Facts. Lake Level Report, Foster Lake Oregon. Available online at <https://usace.contentdm.oclc.org/utis/getfile/collection/p16021coll2/id/13272>

USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2022n. Value to the Nation Fast Facts. Lake Level Report, Green Peter Lake Oregon. Available online at <https://usace.contentdm.oclc.org/utis/getfile/collection/p16021coll2/id/13273>

USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2022o. Value to the Nation Fast Facts. Lake Level Report, Hills Creek Lake Oregon. Available online at <https://usace.contentdm.oclc.org/utis/getfile/collection/p16021coll2/id/13274>

*Willamette Valley System Operations and Maintenance  
Environmental Impact Statement*

USACE (United States Army Corps of Engineers). 2022p. Value to the Nation Fast Facts. Lake Level Report, Lookout Point Lake Oregon. Available online at <https://usace.contentdm.oclc.org/utis/getfile/collection/p16021coll2/id/13276>

USCB (U.S. Census Bureau). 2016. American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates. Lane County Oregon, Linn County Oregon, Marion County Oregon Population. Table ID: DP05. Accessed [06-OCT-2022] at: <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=DP05%3A%20ACS%20DEMOGRAPHIC%20AND%20HOUSING%20ESTIMATES&g=0500000US41039,41043,41047&tid=ACSDP1Y2016.DP05>

USCB (U.S. Census Bureau). 2021e. American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates. Lane County Oregon, Linn County Oregon, Marion County Oregon Population. Table ID: DP05. Accessed [06-OCT-2022] at: <https://data.census.gov/table/ACSDP1Y2023.DP05?q=DP05:%20ACS%20DEMOGRAPHIC%20AND%20HOUSING%20ESTIMATES&g=050XX00US41039,41047,41043>